



NEU HORIZON URANIUM

3 July 2026

Neu Horizon Uranium Limited (ASX: NHU) – Pre-Quotation Disclosure Notice

The following information is provided to ASX for release to the market in connection with the official quotation of the fully paid ordinary shares in Neu Horizon Uranium Limited ACN 653 749 145 (**Neu Horizon** or **Company**).

Unless otherwise defined, capitalised terms in this document have the meaning given to them in the prospectus dated 19 May 2026 (**Prospectus**) prepared by the Company in connection with the initial public offering to acquire fully paid ordinary shares (**Shares**) in the Company (**Offer**).

1. Close of the IPO Offer

The Company confirms the close of the IPO Offer made under the Prospectus and completion of the issue of 60,000,000 Shares at an issue price of \$0.20 per Share to raise \$12,000,000.

2. Confirmation of issue of securities under the Offers

The Company confirms completion of the issue of:

- (a) 60,000,000 Shares at an issue price of \$0.20 each, pursuant to the IPO Offer;
- (b) 2,500,000 Shares to Fortune Bay Corp. pursuant to the Option Agreement;
- (c) 13,000,000 Options (in aggregate) to the Directors of the Company;
- (d) 5,209,000 Options to the Lead Manager; and
- (e) 666,667 Options to Tribeca Investment Partners.

3. Despatch of holding statements and refund moneys

The Company confirms despatch of each of the following:

- (a) in relation to all holdings on the CHESS sub-register, a notice from the Company under ASX Settlement Operating Rule 8.9.1;
- (b) in relation to all other holdings, issuer sponsored holding statements; and
- (c) any refund money.

4. Capital Structure

The Company's capital structure as at the date of admission to the Official List is as follows:

	Shares	Options
Securities on issue as at the date of the Prospectus	97,466,669	Nil
Shares issued under the IPO Offer	60,000,000	-
Shares issued to Fortune Bay Corp. under the Consideration Offer	2,500,000	-
Options issued to the Lead Manager under the Lead Manager Offer	-	5,209,000
Options issued to the Directors (in aggregate) under the Director Option Offer	-	13,000,000

Options issued to Tribeca Investment Partners under the Third Party Option Offer	-	666,667
Total Securities on issue on admission	159,966,669	18,875,667

5. Restricted Securities

The Company confirms that subject to quotation, the following securities will be restricted from trading pursuant to the ASX Listing Rules for the period as set out below:

Security	Number	Escrow Period
Shares	500,000	Until 25 July 2026
Shares	8,035,919	Until 25 August 2026
Shares	750,000	Until 18 September 2026
Shares	833,338	Until 9 December 2026
Shares	1,333,333	Until 24 December 2026
Shares	2,500,000	Until 29 June 2027
Shares	39,416,583	24 months from quotation
Options	19,325,667	24 months from quotation

6. Free Float

The Company confirms that on admission it will have a free float of at least 20%.

7. Status of the Option Agreement

The Company confirms that, following the issue of the Consideration Shares to Fortune Bay Corp., the only remaining condition precedent under the Option Agreement is satisfaction of the expenditure condition. As disclosed in Section 7.1(b) of the Prospectus, the aggregate expenditure required for the 2027 Expenditure Period is \$2,367,559. A portion of the IPO proceeds will be applied to the Woods Uranium Project during the first two years following listing to satisfy this requirement, in accordance with the proposed exploration program and budget set out in Section 3.6 of the Prospectus. The Company will announce satisfaction of the expenditure condition, and completion of the Option Agreement, once the condition has been met and the option is exercised.

8. Statement of commitments

The Company confirms that, based on the minimum subscription of \$12 million being reached, it has the requisite funds for its proposed exploration program and budget as set out in Section 3.6 of the Prospectus to satisfy the commitments test under Listing Rule 1.3.2(b).

9. No legal, regulatory, statutory or contractual impediments

The Company confirms that there are no legal, regulatory, statutory or contractual impediments to the Company entering and carrying out exploration activities on the Arvidsjaur, Hotagen, Berg, Vilhelmina and Krokom projects (tenements disclosed on page 39 of the Prospectus), and the Aspen, Pine, Spruce and Fir projects (tenements disclosed on pages 48 to 49 of the Prospectus), such that the Entity will be able to spend its cash in accordance with its commitments for the purposes of ASX Listing Rule 1.3.2(b).

10. Historical financial information

The Company notes that the Swedish Independent Geologist's Report (Annexure D of the Prospectus) on pages 68 and 69 contains historical financial information prepared by Aura Energy in respect of the Haggan deposit and the District Metals Viken deposit.

The Company advises that the Haggan deposit and the District Metals Viken deposit are hosted within the same geological sequence (or stratigraphic unit) as Neu Horizon's Vilhelmina and Krokom exploration projects. Accordingly, they are considered relevant geological analogues for the type, scale and grade of mineralisation targeted by Neu Horizon. This information is included to provide investors with context as to the potential scale and characteristics of deposits being explored.

11. Status of MC00017173

The Company notes that disposition MC00017173 (Aspen Project, Canada) expires on 1 August 2026.

For Saskatchewan claims, there is an annual renewal requirement tied to minimum exploration expenditure commitments that scale with the licence area, and these commitments must be reported annually to keep the claims in good standing. Provided the annual commitments are met (or an approved waiver is in place), the claims are renewed annually. Renewals are generally supported by an annual submission through Saskatchewan's mineral disposition system.

On 16 June 2025, Fortune Bay Corp. received a waiver from the Ministry of Energy and Resources in relation to the claims comprising the Woods Uranium Project, meaning that no minimum expenditure requirement applies for that renewal year. Fortune Bay Corp. has confirmed that the next assessment report that falls due relates to disposition MC00017173, which it will submit before 1 August 2026.

12. Replacement JORC Tables

The Company notes that certain responses in the JORC Tables included in the Swedish and Canadian Independent Geologist Reports were marked as 'N/A'.

To address this, the Company provides replacement JORC Tables, set out in Annexures A and B of this announcement, which replaces each 'N/A' response with an explanation provided on an 'if not, why not' basis in accordance with the JORC Code.

13. Further information

In addition to the documents already described in this announcement, the following documents will be released on the ASX Market Announcements Platform at the same time as this pre-quotation disclosure notice:

- (a) **(ASX Listing Application)** Neu Horizon's Appendix 1A, Information Form and Checklist and Annexure I (Mining Entities);
- (b) **(Prospectus)** the Prospectus;
- (c) **(Constitution)** Neu Horizon's constitution;
- (d) **(Incentive Plan)** Neu Horizon's long term incentive plan;
- (e) **(Annual Reports)** Neu Horizon's audited 2024 and 2025 annual reports;
- (f) **(Reviewed Accounts)** Neu Horizon's reviewed accounts for the period 31 December 2025;
- (g) **(Corporate Governance Statement)** Neu Horizon's corporate governance statement, disclosing the extent to which Neu Horizon will follow, as at the date of its admission to the Official List, the recommendations set by the ASX Corporate Governance Council;
- (h) **(Security Trading Policy)** Neu Horizon's securities trading policy;
- (i) **(Distribution Schedule)** an indicative distribution schedule of the numbers of holders in each class of securities to be quoted, setting out the number and percentage of holders in the following categories:
 - 1 – 1,000
 - 1,001 – 5,000
 - 5,001 – 10,000
 - 10,001 – 100,000
 - 100,001 and over; and
- (j) **(Top 20 Shareholders)** an indicative statement setting out the names of the twenty largest holders in each class of security to be quoted, and the number and percentage of each class of securities held by those holders.

– ENDS –

This announcement was authorised for release by the Board of Neu Horizon Uranium Limited.

Neu Horizon Uranium Limited

Level 10, Kyle House
27-31 Macquarie Street
Sydney, NSW, 2000
+ 61 407 123 14

Annexure A – Replacement JORC Table 1 for Swedish Projects

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	- Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. - Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. - Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. - In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.	- To date, Neu Horizon has only collected rock-chip samples from transported boulders and in-situ outcrop over areas where historical uranium and/or base metal mineralisation were reported. - Representative rock-grab samples were typically collected from transported boulders or in-situ outcrop where radioactive responses were noted on either the RC-103, RC-110 and/or RS-230 spectrometer device. Where possible, approximately 1,000-2,000g of representative rock-chip material was collected from each sample site and placed into labelled sample bag. - Back at the accommodation, additional photographs and sample information was collected, before packing the bagged samples into sturdy cardboard boxes ready for transport to the laboratory. - At each sample site, the following sample information was collected: - Sample position coordinates; - Rock type and evidence of alteration and/or mineralisation; - Description of the local geology and structure; - Average spectrometer and/or magnetic susceptibility readings; - Description of local physiographic features; - Representative photographs of the rock-grab sample and/or general location; and - For boulder samples, details of the size and angularity of the boulder.
Drilling techniques	Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).	No drilling has been conducted by Neu Horizon to date.
Drill sample recovery	- Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. - Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.	No drilling has been conducted by Neu Horizon to date, therefore no sampling of drill material has been conducted.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.	
Logging	- Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. - Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography. - The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	No drilling has been conducted by Neu Horizon to date, therefore no logging of drill material has been conducted.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	- If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. - If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. - For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. - Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. - Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. - Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	- No drilling has been conducted by Neu Horizon to date, therefore no sampling of drill material has been conducted. - All rock-chip samples were submitted to the ALS preparation facility in Piteå, Sweden. The majority of the rock-chip samples were prepared using the PREP-31BY method for rock samples. Rock-chip sample material was placed through a crusher and crushed until 70% of the resultant material passed through a 2mm screen. From this, a rotary splitter was used to separate up to 1,000g of crushed material, which was then pulverised until 85% of the resultant material passed through a 75µm screen. - A small selection of samples that recorded radioactivity levels above 3 µ/SV could not be processed at ALS Piteå and the lab facilitated the export of these samples to the ALS laboratory in Vancouver, Canada where the samples were prepared using the same PREP-31BY. - This is a standard preparation method used for rock-chip samples and is considered appropriate for this sample medium.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	- The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. - For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. - Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	- Aliquots from the pulverised sample material prepared at ALS Piteå were shipped to ALS Dublin, Ireland where the samples were analysed using the ME-MS61r™ method. This method utilised a four-acid digestion paired with ICP-MS and ICP-AES (including REE analytes) analyses. Four-acid multi-element analysis is considered an effective tool in early-stage mineral exploration because of its ability to provide a full pathfinder element suite and usefulness for general mineralogy. - The small selection of samples that recorded radioactivity levels above 3 µ/SV were analysed at ALS Vancouver, Canada using the same ME-MS61r™ method. For samples that reported uranium values above this method's upper detection limit of 10,000ppm, the samples were also analysed using either the ME-XRF10 or ME-XRF15b methods. - A few samples collected from the Arvidsjaur and Berg Projects were also

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		analysed for gold at ALS Dublin using the Au-ICP22 analytical method. This method used 50g of the same pulverised sample material, which was subjected to fire assay to concentrate the gold prior to analysis using the ICP-AES method to provide high-precision, low-level detection down to 1ppb Au. • Samples of the black shales/schists from the Alum Shale Formation and related lithologies collected over the Vilhelmina and Krokoms Projects were also analysed for their total organic carbon and total graphitic carbon concentrations using the ALS C-IR18 and C-IR06a analytical methods respectively. The aim was to determine how much organic carbon had been converted to graphite during prograde metamorphism and related deformation. • Given the random nature of the rock-chip sampling carried out to date, Neu Horizon did not insert any CRM's, blanks or duplicate samples. • ALS inserted their own CRM's and carried out duplicate analyses of a selection of samples within each laboratory work order, which was considered sufficient for this stage of exploration.
Verification of sampling and assaying	• <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i>	• No drilling has been conducted by Neu Horizon to date, therefore no sample or assay verification has been conducted. • Assay certificates for the rock-chip analyses, including the laboratory CRM and duplicate analyses produced by ALS Laboratories were received both in .pdf and .xls. • Assay results were reviewed and validated by Neu Horizon geologists within 24 hours of receiving the results to confirm that all sample results had been received from the various sample consignments submitted to ALS Laboratories.
Location of data points	• <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i>	• No drilling or trenching has been conducted by Neu Horizon to date. • Where relevant, locations of historic drill collars, mineralised outcrops and the general location of mineralised boulders were validated using a GPS accurate to within 5m.
Data spacing and distribution	• <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i>	• No drilling or trenching has been conducted by Neu Horizon to date, therefore no Mineral Resource or Ore Reserve calculations have been determined. • Rock-chip samples were collected randomly to validate historical grades of mineralisation from known mineralised outcrops and boulder fields.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Whether sample compositing has been applied.	
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	- Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. - If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	- No drilling has been conducted by Neu Horizon to date, therefore no drill results have been reported which may lead to structural bias. - Structural information, including dip direction, dip angle and strike direction were measured and recorded where structures were observed during basic and detailed geological mapping.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	- With the exception of the two rock-chip samples collected by the Competent Person (Dr. Peter Siegfried) during his site visit, all other rock-chip samples were hand delivered to ALS Piteå by a Neu Horizon representative. - The two rock-chip samples (HOT018 and HOT019) collected by the Competent Person from the Hotagen Project were bagged and labelled, and then packaged and shipped to ALS Piteå from a courier service in the town of Arvidsjaur. ALS Piteå confirmed receipt of the samples.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	Not considered necessary at this stage given the greenfields nature of the exploration projects and lack of resource drill information.

• [Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results](#)

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	- Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. - The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	- Neu Horizon is an Australian Public Company that was originally incorporated as Tasmania Data Infrastructure PTY Ltd ("TDI") in New South Wales, Australia on the 16th of September 2021 under Australian Company Number 653 749 145. The company name was subsequently changed from TDI to Neu Horizon Uranium Limited on the 8th of November 2024. - TDI bought a 100% interest in a private Swedish shelf-company named Goldcup 34824 AB ("Goldcup"), which was incorporated on the 7th of March 2024 under Swedish Organisation Number / Registration Number 559472-4576. On the same day, an application was submitted to change the name from Goldcup to Energy X92 AB ("Energy X92"). - The initial Exploration Permit (EP) applications that formed part of the Arvidsjaur, Berg and Vilhelmina Projects were submitted and awarded under the name of Goldcup, however, subsequent to the formal name change taking effect with the Swedish authorities, these EPs were

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		registered under the name of Energy X92. All subsequent EP applications were made directly under the name of Energy X92. - All EPs were awarded for an initial 3-year period. The cost of the EP is calculated at SEK20/ha for the entire 3-year period plus a small administration fee of SEK500 per 2,000ha or part thereof. There are no performance requirements or minimum expenditures to hold the EPs during this period. After the initial 3-year tenure, Energy X92 can extend the tenure of their EPs, or parts thereof, as per the following: - First extension up to four (4) years by paying advanced payment fees of SEK 21 per hectare per year, - Second extension up to four (4) years by paying advanced payment fees of SEK 50 per hectare per year, and - Third extension up to five (5) years by paying advanced payment fees of SEK 100 per hectare per year. - Therefore, the maximum period that an EP can be held is fifteen (15) years under exceptional circumstances, however the cost to hold the EP for that duration would be significant. - Neu Horizon, through Energy X92, holds a total of 22 EPs, split between five exploration projects, namely the Arvidsjaur, Berg, Vilhelmina, Hotagen and Krokom Projects (Table 1).

Table 1. Summary of the Neu Horizon Exploration Permits.

Project	Exp. Permit Name	County	Municipality	Exp. Permit Valid From	Exp. Permit Valid To	Minerals	Size (Ha)	EP Fees (SEK)
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget nr 100	Norrbottn	Arvidsjaur	13/06/2024	13/06/2027	gold, copper, lithium, molybdenum, silver & tantalum	9,799.01	196,000
	Ravenberget nr 200	Norrbottn	Arvidsjaur	13/06/2024	13/06/2027	gold, copper, lithium, molybdenum, silver & tantalum	2,394.85	47,900
	Suddesjaur nr 100	Norrbottn	Arvidsjaur	12/02/2026	12/02/2029	gold, copper, lithium, molybdenum, silver & tantalum	9,607.43	192,160
	Aresåive nr 100	Norrbottn	Arjeplog & Sorsele	03/02/2026	03/02/2029	gold, copper, lithium, molybdenum, silver & tantalum	7,544.05	150,900
	Laisbäck nr 100	Västerbotten	Storuman	03/02/2026	03/02/2029	gold, copper, lithium, molybdenum, silver & tantalum	6,961.01	139,240
Berg	Gärdesfloberget nr 100	Västernorrland & Jämtland	Ånge & Berg	13/06/2024	13/06/2027	gold, copper, lithium, molybdenum, silver & tantalum	2,187.58	43,760

Criteria		JORC Code explanation				Commentary			
		Gillberget nr 100	Västernorrland & Jämtland	Ånge & Berg	13/06/2024	13/06/2027	gold, copper, lithium, molybdenum, silver & tantalum	6,169.58	123,400
		Hortesberget nr 100	Västernorrland & Jämtland	Ånge & Härjedalen	13/06/2024	13/06/2027	gold, copper, lithium, molybdenum, silver & tantalum	3,455.76	69,120
		Skalberget nr 100	Västernorrland	Ånge	13/06/2024	13/06/2027	gold, copper, lithium, molybdenum, silver & tantalum	574.18	11,500
		Mossåsen nr 100	Gävleborg & Västernorrland	Ljusdal & Ånge	13/06/2024	13/06/2027	gold, copper, lithium, molybdenum, silver & tantalum	286.67	5,740
	Hotagen	Stor-Stensjön nr 100	Jämtland	Krokom	27/11/2025	27/11/2028	fluorspar, lanthanum and lanthanides, scandium, yttrium, zirconium	7,347.33	146,960
	Vilhelmina	Granberget nr 100	Västerbotten	Vilhelmina	11/06/2024	11/06/2027	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	11,235.12	224,720
		Granberget nr 200	Västerbotten	Vilhelmina	11/06/2024	11/06/2027	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	8,392.47	167,860
		Aborrholmberget nr 100	Jämtland & Västerbotten	Strömsund & Dorotea	13/06/2024	13/06/2027	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	1,670.31	33,420
		Brattremmen nr 100	Jämtland	Strömsund	11/06/2024	11/06/2027	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	2,940.64	58,820
		Stenberget nr 100	Jämtland & Västerbotten	Strömsund & Dorotea	11/06/2024	11/06/2027	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	10,662.45	213,260
		Stormyrn nr 100	Jämtland	Strömsund	13/06/2024	13/06/2027	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	1,972.63	39,460

Criteria		JORC Code explanation				Commentary				
		Kalkberget nr 100	Jämtland	Strömsund	19/06/2024	19/06/2027	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	5,598.83	111,980	
	Krokom	Storvallflon nr 100	Jämtland	Åre & Krokom	16/01/2026	16/01/2029	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	3,627.63	72,560	
		Kälen nr 100	Jämtland	Åre & Krokom	12/02/2026	12/02/2029	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	5,339.02	106,800	
		Djupsjöberget nr 100	Jämtland	Åre & Krokom	12/02/2026	12/02/2029	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	1,909.26	38,200	
		Hamborg nr 100	Jämtland	Åre	03/02/2026	03/02/2029	apatite, copper, lanthanum and lanthanides, molybdenum, nickel, scandium, phosphate, rock salt, vanadium, yttrium, zinc	1,538.82	30,780	
Exploration done by other parties		- Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. - The Swedish government funded significant uranium exploration between the 1950’s and the mid-1980’s. Most of the exploration work was carried out by the SGU and focused primarily on black shale uranium mineralisation hosted within the alum shales; and structurally-controlled uranium mineralisation hosted within the Meso- to Paleoproterozoic-basement. This work resulted in the discovery of numerous uranium occurrences and deposits; and the development of the Ranstad alum shale mine. The Ranstad Mine was operational between 1965 and 1969 before closing due to challenging economic, environmental and social factors. - After a 30-year hiatus, a handful of exploration companies, including Continental Precious Minerals Inc. (“CPM”), Mawson Resources Ltd. (“Mawson”) and Aura Energy Ltd. (“Aura”), began exploring for uranium from 2005 onwards. These companies leveraged off the historic state-funded uranium exploration to define areas of interest. Most companies focused on advancing the alum shale hosted uranium deposits near the town of Östersund; and basement-hosted uranium deposits within the Arjeplog and Hotagen uranium districts.								

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary			
Table 2 provides a detailed summary of the historic work carried out over the individual EPs that make up the five exploration projects.					
Table 2. Summary of the historical exploration work completed over the Neu Horizon Exploration Permits.					
Project	Exploration Permit	Company	Reference	Work completed	Details
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget nr 100	SGU	SGU IRAP 83014 report	Airborne geophysics	As part of the regional airborne magnetic and radiometric survey, the entire EP was flown in 1971. Lines were flown E-W, spaced at 200m intervals and flown at a height of 30m above surface.
			SGU IRAP 83014 report	Boulder sampling	Based on the interpretation of the airborne magnetic and radiometric survey, boulder sampling was carried out over the EP between 1972 and 1975. This resulted in the discovery of multiple discrete boulder fields relating to the Östra Järntjärnbäcken, Ravenberget, Tjapsåive, Njallejaure, Stinttjärn and Trappojaure targets.
			SGU IRAP 83014 report	Geological mapping	Based on the boulder sampling results, detailed bedrock and quaternary geological mapping was carried out over the EP in 1973 and 1974. This led to the discovery of the small radioactive outcrop associated with the Östra Järntjärnbäcken target.
			SGU IRAP 83014 report	Ground geophysics	Ground magnetic surveys were conducted over the Östra Järntjärnbäcken target area in 1973 and 1974. This was followed by loop frame surveys in 1974 and 1975. In 1983 and 1984, two VLF profiles were measured immediately east of the Ravenberget boulder field to try and delineate the structure from which the boulder field may have been derived from. The results delineated a high-interest 2nd order structure approximately 200m NW of the boulder field. The results were considered very compelling and hammer drilling was recommended, but never carried out.
			SGU IRAP 84100 report	Drilling	23 hammer (percussion) holes were drilled over the Östra Järntjärnbäcken target in 1983. Gamma logging of the holes returned 3.5m of 1,143 ppm equivalent uranium, including 2m of 1,725 ppm equivalent uranium from one hole; 3m of 587 ppm equivalent U from another hole; and 2m of 410 ppm equivalent uranium from another hole. The exact holes from which these measurements were taken are not documented.
		Hodges Resources Limited	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Ground geophysics	In 2008, Hodges Resources carried out to ground spectrometer surveys over two areas, including the Östra Järntjärnbäcken target and a new area located 3.5km further west of the Östra Järntjärnbäcken. The survey lines were walked E-W along 100m spaced lines. The survey confirmed the presence of high-grade uranium-mineralised boulders and outcrop over the Östra Järntjärnbäcken target.
			Hodges Resources Ltd. Press release 23 June 2008.	Drilling	In 2008, Hodges Resources drilled 7 core holes totalling 555.85m over the Östra Järntjärnbäcken target. The best result included 7m @ 1,200 ppm uranium from 22m in drill hole JTB08002.
			Hodges Resources Ltd. Press release 01 June 2010	Drilling	In 2010, Hodges drilled a further 6 holes totalling 491.40m over the Östra Järntjärnbäcken target. The best result included 17m @ 1,000 ppm uranium from 60m in drill hole JTB10011.
			SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Drilling	In 2011, Hodges drilled a further 4 holes totalling 682.70m (17 holes and 1,729.95m) over the Östra Järntjärnbäcken target. The best result included 13.1m @ 524 ppm uranium from 169.9m in drill hole JTB11017.
	Ravenberget nr 200	SGU	SGU IRAP 83014 report	Airborne geophysics	As part of the regional airborne magnetic and radiometric survey, the entire EP was flown in 1971. Lines were flown E-W, spaced at 200m intervals and flown at a height of 30m above surface.
Suddesjaur nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	As part of the regional airborne geophysical survey, magnetic, radiometric, slingram, VLF and Tensor-VLF data was collected over the western part of the EP in 1984. Lines were flown E-W, spaced at 200m intervals and flown at a height of 30m above surface. More recently, in 2015, the	

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary			
				eastern part of the EP was re-flown to collect magnetic, radiometric, VLF and Tensor-VLF data. Lines were flown E-W, spaced at 200m intervals and flown at a height of 60m above surface.	
		Report SGU 83041	Boulder sampling	Based on the multiple uranium discoveries made further south over and surrounding the Ravenberget nr 100 EP, the SGU intensified boulder sampling to the north along trend of the major N-S-trending lineament. This resulted in the discovery of multiple uranium-mineralised boulders at the NW end of Lake Rakkur in 1973. Additional boulder sampling programs were carried out in 1974 and 1975 over the broader area. Exploration focus shifted to other parts of Sweden, but the SGU returned to the area in 1984 and a further 30 mineralised boulders were discovered, of which 10 were sent for analyses. In addition, several new copper mineralised boulders were discovered SW of the uranium mineralised boulders, which correlated with the copper-bearing boulders discovered previously by Boliden. This confirmed the area to be prospective from both a uranium and copper perspective and detailed boulder sampling and bedrock mapping was recommended, but not carried out.	
		SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	General prospecting	Various companies, including Boliden (2012-2014 & 2021-2024), Rio Tinto (1998-1999) and Botnia Exploration (2009-2010) have held parts of the area at different times. All companies appeared to have carried out boulder sampling and basic mapping, with very little to no data submitted to the SGU after relinquishing the permits. These companies were mainly assessed the area for base and precious metals.	
	Arresåive nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	As part of the regional airborne magnetic, radiometric and VLF survey, the entire EP was flown in 1974. Lines were flown N-S, spaced at 200m intervals and flown at a height of 30m above surface.
			SGU report 79056 & 81078	Boulder sampling	Ground truthing of the airborne radiometric anomaly resulted in the discovery of several highly radioactive boulders and uranium mineralised outcrop in 1975 over the Arresåive mountain. The uranium mineralisation was hosted as fracture fillings in metamorphosed felsic volcanic rocks.
			SGU report 79056 & 81078	Ground geophysics	Ground magnetic and slingram surveys was carried out over the mineralised outcrops in 1976 and 1977.
			SGU report 79056 & 81078	Trenching	Trenching was carried out across strike of the mineralised outcrop in 1976 and 1977. Some of the samples from test pits and trenches returned elevated copper and molybdenum results.
			SGU report 79056 & 81078	Drilling	A total of nine core holes totalling 887m were drilled over the target in 1977 and 1978. Six on the north side and three on the south side. The results were generally poor, with the uranium-bearing fracture zones having limited strike- or dip-continuity.
	Laisbäcken nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was originally covered by the regional airborne magnetic and radiometric survey in 1974. The area was re-flown in 1989 to collect magnetic, radiometric, slingram, VLF and Tensor-VLF data. Lines were flown E-W, spaced at 200m intervals and flown at a height of 30m above surface.
			SGU report 79056 & 81083	Boulder sampling & geological mapping	Boulder sampling in 1974 discovered a well-constrained boulder field with elevated radioactivity. The uranium mineralisation was associated with fine-grained impregnations or fracture-fills within the coarse-grained and strongly tectonised calcic Revsund granite. Boulder sampling and geological mapping suggested that the uranium mineralised zone was related to a prominent NNW-SSE trending fault zone. The same fault zone intersected the Vatténfall Juktan Tunnel ~1 km further south where strong radon anomalies (up to 500 uR/h) were recorded in the groundwater. The number of uranium boulders in the proximal part of the tail was >150, some of which have an activity greater than 3,000 uR/h.
			SGU report 79056 & 81083	Ground geophysics	In 1975 and 1976 a ground magnetic and slingram survey was carried out.
			SGU report 79056 & 81083	Drilling	In 1980 and 1981, a total of 6 holes totalling 628.15m were drilled. Uranium mineralisation similar to the boulders was found intersected in two of the holes, however it was concluded that the

Criteria		JORC Code explanation		Commentary	
Berg					mineralisation didn't correspond to the source of the boulders. It was recommended that trenching be used to expose the subcrop proximal to the boulders, but priority was given to other prospects and no further work was done over this area.
			SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	General prospecting	Less than a handful of companies have held the ground since the last work carried out by the SGU in 1981. Geoforum Scandinavia (2005-2008) dug 14 shallow pits of less than 1m over the area and submitted for uranium analyses. Norrsken Energy (2007-2011) explored the area for copper, but did not submit any data to the SGU.
	Gärdesfloberget nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric and VLF survey in 1977. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.
			SGU report 78003, 78009, 80023 and 80043	General prospecting	Uranium mineralisation was found within pegmatite over the Sågtjärn Deposit. On the basis of this, regional and detailed boulder sampling was carried out across the broader area. This resulted in the discovery of hundreds of uranium-bearing and uranium-rich boulders across the area. Most of the mineralisation was of the Sågtjärn-type (uranium-bearing pegmatites), with other uranium mineralisation noted in mylonitic granites; and uranium-molybdenum-copper mineralisation within meta-arenites as observed at Starverberget.
	Gillberget nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric and VLF survey in 1977. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.
			SGU report 78003, 78009, 80023 and 80043	General prospecting	Uranium mineralisation was found within pegmatite over the Sågtjärn Deposit. On the basis of this, regional and detailed boulder sampling was carried out across the broader area. This resulted in the discovery of hundreds of uranium-bearing and uranium-rich boulders across the area. Most of the mineralisation was of the Sågtjärn-type (uranium-bearing pegmatites), with other uranium mineralisation noted in mylonitic granites; and uranium-molybdenum-copper mineralisation within meta-arenites as observed at Starverberget.
	Hortesberget nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric and VLF survey in 1977. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.
		SGU	SGU report 78003, 78009, 80023 and 80043	General prospecting	Uranium mineralisation was found within pegmatite over the Sågtjärn Deposit. On the basis of this, regional and detailed boulder sampling was carried out across the broader area. This resulted in the discovery of hundreds of uranium-bearing and uranium-rich boulders across the area. Most of the mineralisation was of the Sågtjärn-type (uranium-bearing pegmatites), with other uranium mineralisation noted in mylonitic granites; and uranium-molybdenum-copper mineralisation within meta-arenites as observed at Starverberget.
		Various	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	General prospecting	Various companies, including Viscaria (2008-2010), Tertiary Gold (1998-2003 & 1999-2004) and Hans A. Resources (2008-2009) held ground of this area as they were focussed on Au-Cu exploration. Other than Tertiary Gold, none of the companies submitted any data to the SGU on relinquishing their EPs. Tertiary Gold collected 14 rock-chip samples over the property. The best sample returned 83g/t Ag, 0.3g/t Au and 1% Pb.
		Boliden	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Drilling	The SGU drill database indicates that Boliden drilled 27 holes over the eastern extent of this EP. No data is provided, although it is likely that the drill cores are located in Mala. Boliden were most likely exploring for Au-Cu mineralisation, similar to the epithermal mineralisation at the old Enåsen Mine located in close proximity to the SE of the area. Boliden held their EP over this area from 1985-1988.
	Skalberget nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric and VLF survey in 1977. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.
		Tertiary Gold	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	General prospecting	Tertiary Gold held a larger EP over this area where they explored for Au-Cu mineralisation. However, they did not submit any results to the SGU upon relinquishment of their EPs.

Criteria		JORC Code explanation			Commentary	
Criteria		Mossåsen nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric and VLF survey in 1977. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.
			Various	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	General prospecting	Various companies, including Lapp Plats (2004-2008) and Tertiary Gold (1998-2001) explored the broader area for Cu-Au mineralisation, however they never submitted any data to the SGU upon relinquishment of their EPs.
	Hotagen	Stor-Stensjön nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was originally covered by the regional airborne magnetic and radiometric survey in 1976. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface. The area was re-flown in 1981 to collect radiometric and VLF data using the same flight specification.
				SGU reports 80048, 81083, 82078, 83041 & 85026	Boulder sampling & geological mapping	Three targets, namely Stensjöån, Spjuttjärnen and Område 15 were discovered through detailed boulders sampling and geological mapping over the broader area. Various phases of boulders sampling were carried out between the late-1970's and early-1980's over the broader area.
				SGU reports 80048, 81083, 82078, 83041 & 85026	Drilling	Between 1980 and 1981 a total of 12 percussion holes and 11 core holes of unknown depth were completed over the Stensjöån target. The drill results were mostly negative results with only minor mineralisation recorded in 3 holes. However, the intersections did not explain the type of mineralisation observed within the large boulder field. Additional drilling was recommended and it appears that another 3 holes (26 in total) were drilled over the target. In 1982, a total of 17 shallow holes between 45-85m were drilled over the Spjuttjärnen target. A uranium mineralised dolerite dyke was the primary drill target in this area, which could be followed intermittently over 1.5km.
				SGU reports 80048, 81083, 82078, 83041 & 85026	Ground geophysics	After completing the initial drill program over the Stensjöån target, ground magnetic, radiometric, VLF and slingram surveys were carried out in 1981-1982 over the Stensjöån and Spjuttjärnen targets. The results were not provided.
	Vilhelmina	Granberget nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric and VLF survey in 1977. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.
			Continental Precious Minerals	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_102	Geological mapping	CPM carried out alum shale outcrop mapping and point radiometric surveying over the central parts of the EP. Here they mapped multiple outcrops of grey shale, quartzite and greywacke, and only noted the presence of two alum shale outcrops.
		Granberget nr 200	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric and VLF survey in 1977. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.
			Continental Precious Minerals	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_106	Geological mapping & rock-chip sampling	CPM carried out alum shale outcrop mapping and point radiometric surveying over the central parts of the EP. Here they mapped multiple outcrops of grey shale, quartzite and greywacke, with significant exposures of alum shale mapped in two localities of the EP. They also submitted 4 rock-chip samples for multi-element analysis. The highest uranium result was ~40ppm U.
			Boliden	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2012_18	Geochemistry	Boliden targeted the area for sandstone-hosted Pb-Zn mineralisation within the sandstone that overlies and is tectonically intercalated with the alum shale.
			Aura Energy Ltd	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Unknown	Aura held ground over this area, but did not submit any data to the SGU upon relinquishment.
		Stenberget nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric, VLF and VLF-Tensor survey in 1999. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 60m above surface.
				SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Drilling	The SGU drilled 5 holes over this EP between 1951 and 1972. The target was the alum shale and the drill cores for these holes are all stored at the drill archive in Mala and have been viewed by NHU geologists.
			Boliden	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2000_20_Z_LU, EP-	General prospecting	Boliden held multiple EPs over and surrounding this area since the early-2000's. They have been exclusively focussed on the Pb-Zn mineralisation associated with the overlying sandstone unit. Within 3km of the boundary of this EP are over 300 tightly-spaced drill holes, which were used to

Criteria	JORC Code explanation			Commentary	
			2000_130, EP-2000_165, EP-2005_198, EP-2005_236 & EP-2014_39		define the Lövstrand Pb-Zn resource. Many of these holes are likely to have been drilled through the underlying alum shale. In addition to the drilling, Boliden carried out various rock-grab, soil and stream sampling programs over the broader area.
		Continental Precious Minerals	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_117	Geological mapping	CPM carried out alum shale outcrop mapping and point radiometric surveying to the immediate south of the EP boundary. Here they mapped multiple alum shale outcrops that reported elevated radiometric anomalies typical of the alum shale.
	Abborholmberget nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic and radiometric survey in 1969. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.
			SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Drilling	There are no holes directly over this EP, but there are over 70 holes in the immediate proximity of the EP boundary all of which targeted the alum shale.
		Mawson	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2006_62 & EP-2006_43	Ground geophysics	Mawson held two permits over this area and carried out ground spectrometer surveys.
	Brattremmen nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic and radiometric survey in 1969. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.
			SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Drilling	The SGU drilled 13 holes over this EP between 1961 and 1972. The drill cores for these holes are all stored at the drill archive in Mala and have been viewed by NHU geologists.
		Mawson	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2006_61	Ground geophysics	Mawson held three permits over this area, but only submitted results from a ground spectrometer survey carried out over EP-2006_61.
	Kalkberget nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric, Tensor-VLF and VLF survey in 2006. Lines were flown N-S along 400m spaced intervals flown at 60m above surface. No other company has held an EP over this area previously.
		Boliden	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2001_79, EP-2005_217, EP-2006_209 & EP-2006_360	Drilling	Boliden drilled 9 holes totalling 618m over this area in 2007. Boliden were targeting Pb-Zn mineralisation within the sandstone unit that overlies the alum shale. Prior to this, they carried out rock-grab sampling over outcrop and geological mapping.
		Nordic Mines	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_79	Soil sampling	Nordic Mines were targeting the area to the east within the overlying sandstone unit typically associated with Pb-Zn mineralisation. They collected and assayed 550 samples. Details of how the samples were collected are not provided.
		Continental Precious Minerals	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2006_54	Drilling	CPM drilled one-hole totalling 124m in 2008. They were targeting the alum shale in this area. No assay or gamma results provided, but the drill logs confirm that the alum shale has the similar appearance to that of the Myrviken alum shale.
	Stormyran nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric, Tensor-VLF and VLF survey in 2006. Lines were flown N-S along 400m spaced intervals flown at 60m above surface. No other company has held an EP over this area previously.
Krokom	Storvallflon nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics	The area was flown by a regional airborne magnetic, radiometric, Tensor-VLF and VLF survey in 2011. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 60m above surface. Compared

Criteria		JORC Code explanation			Commentary		
						to many of the other airborne surveys, this is a relatively recent survey, which delineated a discrete 2km diameter radiometric anomaly, which was never previously investigated until Neu Horizon ground truthed the anomaly in September 2025. It was confirmed that the anomaly related to highly-elevated radioactive alum shale, which had been incorrectly mapped as greywacke.	
	Djupsjöberget nr 100	Aura Energy Ltd	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_326	General prospecting		Aura Energy held the ground between 2007-2014, but they did not submit any exploration data to the SGU after relinquishing the ground. Alum shale was mapped over the area and ground truthing by Neu Horizon during September 2025 confirmed the presence of elevated radiation associated with alum shales. The SGU has not flown this area as part of the regional airborne geophysical surveys.	
	Kälen nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics		The area was flown by the regional airborne magnetic and radiometric survey in 1976. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.	
		Lappland Guldprospekt. AB	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	General prospecting		Lappland Guldprospekt. AB held the ground from 1998-1999, but did not submit any exploration data to the SGU upon relinquishment.	
		Aura Energy Ltd	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_223	Ground geophysics		Aura Energy held the ground between 2007-2014. They carried out carborne and walk spectrometer surveying over parts of the alum shale that showed up as radiometric anomalies on the airborne radiometric survey.	
			SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_223	Geological mapping & rock-chip sampling		Aura Energy collected a selection of 39 rock-chip samples from different alum shale outcrops across the property.	
			SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_223	Drilling		Aura Energy drilled 3 core holes over the EP totalling 273.32m. The best intersection recorded 143ppm U3O8 over 60m from drill hole 11DDOL003.	
	Hamborg nr 100	SGU	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/)	Airborne geophysics		The area was flown by the regional airborne magnetic and radiometric survey in 1976. Lines were flown E-W along 200m spaced intervals flown at 30m above surface.	
		Aura Energy Ltd	SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_327 & EP-2008_183	Ground geophysics		Aura Energy held the ground between 2007-2014. They carried out carborne and walk spectrometer surveying over parts of the alum shale that showed up as radiometric anomalies on the airborne radiometric survey.	
			SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_327 & EP-2008_183	Geological mapping & rock-chip sampling		Aura Energy collected a selection of 24 rock-chip samples from different alum shale outcrops across the property.	
			SGU data (https://www.sgu.se/) - EP-2007_327 & EP-2008_183	Drilling		Aura Energy drilled 1 core hole over the EP totalling 146.02m. The best intersection recorded 124ppm U3O8 over 110m from drill hole 11DDHB001.	
	Geology • Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. • The International Atomic Energy Agency (“IAEA”) defined 15 (fifteen) different types of uranium deposits, of which two are found in Sweden, namely metasomatite (or structurally-controlled) and black shale deposits. • Metasomatite uranium deposits consist of unevenly disseminated uranium in structurally deformed rocks affected by sodic and/or potassic metasomatism. Given the structural control on this style of mineralisation, these deposits are also referred to as shear-hosted or structurally-controlled uranium deposits. Neu Horizon adopted the term structurally-						

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		controlled for this deposit type. Major examples of this type include the Elkon district (Russia), the Lagoa Real-Caetite district (Brazil), Kropyvnytskyi (Ukraine), Valhalla and Skala (Australia), Michelin (Canada) and Lianshanguan (China). • According to Wilde (2020), structurally-controlled uranium deposits collectively contain as much uranium as the better-known unconformity-type deposits but at lower grades. The most important districts include Kropyvnytskyi (Ukraine), Lagoa Real (Brazil), Mount Isa (Australia) and the Central Mineral Belt (Canada). Collectively, these four districts contain sixty individual uranium deposits hosting over 1,300Mlbs U₃O₈. • The majority of structurally-controlled uranium deposits are hosted within planar ductile deformation zones overprinted by brittle cataclasites and breccias (Wilde, 2020). Regional albitisation commonly occurs during ductile deformation (mylonitisation), while uranium is in almost all instances introduced at a later stage under brittle-ductile conditions (Wilde, 2020). The transition from ductile to brittle-ductile deformation is consistent with progressive deformation under a declining temperature regime as observed by the hydrothermal alteration assemblages of the various deposits studied (Wilde, 2020). These features are commonly observed at both the Arvidsjaur and Hotagen Projects, where the uranium mineralisation precipitated post-orogenesis within late-stage fractures, cataclasites and/or breccia zones. • In Sweden, there are a number of well-studied structurally-controlled uranium deposits in both the Arvidsjaur-Arjeplog-Sorsele and Hotagen uranium districts where the Arvidsjaur and Hotagen Projects are located respectively. • The Arvidsjaur, Berg and Hotagen Project areas are underlain by Precambrian basement typically comprised of Meso- to Paleoproterozoic-aged granitoids and felsic metavolcanics. The Arvidsjaur and Berg Projects are underlain by rocks primarily affected by the Svecokarelian orogeny, with uranium mineralisation dated at around 1,700-1,750Ma. The Hotagen Project is underlain by the slightly younger Mesoproterozoic-aged Olden Granite and related rhyolites. This area forms a window within the younger Caledonide orogeny with the uranium mineralisation dated at ~420Ma. The uranium mineralisation over all three projects is associated with uraninite that is typically disseminated or vein-hosted within narrow fracture and fault zones.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		• Black shale uranium deposits consist of marine organic-rich shale or coal-rich pyritic shale, containing syn-sedimentary disseminated uranium adsorbed onto organic material and clays. Examples include the uraniferous alum shales in Sweden, the Rudnoye and Zapadno-Kokpatasskaya deposits in Uzbekistan, the Chatanooga shale in the USA, deposits in the Guangxi Autonomous Region, China, and the Gera-Ronneburg deposit, Germany. • The Vilhelmina and Krokum Projects were targeted for black shale uranium mineralisation. All project areas are underlain by Cambrian metasediments that include the extensive Alum Shale Formation (“ASF”). The ASF was deposited onto the Baltoscandian Platform during a period of great stability in the Late Cambrian. Deposition started in the Middle Cambrian and continued in some areas into the earliest Ordovician. The ASF hosts anomalous syngenetic concentrations of uranium, vanadium, molybdenum, nickel, zinc, copper, cobalt, rare earth elements, potash and phosphate. • Black shale deposits contain some of the world's largest uranium accumulations albeit at low or very low grades. The IAEA (2018) describes black shale deposits as unconventional uranium resources from which uranium may be produced as a by- or co-product. Two subtypes are recognised, namely stratiform and stockwork black shale deposits. • Stratiform deposits comprise marine, organic-rich shale and coaliferous, pyritic shale, containing syn-sedimentary, uniformly disseminated uranium adsorbed onto organic material and clay minerals (IAEA, 2018). These deposits typically form in epicontinental rift basins in tectonically stable cratonic environments. Examples include the historically mined Ranstad deposit in southern Sweden, and the Viken and Haggan polymetallic deposits in northern Sweden. • According to the IAEA (2018), stockwork deposits take the form of structurally-controlled, stratabound uranium ores in microfracture stockworks developed within or adjacent to black shale horizons. These deposits typically form in collisional orogenic tectonic settings. Examples include the deposits associated with Ronneburg uranium district in Germany and the Dzhanthuar deposit in Uzbekistan. • The Vilhelmina Project is located in a similar geological setting to that of the Viken, Haggan and Tåsjö polymetallic alum shale deposits near the eastern front of the Swedish Caledonides. As such, the polymetallic mineralisation associated with the Vilhelmina Project is of the stratiform black shale deposit type. Although the Krokum Project is similarly underlain by black

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		shales, this area is located much further west within the central part of the Swedish Caledonides. As such, this area experienced higher grades of deformation and metamorphism during the Caledonian Orogeny. Based on an initial interpretation of the airborne radiometric and magnetic data, followed by field observations, Neu Horizon targeted the Krokum Project for its potential to host stockwork type black shale mineralisation.
Drill hole Information	• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: ○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar ○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar ○ dip and azimuth of the hole ○ down hole length and interception depth ○ hole length. • If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	• To date, no drilling has been carried out by Neu Horizon. • Historically, a total of 164 drill holes were drilled over the various Neu Horizon projects (Table 3). • Below is a summary of the drilling completed on a per project basis. <u>Arvidsjaur Project:</u> Ravenberget Prospect: ○ 23 shallow percussion holes to depths of around 6.5m were completed by the SGU over the Östra Järntjärnbäcken Target in 1983. Core drilling was motivated, but not executed. ○ 17 core holes totaling 1,729.45m were completed by Hodges Resources Limited over the Östra Järntjärnbäcken Target between 2008 and 2011 (Table 3). The drill cores are stored at the SGU drill core archive in Malå. Highlight intersections included: ▪ 7m @ 0.12% U from 22m including, 0.5m @ 0.14% U from 16.75m in hole JTB08002. ▪ 1.1m @ 0.09% U from 33m in hole JTB08007. ▪ 2m @ 0.03% U from 49m in hole JTB08004. ▪ 17m @ 0.1% U from 60m including, 12m @ 0.12% U from 63m and 3m @ 0.1% U from 74m in hole JTB1011. ▪ 19m @ 0.03% U from 91m including, 5m @ 0.07% U from 98m; in hole JTB1011. ▪ 7.7m @ 0.05% U from 159.3m in hole JTB1117. ▪ 13.1m @ 0.05% U from 169.9m including, 9.1m @ 0.07% U in hole JTB1117. Arresåive Prospect: ○ 9 core holes totaling 886.90m were completed over the Arresåive Prospect, including 6 on the northern side and 3 on the southern side of the mountain, by the SGU. The results were generally poor with no

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		significant uranium mineralised intersections reported. No analytical results were provided, but the drill cores are likely to be stored at the SGU drill core archive in Malå. Laisbäcken Prospect: 6 core holes totaling 628.15m were completed over the Laisbäcken Target in 1980/1981 by the SGU. The reports indicated that minor uranium mineralisation was intersected, but no specific analytical information was provided. No analytical results were provided, but the drill cores are likely to be stored at the SGU drill core archive in Malå. <u>Berg Project:</u> Hortesberget Prospect: 28 core holes totaling 2,647.59m were completed over the Hortesberget Prospect by Boliden between 1985 and 1988. These holes targeted precious and base metal targets within the eastern portion of the EP. <u>Hotagen Project:</u> Stensjöån Target: - A total of 28 drill holes, including 12 percussion holes and 16 core holes of unknown lengths were completed over the Stensjöån Target by the SGU between 1980 and 1983. The assay results were not provided, but the reports indicated that only minor uranium mineralisation was intersected. No analytical results were provided, but the drill cores are likely to be stored at the SGU drill core archive in Malå. Spjuttjärnen Target: 17 core holes totaling 1,126.00m were completed over the Spjuttjärnen Target by the SGU between 1982 and 1983. The assay results were not provided, but the reports indicated that only minor uranium mineralisation was intersected. No analytical results were provided, but the drill cores are likely to be stored at the SGU drill core archive in Malå.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<u>Vilhelmina Project:</u> Stenberget Prospect: 7 core holes totaling 697.33 m were completed over the Stenberget Prospect by three different companies including Boliden (1951), the SGU (1971/1972) and Continental Precious Minerals (2008). Boliden were exploring for base metal deposits, whereas the SGU and Continental Precious Minerals were exploring the Alum Shale Formation for uranium. No analytical results were provided, but the drill cores are available at the SGU drill core archive in Malå and have been re-logged and radiometrically surveyed by Neu Horizon. Kalkberget Prospect: 10 core holes totaling 742m were completed over the Kalkberget Prospect, of which 9 were drilled by Boliden who were exploring for base metal deposits in 2007. The other hole was drilled by Continental Precious Minerals in 2008 who were exploring the Alum Shale Formation for uranium. No analytical results were provided, but the drill cores are available at the SGU drill core archive in Malå. Brattremmen Prospect: 14 core holes totaling 864.70m were completed over the Brattremmen Prospect by AB Atomenergi and the SGU between 1961 and 1972. Both companies were exploring the Alum Shale Formation for uranium. No analytical results were provided, but the drill cores are available at the SGU drill core archive in Malå and have been re-logged and radiometrically surveyed by Neu Horizon. <u>Krokom Project:</u> Kälen Prospect: 3 core holes totaling 273.32m were completed over the Kälen Prospect by Aura Energy in 2011. Highlight intersections included: 28m @ 110ppm U₃O₈ from 10m in hole 11DDOL001. 60m @ 143ppm U₃O₈ from 6m in hole 11DDOL003. Hamborg Prospect:

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
----------	-----------------------	------------

- 1 core hole totaling 146.02m was completed over the Hamborg Prospect by Aura Energy in 2011. Highlight intersections included:
 - 110m @ 124ppm U₃O₈ from 24m in hole 11DD8001.

Table 3. All historical drill hole information.

Project	Prospect	Target	Company	Drill Type	Drill ID	Year	Datum	North ing	East ing	RT	Azimuth	Dip	Overburden (m)	Depth (m)
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83001	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72944 17	6745 92		-	90	1.45	4.20
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83002	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72944 25	6745 98		-	90	1.00	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83003	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72944 20	6746 06		-	90	1.00	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83004	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72944 12	6746 01		-	90	1.75	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83005	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72944 03	6745 95		-	90	2.90	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83006	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72944 06	6746 09		-	90	1.00	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83007	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72944 00	6746 17		-	90	1.65	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83008	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 98	6746 03		-	90	1.45	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83009	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 92	6746 12		-	90	1.25	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83010	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 87	6746 20		-	90	1.25	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83011	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 89	6746 34		-	90	1.85	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83012	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 95	6746 26		-	90	1.00	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83013	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72953 25	6732 27		-	90	1.75	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83014	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 84	6746 06		-	90	1.25	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83015	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 76	6746 37		-	90	1.45	6.55
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83016	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 81	6746 28		-	90	1.65	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83017	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72944 03	6746 31		-	90	1.65	6.65
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83018	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 98	6746 40		-	90	1.65	6.65

Criteria		JORC Code explanation					Commentary									
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83019	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 95	6746 26		-	90	2.05	6.65		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83020	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 67	6746 31		-	90	1.25	6.65		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83021	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72943 70	6746 45		-	90	1.45	6.85		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83022	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72944 28	6746 12		-	90	1.25	6.65		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	SKB	Percussion	JTB83023	1983	SWEREF99 TM	72945 13	6747 01		-	90	1.00	6.65		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB8001	2008	SWEREF99 TM	72944 04	6745 78	393	120	45	5.60	58.15		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB8002	2008	SWEREF99 TM	72944 26	6746 45	393	120	45	3.00	95.90		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB8003	2008	SWEREF99 TM	72944 59	6745 79	393	120	45	-	54.90		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB8004	2008	SWEREF99 TM	72944 82	6746 45	393	120	45	4.40	74.00		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB8005	2008	SWEREF99 TM	72945 27	6746 68	393	120	45	5.20	98.05		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB8006	2008	SWEREF99 TM	72945 71	6746 89	393	120	45	-	97.50		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB8007	2008	SWEREF99 TM	72944 44	6746 11	393	120	45	4.95	77.40		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1008	2010	SWEREF99 TM	72944 69	6746 80	396	120	44	1.10	72.40		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1009	2010	SWEREF99 TM	72943 72	6746 50	401	122	45	2.80	68.80		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1010	2010	SWEREF99 TM	72943 88	6746 30	396	115	47	2.40	63.50		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1011	2010	SWEREF99 TM	72945 02	6746 21	389	121	45	5.50	118.20		
Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1012	2010	SWEREF99 TM	72945 52	6746 32	390	113	43	5.40	91.30		

Criteria		JORC Code explanation				Commentary									
	Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1013	2010	SWEREF99 TM	72944 47	6746 56	389	118	46	2.30	77.20
	Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1114	2011	SWEREF99 TM	72945 38	6745 53	397	120	45	9.30	97.10
	Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1115	2011	SWEREF99 TM	72945 40	6745 93	397	247	49	7.83	129.20
	Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1116	2011	SWEREF99 TM	72945 13	6745 76	395	125	49	6.15	205.50
	Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1116A	2011	SWEREF99 TM	72945 13	6745 76	395	125	49	5.10	13.80
	Arvidsjaur	Ravenberget	Östra Järntjärnbäcken	Hodges Resources Limited	Core	JTB1117	2011	SWEREF99 TM	72945 74	6745 12	395	129	43	11.40	250.40
	Arvidsjaur	Arresåive	Arresåive	SGU	Core	ARS77101	1977	SWEREF99 TM	72811 81	6315 31	528	225	55	3.00	108.88
	Arvidsjaur	Arresåive	Arresåive	SGU	Core	ARS77102	1977	SWEREF99 TM	72810 35	6314 95	544	225	55	1.20	120.00
	Arvidsjaur	Arresåive	Arresåive	SGU	Core	ARS77103	1977	SWEREF99 TM	72810 83	6315 07	534	225	55	1.40	103.20
	Arvidsjaur	Arresåive	Arresåive	SGU	Core	ARS77104	1977	SWEREF99 TM	72811 32	6315 19	529	225	55	1.41	96.55
	Arvidsjaur	Arresåive	Arresåive	SGU	Core	ARS77105	1977	SWEREF99 TM	72809 86	6314 84	554	225	55	1.57	103.78
	Arvidsjaur	Arresåive	Arresåive	SGU	Core	ARS77106	1977	SWEREF99 TM	72810 45	6314 48	550	45	55	2.48	90.60
	Arvidsjaur	Arresåive	Arresåive	SGU	Core	ARS78101	1978	SWEREF99 TM	72808 12	6310 77	588	90	55	-	75.20
	Arvidsjaur	Arresåive	Arresåive	SGU	Core	ARS78102	1978	SWEREF99 TM	72808 12	6310 77	585	90	55	0.70	125.43
	Arvidsjaur	Arresåive	Arresåive	SGU	Core	ARS78103	1978	SWEREF99 TM	72807 73	6311 14	588	270	55	-	63.26
	Arvidsjaur	Laisbäcken	Laisbäck	SKBF	Core	LSB80001	1980	SWEREF99 TM	72345 80	5935 31		270	55	4.50	110.60
	Arvidsjaur	Laisbäcken	Laisbäck	SKBF	Core	LSB80002	1980	SWEREF99 TM	72345 42	5935 64		270	55	6.00	117.05
	Arvidsjaur	Laisbäcken	Laisbäck	SKBF	Core	LSB80003	1980	SWEREF99 TM	72345 48	5935 85		270	55	10.00	42.30
	Arvidsjaur	Laisbäcken	Laisbäck	SKBF	Core	LSB80004	1980	SWEREF99 TM	72345 27	5935 30		270	55	6.00	133.90
	Arvidsjaur	Laisbäcken	Laisbäck	SKBF	Core	LSB80005	1980	SWEREF99 TM	72345 86	5934 99		270	55	4.30	103.00

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary							
	Arvidsjaur	Laisbäcken	Laisbäck	SKBF	Core	LSB80006	1980	SWEREF99 TM	72346 30	5935 28		270	55	4.80	121.30
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB01		SWEREF99 TM	69145 77	5001 66		270	50	6.00	91.20
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB02		SWEREF99 TM	69146 97	5001 64		270	50	5.00	119.50
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB03		SWEREF99 TM	69147 55	5000 87		90	50	7.00	90.00
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB04		SWEREF99 TM	69145 78	5002 06		270	50	12.90	171.00
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB05		SWEREF99 TM	69145 57	5001 67		236	50	65.40	88.05
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB06		SWEREF99 TM	69145 72	5001 56		236	50	8.70	80.00
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB07		SWEREF99 TM	69146 06	5001 36		236	50	8.70	80.01
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB08		SWEREF99 TM	69146 76	5001 29		270	50	6.16	47.53
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB09		SWEREF99 TM	69146 56	5001 40		270	50	5.22	40.40
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB10		SWEREF99 TM	69153 67	4994 79		45	50	17.52	122.73
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB11		SWEREF99 TM	69155 73	4999 85		270	50	111.44	126.00
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB12		SWEREF99 TM	69154 73	4999 97		270	50	9.50	113.22
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB13		SWEREF99 TM	69161 70	5026 61		270	50	6.15	36.57
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC14		SWEREF99 TM	69141 22	5006 02		231	50	7.80	119.86
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC15		SWEREF99 TM	69144 72	5002 25		231	50	6.86	69.05
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB16		SWEREF99 TM	69146 37	5001 61		270	50	6.41	101.80
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB17		SWEREF99 TM	69147 24	5001 52		270	50	89.70	121.20
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENB18		SWEREF99 TM	69146 56	5000 95		270	50	4.31	100.30
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC19		SWEREF99 TM	69154 03	5000 00		231	50	5.75	120.85
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC20		SWEREF99 TM	69153 68	5000 35		231	50	6.56	101.50
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC21		SWEREF99 TM	69152 93	5000 71		231	50	5.96	121.26

Criteria		JORC Code explanation					Commentary								
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC22		SWEREF99 TM	69155 83	4999 65		231	50	7.56	16.48
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC23		SWEREF99 TM	69155 57	4999 36		51	50	9.30	72.20
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC24		SWEREF99 TM	69155 73	4999 23		51	50	8.65	80.60
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC25		SWEREF99 TM	69155 70	4999 51		51	50	8.30	25.05
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC26		SWEREF99 TM	69156 36	4998 90		51	50	8.05	150.00
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC27		SWEREF99 TM	69158 89	4996 93		231	50	79.45	121.21
	Berg	Hortesberget	Enstern	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	ENC28		SWEREF99 TM	69159 21	4994 19		231	50	8.70	120.02
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8020 1	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70963 74	4391 57		270	55	2.10	154.06
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8020 2	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70986 48	4392 12		270	55	1.10	146.50
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8020 3	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70963 79	4390 57		270	55	2.50	141.50
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8020 4	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70954 25	4391 11		270	55	2.70	148.48
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8020 5	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70963 71	4392 07		270	55	4.20	150.30
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8020 6	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70963 22	4392 04		270	55		101.40
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8020 7	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70963 22	4392 04		270	55		94.50
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8020 8	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70963 19	4392 54		270	55		74.00
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8020 9	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70962 72	4392 02		270	55		31.00
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8021 0	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70962 72	4392 02		270	55		66.50
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8021 1	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70962 69	4392 52		270	55		91.00
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8021 2	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70962 19	4392 50		270	55		57.00
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8021 3	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70962 22	4392 00		270	55		34.00
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8021 4	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70962 24	4391 50		270	55		57.60
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8021 5	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70962 74	4391 52		270	55		67.50

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary							
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8021 6	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70963 26	4391 05		270	55		37.50
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Percussion	STJO8021 7	1980	SWEREF99 TM	70962 76	4391 02		270	55		64.50
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8120 1	1981	SWEREF99 TM	70963 96	4390 18		270	55	2.22	102.80
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8120 2	1981	SWEREF99 TM	70964 26	4391 09		270	55	7.20	103.40
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8120 3	1981	SWEREF99 TM	70964 24	4391 59		270	55	1.60	202.40
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8120 4	1981	SWEREF99 TM	70964 21	4392 09		270	55	4.00	203.45
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8120 5	1981	SWEREF99 TM	70964 74	4391 62		270	55	4.35	194.30
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8120 6	1981	SWEREF99 TM	70964 71	4392 12		270	55	2.26	200.30
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8320 1	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70964 06	4391 23		90	55	1.15	66.40
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8320 2	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70964 07	4391 03		90	55	3.50	92.65
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8320 3	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70963 82	4391 02		90	55	1.00	90.80
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8320 4	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70963 57	4391 01		90	55	2.70	95.00
	Hotagen	Stensjöån	Stensjöån	SKB	Core	STJO8320 5	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70963 08	4391 04		90	55	2.00	100.50
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPS82601	1982	SWEREF99 TM	70969 23	4400 04		270	55	1.40	69.10
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPS82602	1982	SWEREF99 TM	70968 79	4399 80		270	55	0.75	70.45
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPS82603	1982	SWEREF99 TM	70968 18	4399 36		270	55	1.45	50.10
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPS82604	1982	SWEREF99 TM	70968 30	4399 14		270	55	2.70	80.75
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPS82605	1982	SWEREF99 TM	70968 30	4399 14		270	65	3.40	88.55
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPS82606	1982	SWEREF99 TM	70968 50	4399 31		270	55	2.60	82.25
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPS82607	1982	SWEREF99 TM	70968 00	4399 15		270	55	2.70	51.30
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPS82608	1982	SWEREF99 TM	70970 12	4400 51		270	55	2.80	68.55
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPA83601	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70970 57	4400 70		270	55	5.30	58.15

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary							
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPA83602	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70971 48	4401 14		270	55	2.79	69.60
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPA83603	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70972 24	4401 87		270	55	2.35	70.60
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPA83604	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70973 12	4402 34		270	55	3.16	71.55
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPA83605	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70974 06	4402 73		270	55	2.05	70.70
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPA83606	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70974 93	4403 20		270	55	2.05	70.90
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPA83607	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70975 50	4403 34		270	55	2.70	53.00
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPA83608	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70975 25	4403 26		270	55	1.20	50.45
	Hotagen	Spjuttjärnen	Spjuttjärnen	SKBF	Core	SPA83609	1983	SWEREF99 TM	70976 11	4403 26		270	55	5.00	50.00
	Vilhelmina	Stenberget	Bellvik	Continental Precious Mineral Inc.	Core	BLV0801	2008	SWEREF99 TM	71331 25	5503 64		-	90	10.70	87.00
	Vilhelmina	Stenberget	Lövstrand	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	LOV385	1951	SWEREF99 TM	71370 74	5486 35		-	90	1.49	127.01
	Vilhelmina	Stenberget	Lövstrand	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	LOV390	1952	SWEREF99 TM	71373 61	5503 15		-	90	4.37	68.64
	Vilhelmina	Stenberget	Tåsjö	SGU	Core	TAS71006	1971	SWEREF99 TM	71348 76	5347 80		90	70	5.96	53.86
	Vilhelmina	Stenberget	Tåsjö	SGU	Core	TAS71010	1971	SWEREF99 TM	71364 62	5385 20		90	70	5.35	49.09
	Vilhelmina	Stenberget	Tåsjö	SGU	Core	TAS71011	1971	SWEREF99 TM	71376 62	5398 62		90	70	11.35	255.55
	Vilhelmina	Stenberget	Tåsjö	SGU	Core	TAS72001	1972	SWEREF99 TM	71385 52	5405 60		135	75	10.57	56.18
	Vilhelmina	Kalkberget	Nyhamnsberget	Continental Precious Mineral Inc.	Core	NHM0801	2008	SWEREF99 TM	71103 92	5381 21		-	90	2.80	124.00
	Vilhelmina	Kalkberget	Petrustorpet	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	PET24	2007	SWEREF99 TM	71037 37	5376 02		90	80	5.00	99.60
	Vilhelmina	Kalkberget	Petrustorpet	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	PET25	2007	SWEREF99 TM	71038 39	5377 33		90	80	2.00	82.00
	Vilhelmina	Kalkberget	Petrustorpet	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	PET26	2007	SWEREF99 TM	71038 83	5378 33		90	80	2.70	49.50
	Vilhelmina	Kalkberget	Petrustorpet	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	PET27	2007	SWEREF99 TM	71038 07	5377 73		90	80	1.50	43.50
	Vilhelmina	Kalkberget	Petrustorpet	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	PET28	2007	SWEREF99 TM	71036 95	5378 46		90	80	1.80	79.50
	Vilhelmina	Kalkberget	Petrustorpet	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	PET29	2007	SWEREF99 TM	71037 84	5379 90		90	80	1.20	47.20

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary							
	Vilhelmina	Kalkberget	Petrustorpet	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	PET30	2007	SWEREF99 TM	71038 28	5379 34		90	80	0.70	50.00
	Vilhelmina	Kalkberget	Petrustorpet	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	PET31	2007	SWEREF99 TM	71035 86	5378 88		90	80	0.70	79.30
	Vilhelmina	Kalkberget	Petrustorpet	Boliden Mineral AB	Core	PET32	2007	SWEREF99 TM	71036 32	5376 15		90	80	2.00	87.40
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	AB Atomenergi	Core	TAS61011	1961	SWEREF99 TM	71425 34	5284 52	258	-	90	3.65	34.07
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	AB Atomenergi	Core	TAS63042	1963	SWEREF99 TM	71402 44	5362 48	373		45	2.00	11.26
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	AB Atomenergi	Core	TAS63070	1963	SWEREF99 TM	71418 21	5289 71		-	90	3.45	17.88
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	AB Atomenergi	Core	TAS64071	1964	SWEREF99 TM	71427 93	5276 19	296	-	90	8.60	78.72
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	AB Atomenergi	Core	TAS64072	1964	SWEREF99 TM	71415 78	5271 94	329	-	90	2.70	101.00
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	AB Atomenergi	Core	TAS64073	1964	SWEREF99 TM	71393 36	5269 83		-	90	-	190.05
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	AB Atomenergi	Core	TAS64074	1964	SWEREF99 TM	71342 35	5322 46		-	90	6.35	38.05
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	AB Atomenergi	Core	TAS64075	1964	SWEREF99 TM	71342 60	5326 85		-	90	7.35	80.77
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	SGU	Core	TAS72014	1972	SWEREF99 TM	71373 37	5325 30		135	70	10.56	55.25
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	SGU	Core	TAS72015	1972	SWEREF99 TM	71388 93	5309 38		45	70	4.70	70.86
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	SGU	Core	TAS72016	1972	SWEREF99 TM	71396 59	5292 09		135	70	11.00	50.59
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	SGU	Core	TAS72017	1972	SWEREF99 TM	71415 70	5294 42		135	70	8.97	49.81
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	SGU	Core	TAS72018	1972	SWEREF99 TM	71422 47	5282 27		135	70	4.96	50.59
	Vilhelmina	Brattremmen	Tåsjö	SGU	Core	TAS72019	1972	SWEREF99 TM	71434 15	5273 87		90	70	3.35	35.80
	Krokom	Kälen	Olden	Aura Energy Ltd.	Core	11DDOL00 1	2011	SWEREF99 TM	70595 16	4300 31	436	-	90	7.81	43.93
	Krokom	Kälen	Olden	Aura Energy Ltd.	Core	11DDOL00 2	2011	SWEREF99 TM	70616 36	4176 86	429	-	90	1.53	122.51
	Krokom	Kälen	Olden	Aura Energy Ltd.	Core	11DDOL00 3	2011	SWEREF99 TM	70608 97	4210 75	561	-	90	1.50	106.88
	Krokom	Hamborg	Hamborg	Aura Energy Ltd.	Core	11DDHB0 01	2011	SWEREF99 TM	70589 81	3945 88	419	284	55	7.30	146.02

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Data aggregation methods	<i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high-grade results and longer lengths of low-grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	- All historical drill holes were exploratory in nature, and therefore none of the historical results were used for Mineral Resource Estimation. - The drill intersection results reported within the JORC Table and accompanying Competent Person report have been presented as weighted averages based on historical assay results.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</i>	The drill intersection results reported within the JORC Table and accompanying Competent Person report have been presented as down-hole lengths as insufficient structural geological work was done on the historic drill core to accurately determine the true thickness of the mineralisation.
Diagrams	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	No drilling has been conducted by Neu Horizon to date and therefore no drill intersections have been reported.
Balanced reporting	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	No drilling has been conducted by Neu Horizon to date and therefore no drill intersections have been reported, which may lead to unbalanced reporting.
Other substantive exploration data	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	- The most significant exploration dataset from the work carried out by Neu Horizon relates to the geochemical assay results from the 125 rock-chip samples that were collected from a combination of outcrop and boulder samples across the various projects. - Basic rock-chip sample information with accompanying uranium results provided as total uranium and U₃O₈ are presented in Table 3. - The full suite of multi-element assay results from the rock-chip samples collected by Neu Horizon are presented in Table 4. - Of the 125 rock-chip samples: 63 samples were collected from outcrop and boulder samples across the Arvidsjaur Project. Four of the samples returned U₃O₈ values over 1%, with the highest value returning over 4% from an episyenite boulder. The average U₃O₈ value for all 63 samples was ~2,580ppm.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		○ 18 samples were collected from outcrop and boulders samples across the Berg Project. The highest U₃O₈ value returned ~430ppm from an outcrop of sheared/mylonitised granodiorite. The average U₃O₈ value from all 18 samples was ~111ppm. ○ 12 samples were collected from outcrop and boulders samples across the Hotagen Project. The highest U₃O₈ value returned ~1.2% from a boulder of brecciated, biotite-rich granite. The average U₃O₈ value from all 12 samples was ~2,140ppm. ○ 25 samples were collected mainly from outcrop across the Vilhelmina Project. The highest U₃O₈ value returned ~52ppm from an outcrop of uraniferous black shale exposed over a large road-cutting near the village of Norråker. The average U₃O₈ value from all 25 samples was ~18ppm. ○ 7 samples were collected from outcrop across the Krokomb Project. The highest U₃O₈ value returned ~211ppm from an outcrop of uraniferous black shale exposed over a large road-cutting. The average U₃O₈ value from all 7 samples was ~61ppm. • The calculated average uranium grades from each project area need to be interpreted with caution given that the rock-chip sampling was carried out randomly and across multiple prospects. • The highest average and total uranium grades were recovered from the samples collected over the Arvidsjaur and Hotagen structurally-controlled uranium projects, which have been targeted for their potential to host low-tonnage, high-grade uranium deposits. • The lowest average and total uranium grades were recovered from the samples collected over the Vilhelmina and Krokomb black-shale projects, where have been targeted for their potential to host high-tonnage, low-grade uranium deposits.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation						Commentary				
Table 3. All rock-chip sample information and uranium results from samples collected by Neu Horizon.											
Project	Year	Sample Type	Sample ID	Northing	Easting	Elevation (m)	Date	Rock Type	Rock Description	U ppm	U ₃ O ₈ ppm
Arvidsjaur	2024	Outcrop	RAV001	7293481	670095	418	9/18/2024	Granite	Old Långträsk anomaly on the outer edge of our Exploration Permit. Located ~180m west of the forestry road. The country rock is medium-grained, massive and light-pink granite outcrop with background Radiacode readings of 10cps. The sample was collected from a steeply dipping shear zone striking ~325 degrees (NW). The shear zone was relatively narrow, but may extend of 10-15m. The granite has been altered to a dark red, potassic episyenite along the fracture zone and there was clear evidence of yellow uranophane along the fracture planes. The Radiacode reading was 23cps.	10.4	12.26
Arvidsjaur	2024	Outcrop	RAV002	7293504	670096	423	9/18/2024	Granite	Sample collected approximately 20m north of RAV001 from what is thought to be part of the same shear zone along the strike was ~300 degrees. Uranophane was more prevalent along the fracture planes within this localised area and the Radiacode reading was 20cps.	6.2	7.31
Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV003	7294287	674682	383	9/18/2024	Episyenite	Old Östra Järntjärnbäcken anomaly within our Exploration Permit. Located approximately 330m south of the gravel forestry road at the join with a small, but perennial stream. Boulder of pinkish-red granite with a surface coating of yellow uranophane on multiple boulders approximately 20-30cm in diameter. The boulders were not as deformed as the outcrop observed at RAV001 and RAV002, but some quartz recrystallisation/annealing was observed and minor hairline fractures passing through the boulders. Radiacode reading of 19cps.	3.2	3.77
Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV004	7294263	674630	393	9/18/2024	Episyenite	Sample collected approximately 60m west of RAV003 in a similar setting with multiple 10-15cm diameter angular boulders located under a much larger 3m diameter boulder with clear uranophane coating. The sample was collected from a relatively unaltered, light pinkish-grey, medium-grained and equicrystalline boulder, therefore the boulder might not be primarily mineralised.	5	5.9
Arvidsjaur	2024	Outcrop	RAV005	7294535	674718	379	9/18/2024	Episyenite	Sample located ~130m south of the road where the Radiacode reading shot up over a covered boulder to 200cps. The sample itself was from a deep-reddish-brown episyenite outcrop, although the surface exposure was highly bleached. The Radiacode of the specific sample was ~80cps.	168.5	198.7

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary			
Arvidsjaur	2024	Outcrop	RAV006	7294522	674710	382	9/18/2024	Episyenite	Sampled located ~150m south of the road within a very narrow (sub-1m) and discontinuous episyenite shear zone, which is thought to be the historic Östra Järntjärnbäcken outcrop occurrence as there is clear evidence of historic sampling within the area and when the Radiacode was placed over the shear zone the reading went up to 1,500cps within the better mineralised section.	354	417.44
Arvidsjaur	2024	Outcrop	RAV007	7294536	674716	381	9/18/2024	Episyenite	Similar sample to that of RAV005 taken from the same location.	532	627.33
Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV008	7294643	672849	390	9/18/2024	Granite	Located on the side of the road within a small glacial esker, although many of the boulders were very angular suggesting a local source. Most boulders were within background readings of 8-10cps, but the sampled boulder read 25cps from a fine-grained unaltered granite, which didn't look particularly interesting.	16.4	19.34
Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV009	7285808	680545	387	9/19/2024	Granite	Large boulder field of angular grey, equicrystalline granite boulders up to 3-4m in diameter, thought to have been derived from local source. Sample collected from angular boulder with uranophane coating. The Uranophane is thought to be the result of surficial mineralisation that resulted from the migration through the porous tills. Gamma count reading of 11cps.	5.2	6.13
Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV010	7285947	680743	388	9/19/2024	Granite	~240m NE of RAV009. Similar evidence of uranophane and lighter green oxide coating an undeformed boulder of grey granite.	3.7	4.36
Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV011	7286008	680987	384	9/19/2024	Granite	~240m west of RAV010. Strong coliform coating of uranophane on large hornblende granite with very sparsely disseminated pyrite. Gamma count reading of 13cps.	0.4	0.47
Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV012	7286117	681496	384	9/19/2024	Episyenite	Location of historic Ravenberget historic boulder anomaly ~200m south of an interpreted VLF shear-zone described by the SGU from their work done in 1983. Sample collected from rounded 20cm diameter hematite-episyenite boulder with potential uraninite as thin grey coloured veins. Gamma count of 145cps. Doesn't look sheared, but is highly albitised.	1,185.00	1,397.35
Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV013	7286088	681484	388	9/19/2024	Episyenite	Same type of boulder as RAV012 from very similar location. Boulder is ~10cm in diameter, rounded and gave a gamma count reading of 205cps.	3,350.00	3,950.32
Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV014	7286081	681490	387	9/19/2024	Episyenite	Iron-oxidised (hematite) and albitised sub-angular, 40-50cm boulder from same location as RAV012 and RAV013. Gamma count reading of 300cps.	1,595.00	1,880.82

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary				
	Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV015	7286689	679832	388	9/19/2024	Granite	Yellow-coating on an unaltered pinkish-grey granite boulder located below a larger boulder. Evidence of uranium migration and precipitation through tills.	12.2	14.39
	Arvidsjaur	2024	Boulder	RAV016	7297444	681740	478	9/20/2024	Granite	Yellow-coating on boulders below a much larger boulder within an area that recorded a large airborne radiometric anomaly. Background gamma count is typically 10cps, whereas this area was in the region of 19-25cps.	10.2	12.03
	Arvidsjaur	2024	Outcrop	RAV017	7294521	674711	381	10/19/2024	Episyenite	Revisited this area with AW. Sample located ~150m south of the road within a very narrow (sub-1m) and discontinuous episyenite shear zone, which is thought to be the historic Östra Järntjärnbäcken outcrop occurrence as there is clear evidence of historic sampling within the area and when the Radiacode was placed over the shear zone the reading went up to 1,500cps within the better mineralised section. Sample was collected with a hammer and chisel from the zone that reported the highest Radiacode count.	12,150.00	14,327.28
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV018	7286079	681441	391	7/29/2025	Episyenite	Medium sized boulder with cataclastic episyenitised and hematised reddish radioactive granite boulder.	2,230.00	2,629.62
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV019	7286077	681439	398	7/29/2025	Episyenite	Duplicate of sample RAV018. Medium sized boulder with cataclastic episyenitised and hematised reddish radioactive granite boulder.	2,510.00	2,959.79
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV020	7286177	681435	395	7/29/2025	Episyenite	Large boulder of cataclastic and brecciated reddish-purple (fresh-surface) episyenitised radioactive granite boulder. The boulder is characterised by an off-white-coloured weathering rind and distinctive red oxide coating after hematite.	1,005.00	1,185.10
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV021	7286184	681391	395	7/29/2025	Episyenite	Same boulder type as RAV018-020, comprised of cataclastic and brecciated reddish-purple (fresh-surface) episyenitised radioactive granite. The boulder is characterised by an off-white-coloured weathering rind and distinctive red oxide coating after hematite.	2,710.00	3,195.63
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV022	7285975	681141	390	7/29/2025	Episyenite	Same boulder type as RAV018-021, comprised of cataclastic and brecciated reddish-purple (fresh-surface) episyenitised radioactive granite. The boulder is characterised by an off-white-coloured weathering rind and distinctive red oxide coating after hematite.	2,720.00	3,207.42
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV023	7285888	680994	387	7/29/2025	Episyenite	Large angular boulder of episyenitised granite, which looks to have come from a local source. Not as highly radioactive as other boulders located to the NE, but the angularity suggests a local source.	1,685.00	1,986.95

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary				
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV024	7286134	681408	400	7/29/2025	Episyenite	Same boulder type as RAV018-022, comprised of cataclastic and brecciated reddish-purple (fresh-surface) episyenitised radioactive granite. The boulder is characterised by an off-white-coloured weathering rind and distinctive red oxide coating after hematite.	1,070.00	1,261.74
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV025	7286151	681449	398	7/29/2025	Episyenite	Same boulder type as RAV018-022 & 024, comprised of cataclastic and brecciated reddish-purple (fresh-surface) episyenitised radioactive granite. The boulder is characterised by an off-white-coloured weathering rind and distinctive red oxide coating after hematite.	2,080.00	2,452.74
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV026	7286030	681166	392	7/30/2025	Episyenite	Same boulder type as RAV018-022 & 024-025, comprised of cataclastic and brecciated reddish-purple (fresh-surface) episyenitised radioactive granite. The boulder is characterised by an off-white-coloured weathering rind and distinctive red oxide coating after hematite.	1,995.00	2,352.50
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV027	7285648	683069	386	7/30/2025	Episyenite	Medium rounded boulder with distinctive off-white to pink weathering rind with distinct red oxide after hematite. Episyenite boulder with low mag-susceptibility, but relatively high-density. Travelled a long distance.	459	541.25
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV028	7285410	682228	417	7/30/2025	Episyenite	Small rounded boulder of hematite-stained episyenitised granite.	2,240.00	2,641.41
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV030	7294410	674657	389	7/31/2025	Episyenite	Medium angular boulder of cataclastic episyenitised granite boulder that is demagnetised relative to surrounding granite country rock.	901	1,062.46
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Outcrop	RAV032	7269279	661384	555	08/04/2025	Episyenite vein	Outcropping uranium-mineralised vein sampled from the road-cutting along the drill access path leading to Björkberget. Strong secondary uranium mineralisation (uranophane?) coats the mineralised outcrop. The mineralisation is associated with a zone of multiple N-S trending and steeply dipping uranium-rich veins dipping west (265 degrees) at 82 degrees.	3,980.00	4,693.22
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Outcrop	RAV033	7269281	661332	557	08/04/2025	Episyenite vein	Highly radioactive pyrite-bearing narrow veins sampled from episyenite granite outcrop at Björkberget.	387	456.35
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Outcrop	RAV034	7284102	669435	525	08/04/2025	Episyenite	Sampled collected from a large +15m diameter episyenitised and radioactive outcrop with multiple N-S trending and steeply dipping vein sets spaced roughly 50-100cm apart. Highly radioactive in places, recording over 10,000cps on the RC-110 device.	1,330.00	1,568.34
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV035	7313077	680718	444	08/07/2025	Copper rhyolite	Malachite-copper stained and silicified rhyolite or dacite boulder with fresher pyrite/chalcopyrite veins and magnetite mineralisation. Large and angular boulder that has a moderate to high magnetic susceptibility.	6.1	7.19

Criteria		JORC Code explanation							Commentary			
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV036	7313174	680699	446	08/07/2025	Copper rhyolite	Medium-sized, angular boulder of silicified and magnetite-bearing rhyolite boulder located in close proximity to RAV035. The boulder is similarly cut by thin sulphide veins.	8	9.43
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Outcrop	RAV037	7312626	680960	439	08/07/2025	Uraniferous rhyolite	Sample collected from ~2m diameter angular outcrop of purple, medium-grained and altered granite or rhyolite with slightly elevated radioactivity up to 120cps on the RC-110 device. The elevated radioactivity appears to be related to thin joints or fractures filled with hematite.	53.4	62.97
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Outcrop	RAV038	7312795	681217	480	08/08/2025	Uraniferous rhyolite	Sampled collected from 20m long rounded outcrop of pinkish-purple rhyolite. Mineralised joints typically found at the contact with narrow mafic dykes/veins typically up to 5cm in width. Recorded up to 300cps on the RC-110 device. Mineralisation is localised and structurally-controlled along joint set.	97.5	114.97
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV039	7313107	680284	421	08/08/2025	Copper rhyolite	Malachite-copper stained and silicified rhyolite or dacite boulder with fresher pyrite/chalcopyrite veins and magnetite mineralisation. Very large and angular boulder roughly 3m in size that appeared to have a potential carbonate matrix, but no acid on hand whilst spec surveying. Also looked to contain magnetite.	1.4	1.65
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Outcrop	RAV040	7280794	631096	593	08/10/2025	Episyenite vein	Outcrop on southern slope of Arresåive mountain. Sample collected from narrow (up to 50cm wide) trending fracture/joint controlled mineralised zone trending roughly NE (50 degrees) and dipping steeply. The zone shows alteration along the margins consisting of silica, albite, hematite and some magnetite.	3,890.00	4,587.09
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Outcrop	RAV041	7281055	631457	538	08/10/2025	Episyenite	Sample collected from historic and now vegetation covered trench, with sample material collected from purple-coloured episyenitised rhyolite with uraninite-bearing veinlets and secondary uranophane mineralisation. Recorded up to 1,500cps on the RC-110 device.	2,140.00	2,523.49
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV042	7345650	630550	488	08/10/2025	Leuco-pegmatite	Sampled collected from a massive 5m sub-rounded boulder of leucogranite cut by a radioactive pegmatite dyke and narrow 2-5cm wide magnetite-bearing quartz veins that had recorded the highest levels of radioactivity up to 330cps on the RC-110 device.	36.9	43.51
	Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV043	7345689	630654	491	08/10/2025	Massive sulphide	Large angular and very weathered massive sulphide boulder with visible copper staining (malachite) along fracture surfaces along with fresher pyrite within narrow veins. Massive hematite and quartz alteration.	11.8	13.91

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary			
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV044	7345816	630542	494	08/10/2025	Leuco-pegmatite	Same type of sample as RAV042. Large sub-rounded boulder of pegmatite with elevated levels of radiation recording up to 220cps on the RC-110 device.	121.5	143.27
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV045	7354221	606701	424	08/11/2025	Granodiorite	Sample collected from small sub-rounded boulder of radioactive granodiorite or quartz-diorite from the Grutaure uranium occurrence. Reported up to 600cps on the RC-110 device, with uranophane staining along grain boundaries and hematite along microfractures.	1,285.00	1,515.27
Arvidsjaur	2025	Outcrop	RAV046	7328536	755506	269	8/14/2025	Metasandstone	Large outcrop of meta-sandstone and rhyolite with a narrow zone of radioactivity confined to a thin layer within the sandstone, which extends over 40-50m before disappearing. This zone was not that interesting.	2,090.00	2,464.53
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV106	7294681	674716	373	01/08/2025	Episyenite	Iron-stained, moderately silicified K-feldspar episyenite with cataclastic texture.	513	604.93
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV108	7293512	674041	390	03/08/2025	Episyenite	Sample preserves cataclastic texture. Minor K-spar alt and silicification noted. Weathering rind noted edging the sample. 20 mm vein hosted Uraninite? noted. YEL-GRN powdery mineral noted in joints and fractures, Uranophane (?). Oxidation noted towards outer edges of sample.	34,000.00	40,092.80
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV109	7293819	673728	443	03/08/2025	Granite	Granitic texture with strong weathering rind. Moderate Albite and minor K-spar alteration noted. Weathering is strong and pervasive.	6,590.00	7,770.93
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV110	7293902	674076	432	03/08/2025	Episyenite	Cataclastic Texture preserved, moderately k-spar altered. Slight silica overprinting in some patches. Weathering rind noted.	1,865.00	2,199.21
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV111	7312902	680709	454	08/08/2025	Episyenite	Fine grained with multiple veins and veinlets of uraninite up to 15 mm thick. FeO staining and minor K-spar alteration noted. Slightly silicified within centre of boulder, strongly weathered crust.	3,510.00	4,138.99
Arvidsjaur	2025	Outcrop	RAV112	7280788	631098	594	11/08/2025	Vein	Fine grained, strongly silicified. Crosscut but possible carbonate veins and veinlets up to 5 mm. FeO staining noted, strongly mineralised with Uraninite (18 500 CPS on Radeye) vein runs parallel to J1.	9,800.00	11,556.16
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV113	7280936	631437	578	11/08/2025	Rhyolite	Fine to medium grained, purple, moderately silicified.	1,560.00	1,839.55
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV114	7354025	606768	437	12/08/2025	Rhyolite	Fine grained, purple red in colour, strongly silicified.	928	1,094.30
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV115	7353919	606778	438	14/08/2025	Episyenite	Strongly silicified and strong weathering crust. FeO staining, medium to coarse grained. Minor K-spar	112.5	132.66
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV116	7353653	606644	451	14/08/2025	Episyenite	Fine to medium grained, vuggs noted, strongly silicified with cataclastic texture. FeO and weathering crust.	4,050.00	4,775.76
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV117	7345760	630926	510	13/08/2025	Rhyolite	Strongly silicified and K-spar altered, fine grained.	211	248.81

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary				
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV118	7345875	630938	518	13/08/2025	Episyenite	Fine to medium grained, moderately silicified, minor k-spar alteration.	5,370.00	6,332.30	
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV119	7345806	630889	515	13/08/2025	Episyenite	Cataclastic texture, FeO staining, dark veins (mafic?), vuggs noted, minor k-spar and moderately silicified.	623	734.64	
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV120	7345925	630844	519	13/08/2025	Granite	Strongly silicified, Gneissic texture, Albite and K-spar alteration. Vuggs noted, FeO staining.	10,400.00	12,263.68	
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV121	7346322	630712	529	14/08/2025	Granite	Medium grained, moderately silicified. FeO staining noted.	77.1	90.92	
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV122	7296739	672107	501	14/08/2025	Episyenite	Fine to medium grained, Epidote? Noted, cataclastic texture, silica overprinting. K-spar noted.	21.1	24.88	
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	RAV123	7297020	672301	493	16/08/2025	Episyenite	Vuggs noted, medium to coarse grained, moderately silicified, minor K-spar.	857	1,010.57	
Arvidsjaur	2025	Boulder	R0019	7293058	675422	428	08/06/2025	Granodiorite	Boulder of pink medium to coarse grained granodiorite.	850	1,002.32	
Arvidsjaur	2025	Outcrop	R0118	7284032	683037	489	08/09/2025	Quartz vein	Episyenitised quartz vein.	25.2	29.72	
Berg	2024	Outcrop	GIL001	6927719	490173	332	9/22/2024	Granite	Large, 5x2m diameter outcrop of fine-grained, light-pink episyenitised granite exposed on edge of road. The highest counts of 80cps were recorded in a very narrow (30cm wide) zone where the granite expressed brittle micro-fracturing. The sample itself had counts of 30cps.	11.7	13.8	
Berg	2024	Outcrop	GIL002	6927719	490177	333	9/22/2024	Granite	Sample from the same outcrop as GIL001. The specific sample was medium-grained and reddish-pink granite.	24.8	29.24	
Berg	2024	Boulder	GIL003	6925759	496157	313	9/23/2024	Granite	Angular, pink migmatite granite boulder with strong uranophane mineralisation, but no primary evidence of uraninite. Very impressive amount of uranophane, but only read 11cps.	2.3	2.71	
Berg	2024	Boulder	GIL004	6925340	496337	314	9/23/2024	Granite breccia	Very angular 50-70cm diameter boulder of hematitised and strongly biotised granitic breccia reading 40cps. Located along powerline clearing.	126	148.58	
Berg	2024	Outcrop	GIL005	6937876	492248	385	9/23/2024	Granite - sheared	Sample collected from narrow uraniferous shear zone within a comparatively "hot" granite with background readings of 20cps. The shear itself had a south-east trend of 120 degrees with elevated reading of 70-80cps and very strong coliform uranophane mineralisation, which appears to have formed locally. The shear zone is located along trend of a large regional deformation zone that passes through the area. One of the better potential targets within the Gillberget area. The granite is typically coarse-grained, biotised and has minor fluorite mineralisation.	13.4	15.8	

Criteria		JORC Code explanation							Commentary			
	Berg	2024	Outcrop	GIL006	6937876	492249	387	9/23/2024	Granite - sheared	Sample collected from narrow uraniferous shear zone within a comparatively "hot" granite with background readings of 20cps. The shear itself had a south-east trend of 120 degrees with elevated reading of 70-80cps and very strong coliform uranophane mineralisation, which appears to have formed locally. The shear zone is located along trend of a large regional deformation zone that passes through the area. One of the better potential targets within the Gillberget area. The granite is typically coarse-grained, biotised and has minor fluorite mineralisation.	28	33.02
	Berg	2024	Boulder	GIL007	6917657	496850	267	9/23/2024	Pegmatite	Sample of biotised and albitised pegmatite boulder from the Sågtjärn target, which was extensively explored and drilled in the 1970's and became the primary target for exploration by the SGU. The uraninite mineralisation is directly associated with a biotised pegmatite phase, with uraninite typically associated along the edges and within cracks of the biotite. The background reading of the pegmatite boulder was up to 250cps.	609	718.13
	Berg	2024	Outcrop	GIL008	6912912	499030	343	9/24/2024	Granite - sheared	Sample collected from a narrow (10cm wide) shear zone exposed on a steep cliff edge where the SGU previously reported outcropping uranium mineralisation. The shear zone is highly biotised and albitised granite, with readings of 160cps recorded. The shear trends roughly N-S and again follows the general trend of a large regional deformation zone.	139	163.91
	Berg	2024	Outcrop	GIL009	6912912	499027	343	9/24/2024	Granite - sheared	Sample collected from a narrow (10cm wide) shear zone exposed on a steep cliff edge where the SGU previously reported outcropping uranium mineralisation. The shear zone is highly biotised and albitised granite, with readings of 160cps recorded. The shear trends roughly N-S and again follows the general trend of a large regional deformation zone.	118.5	139.74
	Berg	2024	Outcrop	GIL010	6926021	485598	488	9/26/2024	Granite	Foliated pink granite with re-aligned biotite. Sample collected from an exposure within a relatively "hot" granite in a recently completed wind turbine park. The sample was collected from a zone with 50cps. We currently don't have a license in the area, but with the high background values, it could be a good target for shear-hosted uranium.	25.1	29.6
	Berg	2024	Boulder	GIL011	6925776	496087	292	9/27/2024	Granite	Sample collected from an angular boulder under a massive +4m diameter boulder comprised of medium-to coarse-grained, equicrystalline grey granite. Intense yellow uranophane mineralisation below the boulder with counts up to 25cps.	21.8	25.71

Criteria		JORC Code explanation							Commentary			
Berg	2025	Outcrop	GIL101	6938076	492510	470	29/08/2025	Granite	Outcrop of Granite, slightly silicified, possible albitisation, textural contrast noted from granitic to mylonitic. Evidence of deformation noted. RC-110 = 192CPS. SM20 = 0.02 * 10-3 SI units. Lineation strike = 175.	54.4	64.15	
Berg	2025	Outcrop	GIL102	6937950	492584	463	30/08/2025	Granite	Granite outcrop, possible shear zone. Deformation noted with possible mylonitic texture. Slightly silicified and possibly albitised. 5-8 mm thick QVN within 3 m of sample location. J1 is ~ perpendicular to shear orientation. Shear strike = 344. RC - 110 = 150CPS. SM20 = 0.3 *10-3 SI units.	47.1	55.54	
Berg	2025	Outcrop	GIL103	6937824	492313	407	30/08/2025	Granite	Strongly mineralised shear zone clear indications of ductile deformation and mylonitic texture. Shear displays strong biotisation. Moderate silicification noted. RC-110 = 450CPS. SM20 = 0.8 * 10-3 SI units	24.9	29.36	
Berg	2025	Outcrop	GIL104	6937599	492757	430	30/08/2025	Granite	Granite outcrop. Iron-oxide staining and weathering crust of 4 cm. Elevated CPS noted along joint. Possible K-spar alteration. Slightly to moderately silicified. RS-230 = 3400 CPS. SM20 = -6 *10-3 SI units.	22.4	26.41	
Berg	2025	Boulder	GIL105	6912858	499035	360	31/08/2025	Granite	Boulder with QVN and evidence of shear zone and deformation. Strong biotisation noted with trace disseminated Pyrite. Minor possible albite alteration. RS-230 = 300CPS, RC-110 = 20 CPS. SM20 = 0.012 *10-3 SI units.	3.5	4.13	
Berg	2025	Outcrop	GIL106	6912895	499034	353	31/08/2025	Granodiorite	Granodiorite outcrop. Dark (mafic?) minerals > Fld > Qz. Fine to medium grained. Elevated CPS value, RC-110 = 530CPS. SM20 = 0.04 SI *10-3 SI units. Iron-oxide staining and weathering crust noted.	365	430.41	
Berg	2025	Outcrop	GIL107	6912908	499028	352	31/08/2025	Granodiorite	Outcrop of granodiorite with fine grained dolerite dyke intrusion noted. Medium to fine grained granodiorite. Slightly silicified. RC-110 = 130CPS. Located 13 m from GIL106. RS-230 = 3200CPS.	61.7	72.76	
Hotagen	2025	Outcrop	HOT005	7064914	429201	330	02/09/2025	Granite	Outcrop within quarry used for road aggregate of a heavily quartz-veined and brittle-fractured fluorite-hematite-biotite granite. Same location as HOT006 and 007.	7.1	8.37	
Hotagen	2025	Outcrop	HOT006	7064912	429198	331	02/09/2025	Granite	Outcrop within quarry used for road aggregate of a heavily quartz-veined and brittle-fractured and silicified fluorite-hematite-biotite granite. Same location as HOT005 and 007.	6.8	8.02	
Hotagen	2025	Outcrop	HOT007	7064912	429198	331	02/09/2025	Granite	Outcrop within quarry used for road aggregate of a heavily quartz-veined and brittle-fractured fluorite-hematite-biotite granite. Strong visible evidence of brittle deformation associated with biotite and silica alteration. Same location as HOT005 and 006.	16.8	19.81	

Criteria		JORC Code explanation							Commentary			
	Hotagen	2025	Outcrop	HOT009	7086972	402242	438	03/09/2025	Dacite	Quarry of outcropping sulphide-veined (pyrite) fluorite dacite metavolcanic intruded locally by pink K-feldspar pegmatite used for road aggregate. Same location as HOT011.	4.2	4.95
	Hotagen	2025	Outcrop	HOT010	7086972	402241	438	03/09/2025	Dacite	Quarry of outcropping sulphide-veined (pyrite) fluorite dacite metavolcanic intruded locally by pink K-feldspar pegmatite used for road aggregate. Same location as HOT010.	3.4	4.01
	Hotagen	2025	Outcrop	HOT011	7094898	401235	701	03/09/2025	Mylonite	Approximately 350m NW of the SGU occurrence is a moss covered grey, fine-grained and highly-silicified mylonitised phyllite along a narrow (20-30cm wide), but linear shear zone trending approximately 050 degrees. Thin, hematite-veined and graphite and silica alteration is evident within the zone of mineralisation. The RS230 recorded values up to 51,000cps.	174	205.18
	Hotagen	2025	Outcrop	HOT013	7075452	423476	548	04/09/2025	Rhyolite	Quarry exposure of light-grey, fine-grained and massive rhyolite with localised showings of deep purple fluorite along veins and fractures. The area generally looks quite boring.	3.6	4.25
	Hotagen	2025	Boulder	HOT014	7096357	439090	642	05/09/2025	Granite	Sample from moss covered boulder of black, cataclastic granite filled with pervasive biotite alteration. RS230 reported values up to 5,000cps.	463	545.97
	Hotagen	2025	Boulder	HOT015	7096384	439106	641	05/09/2025	Granite	Sample of black, cataclastic granite with evidence of yellow secondary uranophane along fracture surfaces within an area approximately 30x30m with extensive mineralised boulders. RS230 reported values up to 40,000cps. Same location as HOT016.	4,410.00	5,200.27
	Hotagen	2025	Boulder	HOT016	7096400	439096	640	05/09/2025	Granite	Sample of black, cataclastic granite with evidence of yellow secondary uranophane along fracture surfaces within an area approximately 30x30m with extensive mineralised boulders. RS230 reported values up to 40,000cps. Same location as HOT015.	10,100.00	11,909.92
	Hotagen	2025	Outcrop	HOT018	7096652	441372	765	10/16/2025	Granite	Black, fine- to medium-grained and highly sheared biotite altered granitic alteration halo along uranium mineralised fracture/shear zone.	343	404.47
	Hotagen	2025	Outcrop	HOT019	7096652	441372	765	10/16/2025	Granite	Grey, coarse-grained and hematite-altered uranium-rich granite that reported RC-110 values up to 3,500cps.	6,240.00	7,358.21
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	GEN001	7135104	546605	457	10/02/2024	Quartzite	Subcrop of ferruginous quartzite along road exposure with fresh veins of sulphide (mainly py and possibly po). Stenberget permit.	1.4	1.65
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	GEN002	7135163	546762	445	10/02/2024	Quartzite	Similar location to GEN001. Subcrop of ferruginous quartzite along road exposure with fresh veins of sulphide (mainly py and possibly po). Stenberget permit.	1.1	1.3

Criteria		JORC Code explanation							Commentary			
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL001	7147090	528810	300	9/29/2024	Alum shale	Black, fine-grained and strongly foliated/laminated Alum Shale unit sampled from sub-crop / outcrop exposure along the road leading to District Metals Fetsjön Deposit, but very close to our permit boundary. Average of 20cps from the exposure.	18.4	21.7
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL002	7141456	528999	275	9/29/2024	Alum shale	Black, fine-grained and strongly foliated/laminated Alum shale unit sampled from sub-crop on our Brattremmen permit over an airborne radiometric anomaly. The unit reported readings of 30cps from the exposure.	26.7	31.48
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL003	7141454	529001	278	9/29/2024	Alum shale	Same location and type of sample as VIL002. Brattremmen permit	19	22.4
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL004	7141453	529003	278	9/29/2024	Limestone	Outcrop of most probably dark greyish-blue limestone with extensive calcite and siderite veining. Sample from the same location as VIL002 and VIL003. Brattremmen permit	2.5	2.95
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL005	7141969	528906	249	9/29/2024	Alum shale	Location approximately 500m north of VIL002-004. Samples collected from outcrop exposure / road cutting into the Alum shale reading +60cps. Samples collected from strongest anomalies where black shales had minor yellowish-green staining. Brattremmen permit	44.4	52.36
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL006	7141971	528905	249	9/29/2024	Alum shale	Same location and type of sample as VIL005. Brattremmen permit	42.4	50
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL007	7105214	538252	347	10/01/2024	Alum shale	Sub-crop of black, fine-grained Alum shale exposed within a ditch/culvert over the Kalkberget permit. Strong crenulation cleavage. Average reading or 14cps. Kalkberget permit	10.4	12.26
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL008	7105209	538251	347	10/01/2024	Alum shale	Same location and type of sample as VIL008. Kalkberget permit	8.7	10.26
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL009	7110398	537817	390	10/01/2024	Alum shale	Sub-crop of black, fine-grained and highly friable Alum shale exposed within a ditch/culvert over the Kalkberget permit. Strong crenulation cleavage. Average reading or 15cps.	7.2	8.49
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL010	7111012	535104	421	10/01/2024	Alum shale	Black, fine-grained, friable and highly graphitic shale sampled from road surface, which has been directly constructed from the underlying Alum shale. Up to 62cps and looks highly graphitic. Kalkberget permit	27.7	32.66
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL011	7111645	533869	310	10/01/2024	Alum shale	Black, fine-grained and friable Alum shale chipping on road exposure with readings of 15cps. Kalkberget permit	9	10.61
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL012	7135206	548875	378	10/02/2024	Alum shale	Outcrop of black, fine-grained Alum shale with readings of 8cps. Stenberget permit	7	8.25
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL013	7137236	541386	400	10/02/2024	Limestone	Shaly-limestone with extensive calcite veining and partially sideritised. Collected from road exposure. Stenberget permit	2.1	2.48

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary				
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL014	7134683	548614	417	10/02/2024	Alum shale	Dark-grey, fine-grained, friable and highly graphitic Alum shale exposed in good road cutting section. Definitely send for graphite analysis. Stenberget permit	19.8	23.35
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL015	7188918	575458	530	10/03/2024	Alum shale	Subcrop of Alum shale that doesn't look particularly interesting but returned a reading of 12cps. Granberget permits	19.7	23.23
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL016	7189183	575345	533	10/03/2024	Alum shale	Similar sample location and type of sample as that of VIL015 further up the road with good exposure. Granberget permits.	5.8	6.84
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL017	7193040	577091	565	10/03/2024	Alum shale	Graphitic Alum shale sampled from highly friable material exposed within a road cutting. Granberget permits.	14.5	17.1
	Vilhelmina	2024	Outcrop	VIL018	7191125	560876	478	10/03/2024	Slate	Dark-grey, fine-grained slate. Looks different to typical Alum shale.	2.5	2.95
	Vilhelmina	2025	Boulder	VIL101	7141928	528907	269	18/08/2025	Shale and Greywacke	Roadside shale and sandstone float likely from forestry activity. Mostly shale with some sandstones. Some greywacke boulders are crosscut by quartz veins.	27.2	32.07
	Vilhelmina	2025	Boulder	VIL102	7115819	531145	336	19/08/2025	Shale	Float at turn around point on road, shale and greywacke, small anomalous zone. Shale is dark and friable/flaky. Looks micaceous (biotite). Float likely from forestry activity. Alum Shale	21.2	25
	Vilhelmina	2025	Outcrop	VIL103	7119632	521175	370	20/08/2025	Shale	Roadside outcrop of shale, dark but not alum shale. Piles of shale near roadside and outcrop clearly dug out in some places. Elevated CPS values	19	22.4
	Vilhelmina	2025	Boulder	VIL104	7119532	521307	375	20/08/2025	Shale	Roadside pile of shale, dark but not alum shale. Piles of shale near roadside and has elevated CPS values.	16.8	19.81
	Vilhelmina	2025	Boulder	VIL105	7107875	503544	471	24/08/2025	Shale	Shale with signs of deformation and biotisation? In contact with Quartz rich Arenite. 28 CPS on RC-110 unit.	4.6	5.42
	Krokom	2025	Outcrop	HOT001	7058978	427331	466	02/09/2025	Alum Shale	Outcrop of highly deformed graphitic Alum Shale along road-cutting with intense quartz veining and evidence of ex-sulphide staining (most likely pyrite). The RS230 reported values up to 1,200cps. Same location as HOT002, 003 and 004.	25.4	29.95
	Krokom	2025	Outcrop	HOT002	7058939	427259	467	02/09/2025	Alum Shale	Outcrop of highly deformed graphitic Alum Shale along road-cutting with intense quartz veining and evidence of ex-sulphide staining (most likely pyrite). The RS230 reported values up to 1,200cps. Same location as HOT001, 003 and 004.	16	18.87
	Krokom	2025	Outcrop	HOT003	7058932	427260	470	02/09/2025	Alum Shale	Outcrop of highly deformed graphitic Alum Shale along road-cutting with intense quartz veining and evidence of ex-sulphide staining (most likely pyrite). The RS230 reported values up to 1,200cps. Same location as HOT001, 002 and 004.	34.6	40.8

Criteria		JORC Code explanation						Commentary			
Krokom	2025	Outcrop	HOT004	7058943	427469	472	02/09/2025	Alum Shale	Outcrop of highly deformed graphitic Alum Shale along road-cutting with intense quartz veining and evidence of ex-sulphide staining (most likely pyrite). The RS230 reported values up to 2,400cps. Same location as HOT001, 002 and 003.	179	211.08
Krokom	2025	Outcrop	HOT008	7067916	412544	408	03/09/2025	Alum Shale	Road-cutting approximately 40m of outcropping black, fine-grained and highly-deformed sulphide-rich (pyrite) graphitic Alum Shale. RS230 reading of 3,200cps.	50.7	59.79
Krokom	2025	Outcrop	HOT012	7060559	394088	420	03/09/2025	Alum Shale	Road-cutting exposure of Alum Shale where the regional airborne magnetic survey delineated a strong radiometric anomaly. The RS230 recorded values up to 2,300cps.	23.4	27.59
Krokom	2025	Outcrop	HOT017	7050788	423294	431	07/09/2025	Alum Shale	Exposure of black, fine-grained and strongly quartz-veined Alum Shale along forestry gravel road within an area without regional airborne geophysics. The Alum Shale was more slate like with strong limonitic staining and RS230 readings up to 3,800cps.	32.1	37.85

Table 4. All multi-element rock-chip assay results from samples collected by Neu Horizon.

Project	Sample ID	Ag_ppm	Al_%	As_ppm	Ba_ppm	Be_ppm	Bi_ppm	Ca_%	Cd_ppm	Ce_ppm	Co_ppm	Cr_ppm	Cs_ppm	Cu_ppm	Fe_%	Ga_ppm	Ge_ppm
Arvidsjaur	RAV001	0.04	6.43	2.90	290.00	2.03	0.15	0.20	0.03	117.50	0.40	10.00	0.61	14.20	2.39	25.30	0.14
Arvidsjaur	RAV002	0.04	5.63	1.90	250.00	1.62	0.18	0.05	0.04	108.00	0.30	16.00	0.33	1.80	1.88	22.20	0.13
Arvidsjaur	RAV003	0.04	6.84	3.00	1,410.00	2.39	0.03	0.86	0.15	110.50	1.40	13.00	1.54	2.60	3.44	23.60	0.16
Arvidsjaur	RAV004	0.03	7.21	3.20	1,380.00	2.99	0.04	0.93	0.15	111.50	1.80	13.00	0.69	2.30	4.16	26.30	0.17
Arvidsjaur	RAV005	0.06	9.37	47.20	140.00	2.70	0.15	0.51	0.11	191.00	4.70	3.00	3.06	2.80	4.35	22.10	0.22
Arvidsjaur	RAV006	0.34	9.12	39.50	220.00	4.35	0.58	1.54	0.06	332.00	2.20	3.00	1.46	24.50	5.28	26.20	0.31
Arvidsjaur	RAV007	0.01	9.09	3.70	190.00	2.32	0.14	0.57	0.03	84.80	3.80	4.00	0.23	2.40	3.32	24.90	0.16
Arvidsjaur	RAV008	0.00	6.83	0.50	540.00	1.76	0.02	0.71	0.04	91.70	1.80	9.00	0.74	1.40	1.77	19.50	0.10
Arvidsjaur	RAV009	0.01	7.43	5.40	1,240.00	2.49	0.05	1.25	0.03	78.90	3.60	11.00	2.32	2.40	2.69	23.10	0.11
Arvidsjaur	RAV010	0.02	7.15	3.70	1,300.00	2.21	0.03	1.16	0.04	74.60	3.10	14.00	2.26	1.60	2.47	21.80	0.12
Arvidsjaur	RAV011	0.02	11.00	2.40	130.00	0.42	0.05	6.48	0.08	11.75	31.60	117.00	0.32	14.00	5.02	19.45	0.06
Arvidsjaur	RAV012	0.51	8.93	71.30	160.00	8.24	0.40	0.38	0.02	298.00	11.70	7.00	0.57	3.60	3.83	25.50	0.29
Arvidsjaur	RAV013	0.08	8.77	35.70	300.00	5.02	0.23	2.34	0.07	174.50	2.80	3.00	1.19	5.20	3.15	31.80	0.19
Arvidsjaur	RAV014	0.04	9.66	11.40	930.00	3.63	0.51	1.60	0.15	301.00	3.40	1.00	4.38	1.10	3.43	39.70	0.29
Arvidsjaur	RAV015	0.01	7.44	4.80	1,300.00	2.14	0.04	1.13	0.03	102.00	3.40	9.00	2.03	2.10	2.56	22.70	0.13

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Arvidsjaur	RAV016	0.00	6.51	3.10	350.00	2.97	0.18	0.48	0.00	44.20	0.50	10.00	6.05	1.00	0.91	18.80	0.09
	Arvidsjaur	RAV017	19.55	8.17	106.00	1,160.00	8.50	5.63	0.83	0.04	189.50	2.00	1.00	2.09	1,590.00	5.60	26.20	0.44
	Arvidsjaur	RAV018	0.02	8.38	1.60	280.00	2.54	0.07	2.55	<0.02	96.00	0.90	3.00	4.86	1.70	3.08	31.80	0.16
	Arvidsjaur	RAV019	0.07	8.46	3.70	230.00	2.64	0.10	2.70	0.07	117.00	1.20	4.00	5.59	1.90	2.94	34.30	0.17
	Arvidsjaur	RAV020	<0.01	7.97	2.00	360.00	2.17	0.05	2.16	<0.02	177.50	1.60	3.00	2.55	1.10	3.81	31.80	0.21
	Arvidsjaur	RAV021	1.22	7.92	13.30	370.00	3.44	0.19	2.57	<0.02	275.00	1.00	4.00	2.76	3.10	2.38	32.20	0.32
	Arvidsjaur	RAV022	0.05	8.53	31.60	760.00	9.28	1.04	0.44	<0.02	480.00	6.60	<1	5.04	1.90	2.77	33.30	0.59
	Arvidsjaur	RAV023	0.36	6.54	23.00	260.00	1.35	0.31	0.45	<0.02	104.00	0.20	8.00	0.76	1.30	2.01	23.30	0.16
	Arvidsjaur	RAV024	0.84	9.03	48.00	420.00	2.90	0.21	1.93	0.20	419.00	0.20	3.00	1.41	4.60	2.68	41.10	0.37
	Arvidsjaur	RAV025	0.06	8.54	2.50	440.00	3.46	0.16	1.78	<0.02	318.00	0.50	4.00	1.87	0.70	2.59	44.30	0.35
	Arvidsjaur	RAV026	0.02	8.36	7.10	400.00	1.66	0.27	0.21	<0.02	313.00	6.30	3.00	0.92	2.40	5.24	33.60	0.32
	Arvidsjaur	RAV027	0.01	8.52	8.00	170.00	1.34	0.41	1.09	0.17	172.00	1.60	3.00	2.04	1.40	2.19	33.70	0.23
	Arvidsjaur	RAV028	0.04	8.38	58.20	160.00	2.67	0.58	1.14	<0.02	91.20	10.60	4.00	1.48	2.30	2.25	29.80	0.18
	Arvidsjaur	RAV030	0.06	6.18	5.70	290.00	10.65	0.55	3.64	0.07	162.50	1.20	9.00	1.90	0.40	5.18	35.10	0.24
	Arvidsjaur	RAV032	1.20	6.43	34.70	640.00	1.68	1.20	0.58	0.98	122.50	1.60	14.00	0.74	35.10	1.54	25.30	0.17
	Arvidsjaur	RAV033	10.90	6.41	10.40	350.00	1.46	3.02	0.59	1.27	109.50	5.80	11.00	0.23	1,995.00	1.39	25.90	0.15
	Arvidsjaur	RAV034	2.96	8.60	10.00	210.00	1.99	4.85	0.77	5.24	226.00	2.10	4.00	0.28	13.70	2.36	29.00	0.35
	Arvidsjaur	RAV035	43.80	6.33	2.20	60.00	2.29	76.70	0.24	0.43	57.20	2.20	30.00	0.14	5,800.00	3.34	17.90	0.14
	Arvidsjaur	RAV036	2.27	6.76	4.60	370.00	2.64	2.52	0.15	0.05	57.60	5.40	24.00	0.63	582.00	2.43	24.00	0.10
	Arvidsjaur	RAV037	0.21	6.14	5.80	170.00	2.37	0.32	0.19	0.08	57.80	0.20	36.00	0.75	16.40	1.76	19.35	0.13
	Arvidsjaur	RAV038	1.19	6.40	6.20	780.00	1.89	2.63	0.04	0.13	62.80	1.00	13.00	1.26	43.80	1.67	17.35	0.11
	Arvidsjaur	RAV039	135.00	4.79	6.20	280.00	0.89	213.00	1.92	1.00	51.80	25.70	50.00	0.34	10,450.00	8.33	15.35	0.55
	Arvidsjaur	RAV040	0.24	6.51	13.10	300.00	2.65	0.18	0.09	0.02	172.00	1.40	19.00	0.28	46.00	2.01	30.40	0.18
	Arvidsjaur	RAV041	2.76	7.75	24.10	570.00	2.27	0.95	2.35	0.15	84.60	9.60	66.00	0.45	656.00	4.44	23.40	0.14
	Arvidsjaur	RAV042	<0.01	6.59	7.60	670.00	4.13	0.11	0.47	<0.02	24.20	0.70	10.00	4.09	6.30	0.89	24.00	0.08
	Arvidsjaur	RAV043	7.33	9.24	124.50	420.00	9.45	0.43	2.61	5.11	292.00	27.40	6.00	0.87	2,470.00	5.12	38.80	0.32
	Arvidsjaur	RAV044	<0.01	6.24	5.50	120.00	7.54	0.10	1.67	<0.02	105.00	5.30	8.00	1.26	3.80	8.49	43.00	0.20
	Arvidsjaur	RAV045	0.15	7.96	4.70	280.00	10.25	0.06	1.98	0.04	97.60	3.80	8.00	0.93	4.20	2.30	25.80	0.17
	Arvidsjaur	RAV046	0.06	6.68	12.70	590.00	2.23	0.48	1.93	0.09	43.10	9.20	54.00	16.70	27.00	3.06	17.60	0.13
	Arvidsjaur	RAV106	0.28	5.62	6.90	380.00	2.11	0.38	0.13	<0.02	97.20	0.20	15.00	0.12	0.90	1.55	22.10	0.12

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Arvidsjaur	RAV108	2.27	8.86	41.50	190.00	1.35	2.40	0.27	0.15	292.00	1.10	6.00	0.52	1.20	2.63	31.00	0.38
	Arvidsjaur	RAV109	15.20	8.71	18.70	90.00	2.43	14.40	0.39	0.12	155.00	0.50	4.00	0.40	2.60	3.05	30.40	0.35
	Arvidsjaur	RAV110	0.94	5.89	8.80	70.00	1.02	0.42	0.20	0.07	132.50	0.30	18.00	0.32	45.50	1.56	17.20	0.19
	Arvidsjaur	RAV111	0.19	6.95	21.60	460.00	1.44	0.71	7.37	0.25	107.00	2.50	5.00	0.28	23.70	2.35	23.50	0.17
	Arvidsjaur	RAV112	1.52	6.52	19.90	270.00	9.80	0.36	6.51	0.20	34.90	41.20	702.00	0.88	338.00	6.27	13.15	0.15
	Arvidsjaur	RAV113	0.13	7.32	10.80	1,000.00	7.52	0.13	2.03	0.04	98.50	6.00	17.00	0.32	19.60	2.88	21.10	0.16
	Arvidsjaur	RAV114	1.79	7.53	10.20	880.00	6.41	0.26	3.58	0.29	57.50	14.10	32.00	0.28	323.00	3.49	23.10	0.11
	Arvidsjaur	RAV115	0.06	6.52	2.40	410.00	3.28	0.05	0.18	0.02	437.00	1.20	11.00	1.00	2.70	1.43	19.15	0.35
	Arvidsjaur	RAV116	0.09	8.60	37.60	480.00	2.00	0.03	0.42	0.15	290.00	2.70	6.00	0.95	2.50	2.27	21.10	0.25
	Arvidsjaur	RAV117	0.09	7.69	5.60	520.00	5.00	0.32	0.71	0.07	113.00	5.70	39.00	2.79	3.20	2.80	28.80	0.17
	Arvidsjaur	RAV118	0.45	6.97	8.10	400.00	4.86	0.55	0.59	0.09	146.50	3.60	6.00	1.12	190.00	1.85	21.40	0.25
	Arvidsjaur	RAV119	0.09	6.65	2.10	500.00	6.46	0.04	0.31	0.02	104.00	1.20	7.00	1.20	18.30	1.48	19.90	0.15
	Arvidsjaur	RAV120	0.10	8.05	9.20	210.00	265.00	0.26	1.88	0.24	173.50	7.80	5.00	0.56	2.60	3.28	26.10	0.26
	Arvidsjaur	RAV121	0.03	6.39	2.60	370.00	4.26	0.05	0.39	<0.02	101.00	0.70	5.00	1.22	2.40	1.84	21.50	0.16
	Arvidsjaur	RAV122	0.50	5.78	1.60	310.00	2.27	0.30	0.05	1.51	80.90	0.10	10.00	0.20	4.30	1.56	22.30	0.14
	Arvidsjaur	RAV123	0.10	7.79	2.30	420.00	16.70	0.06	3.34	0.31	81.10	0.40	3.00	0.15	0.60	2.19	25.00	0.18
	Arvidsjaur	R0019	0.33	7.42	127.00	2,370.00	3.94	0.07	4.23	0.09	35.30	4.90	4.00	1.12	11.10	2.43	24.10	0.10
	Arvidsjaur	R0118	0.04	1.39	11.00	50.00	0.11	0.42	1.61	0.03	10.35	2.30	55.00	4.94	2.20	1.85	4.80	<0.05
	Berg	GIL001	0.00	6.47	0.60	350.00	1.72	0.05	0.49	0.00	186.50	2.10	12.00	0.97	10.40	1.62	17.80	0.13
	Berg	GIL002	0.00	6.31	1.00	260.00	1.65	0.19	0.35	0.00	158.50	1.90	11.00	1.52	14.00	1.76	18.65	0.12
	Berg	GIL003	0.01	6.61	2.50	820.00	0.93	0.21	0.81	0.03	72.80	2.80	15.00	5.43	2.50	2.23	15.80	0.10
	Berg	GIL004	0.03	11.20	1.00	80.00	0.40	0.22	2.45	0.08	48.70	16.80	48.00	16.85	1.40	6.54	35.90	0.11
	Berg	GIL005	0.00	5.40	3.00	40.00	1.48	0.24	0.61	0.00	6.73	2.10	19.00	4.58	2.10	3.02	18.15	0.09
	Berg	GIL006	0.02	6.84	4.40	20.00	4.29	0.64	1.18	0.02	19.25	1.00	29.00	4.22	1.70	1.32	20.60	0.07
	Berg	GIL007	0.55	8.53	28.30	510.00	2.86	2.89	0.29	0.06	264.00	15.90	30.00	10.85	404.00	2.64	19.00	0.28
	Berg	GIL008	0.02	5.87	3.80	220.00	1.16	0.35	1.45	0.57	41.60	5.60	16.00	6.69	7.80	4.75	15.65	0.11
	Berg	GIL009	0.01	5.72	3.70	190.00	1.15	0.28	1.41	0.39	48.20	5.10	18.00	5.09	4.00	4.73	15.20	0.11
	Berg	GIL010	0.00	6.66	2.50	100.00	4.25	2.45	0.95	0.10	205.00	1.10	13.00	4.20	2.10	1.83	24.40	0.18
	Berg	GIL011	0.04	6.39	0.50	140.00	3.27	0.10	0.61	0.00	102.00	1.10	8.00	2.58	0.50	1.39	16.95	0.17
	Berg	GIL101	0.02	2.24	2.10	110.00	0.72	0.06	0.21	0.30	4.63	3.70	29.00	6.35	2.50	2.38	10.80	0.10

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Berg	GIL102	<0.01	5.13	1.80	50.00	2.96	0.04	0.96	0.02	5.80	3.10	25.00	5.49	0.60	4.37	20.80	0.12
	Berg	GIL103	0.06	5.55	1.80	60.00	1.35	0.06	0.11	<0.02	6.22	4.50	10.00	23.60	0.90	9.00	35.20	0.13
	Berg	GIL104	0.03	4.55	1.40	550.00	0.55	0.05	0.05	0.02	34.20	0.50	9.00	3.36	6.20	1.64	9.50	0.15
	Berg	GIL105	0.08	4.08	2.70	220.00	0.87	1.46	0.68	0.04	32.70	0.60	24.00	1.36	12.00	0.65	7.67	0.13
	Berg	GIL106	0.08	6.81	1.80	120.00	1.53	0.74	2.06	0.06	82.10	9.00	32.00	11.75	16.80	4.68	19.20	0.20
	Berg	GIL107	0.06	7.18	2.30	250.00	1.30	0.36	1.72	0.45	91.10	6.30	12.00	5.14	9.50	4.01	16.75	0.20
	Hotagen	HOT005	0.32	8.23	1.20	160.00	4.55	1.62	1.78	0.05	98.10	0.60	4.00	3.02	15.80	1.04	18.65	0.16
	Hotagen	HOT006	0.08	6.40	0.90	130.00	6.97	0.19	0.28	<0.02	93.60	0.50	15.00	3.64	3.80	1.07	14.45	0.18
	Hotagen	HOT007	0.10	6.09	0.90	190.00	8.93	0.23	0.35	<0.02	30.80	0.70	21.00	1.88	2.60	1.58	15.55	0.13
	Hotagen	HOT009	0.22	7.71	1.70	960.00	2.59	0.22	1.78	0.05	117.00	1.80	5.00	4.16	12.00	2.36	14.70	0.23
	Hotagen	HOT010	0.16	7.12	3.70	220.00	2.34	0.21	1.19	0.03	104.50	1.70	8.00	3.97	21.80	6.26	13.75	0.18
	Hotagen	HOT011	0.34	1.73	18.60	170.00	0.60	32.00	0.03	<0.02	14.50	0.60	22.00	0.56	2.40	0.98	4.44	0.06
	Hotagen	HOT013	0.03	5.45	3.20	100.00	1.30	0.36	14.40	0.03	57.90	0.90	7.00	0.82	2.80	0.60	12.05	0.13
	Hotagen	HOT014	<0.01	7.13	1.70	830.00	3.82	0.03	0.23	<0.02	44.80	3.10	13.00	3.58	2.10	2.26	16.45	0.18
	Hotagen	HOT015	0.08	8.71	1.90	970.00	4.25	0.19	0.33	0.02	994.00	6.80	4.00	12.35	1.10	4.20	35.50	0.28
	Hotagen	HOT016	0.09	9.23	5.10	1,040.00	3.52	0.34	0.18	0.04	486.00	9.20	3.00	19.55	0.80	4.86	41.80	0.21
	Hotagen	HOT018	0.05	8.84	0.40	170.00	6.78	0.36	0.16	<0.02	29.00	1.60	5.00	13.10	1.50	2.25	36.00	0.05
	Hotagen	HOT019	<0.01	6.84	2.20	200.00	5.05	0.40	0.16	<0.02	330.00	0.90	9.00	11.85	0.90	1.43	26.20	0.25
	Vilhelmina	GEN001	0.45	1.02	105.00	140.00	0.27	0.15	0.02	0.00	42.50	5.40	30.00	0.97	8.40	3.81	3.05	0.11
	Vilhelmina	GEN002	10.90	0.29	9.70	40.00	0.08	0.11	0.01	0.69	29.60	6.60	23.00	0.29	7.80	3.60	0.97	0.08
	Vilhelmina	VIL001	1.00	8.44	15.80	1,160.00	4.03	0.57	0.14	0.03	91.10	1.10	78.00	7.05	9.50	1.42	26.40	0.15
	Vilhelmina	VIL002	0.75	4.16	31.10	1,140.00	1.99	0.33	0.07	0.06	64.60	1.20	40.00	2.76	19.10	1.25	12.20	0.14
	Vilhelmina	VIL003	0.48	4.77	10.60	2,040.00	2.62	0.34	0.09	0.45	65.50	1.90	44.00	3.03	5.40	0.69	14.05	0.13
	Vilhelmina	VIL004	0.05	4.71	2.70	800.00	1.31	0.10	2.70	0.37	61.30	6.70	40.00	2.59	10.50	2.43	12.15	0.17
	Vilhelmina	VIL005	0.90	6.35	101.50	1,160.00	4.49	0.47	0.52	0.05	96.50	2.80	58.00	4.63	31.30	2.59	17.05	0.18
	Vilhelmina	VIL006	0.97	6.01	53.60	1,220.00	3.82	0.41	0.42	0.03	99.50	1.10	56.00	4.40	15.70	1.38	15.70	0.16
	Vilhelmina	VIL007	0.32	8.12	24.10	450.00	2.67	0.30	0.10	0.08	98.50	2.50	65.00	16.20	10.70	2.54	22.80	0.15
	Vilhelmina	VIL008	0.25	7.49	20.00	400.00	2.28	0.29	0.11	0.12	80.00	2.00	61.00	15.30	9.50	2.72	20.00	0.15
	Vilhelmina	VIL009	0.14	4.91	16.80	240.00	1.40	0.18	0.12	0.03	65.70	3.60	41.00	6.83	10.50	1.27	12.60	0.11
	Vilhelmina	VIL010	0.90	8.29	27.70	530.00	4.66	0.42	0.05	0.00	83.20	1.20	85.00	15.90	13.30	1.21	28.00	0.17

Criteria		JORC Code explanation										Commentary							
	Vilhelmina	VIL011	0.23	9.10	15.30	500.00	2.70	0.44	0.07	0.00	95.00	1.00	91.00	16.90	5.40	1.66	25.30	0.15	
	Vilhelmina	VIL012	0.08	7.29	18.30	350.00	2.23	0.28	0.03	0.05	89.00	1.80	60.00	15.95	12.20	1.64	19.90	0.12	
	Vilhelmina	VIL013	0.03	4.32	3.00	370.00	1.39	0.10	1.15	0.24	50.50	6.60	42.00	2.67	11.00	2.53	10.55	0.12	
	Vilhelmina	VIL014	0.50	8.78	14.40	500.00	2.52	0.43	0.44	0.05	95.20	0.70	83.00	21.60	2.60	1.18	25.20	0.13	
	Vilhelmina	VIL015	0.23	5.37	23.40	330.00	2.65	0.19	0.12	1.02	72.30	18.40	47.00	7.43	59.20	3.03	13.35	0.14	
	Vilhelmina	VIL016	0.14	6.22	22.80	370.00	1.60	0.25	0.07	0.03	66.40	4.10	53.00	8.91	24.40	3.38	17.10	0.15	
	Vilhelmina	VIL017	0.21	9.92	48.40	530.00	2.68	0.37	0.05	0.00	99.90	1.70	88.00	26.80	24.40	3.12	29.40	0.20	
	Vilhelmina	VIL018	0.02	6.14	7.40	290.00	2.01	0.19	0.10	0.00	77.30	12.40	57.00	7.17	13.10	4.60	15.70	0.14	
	Vilhelmina	VIL101	1.26	8.04	25.30	1,160.00	3.45	0.48	0.05	0.04	89.10	0.80	84.00	6.89	6.10	1.82	22.50	0.16	
	Vilhelmina	VIL102	0.84	8.35	25.80	540.00	4.32	0.48	0.03	0.04	101.50	1.10	84.00	11.45	23.10	1.61	25.40	0.16	
	Vilhelmina	VIL103	0.49	8.04	14.10	1,250.00	3.50	0.41	0.14	0.06	113.50	1.50	78.00	7.70	19.90	2.25	22.20	0.18	
	Vilhelmina	VIL104	0.54	5.32	5.70	1,380.00	2.64	0.34	4.33	0.09	86.00	1.10	48.00	3.28	6.10	0.45	14.75	0.16	
	Vilhelmina	VIL105	0.03	9.03	1.00	780.00	3.07	0.27	1.20	0.03	97.80	7.90	38.00	6.24	29.10	3.28	22.10	0.22	
	Krokom	HOT001	0.08	8.16	0.70	3,830.00	4.58	0.10	0.58	0.08	137.00	2.30	81.00	12.45	37.50	3.18	22.90	0.25	
	Krokom	HOT002	0.16	9.73	5.30	8,100.00	5.54	0.13	0.21	0.58	97.20	6.40	87.00	13.95	52.50	3.77	26.70	0.22	
	Krokom	HOT003	0.17	7.97	4.80	2,190.00	4.44	0.55	0.03	0.05	45.70	2.80	65.00	8.72	49.30	1.26	23.60	0.10	
	Krokom	HOT004	0.96	6.74	93.00	890.00	4.58	0.34	0.05	2.00	151.00	33.00	75.00	6.83	289.00	9.72	19.05	0.31	
	Krokom	HOT008	0.53	5.08	26.80	430.00	2.54	0.67	0.71	3.92	23.30	7.70	56.00	5.70	151.00	6.20	14.40	0.17	
	Krokom	HOT012	0.64	6.15	92.20	290.00	3.90	0.35	0.72	0.63	22.90	15.00	77.00	4.70	19.20	2.89	16.40	0.10	
	Krokom	HOT017	0.88	6.54	99.10	2,100.00	3.81	0.53	0.02	0.03	5.17	0.50	80.00	4.67	6.50	1.15	20.10	0.10	
Project	Sample ID	Hf_ppm	In_ppm	K_%	La_ppm	Li_ppm	Mg_%	Mn_ppm	Mo_ppm	Na_%	Nb_ppm	Ni_ppm	P_ppm	Pb_ppm	Rb_ppm	Re_ppm	S_%		
Arvidsjaur	RAV001	14.30	0.11	4.47	48.00	2.20	0.08	383.00	1.17	2.80	20.50	1.10	150.00	10.70	138.50	0.00	0.00		
Arvidsjaur	RAV002	10.80	0.07	3.60	42.90	1.10	0.03	127.00	1.43	2.68	21.30	1.20	30.00	4.30	94.30	0.00	0.00		
Arvidsjaur	RAV003	12.30	0.13	2.94	50.20	4.90	0.19	851.00	1.44	3.56	14.80	1.10	390.00	17.60	69.60	0.00	0.01		
Arvidsjaur	RAV004	11.80	0.20	2.93	43.20	3.10	0.25	1,305.00	3.63	3.68	22.00	1.20	580.00	18.30	65.70	0.00	0.00		
Arvidsjaur	RAV005	21.40	0.20	0.09	52.90	61.20	1.45	1,835.00	0.26	7.04	33.60	1.20	700.00	46.80	9.30	0.00	0.00		
Arvidsjaur	RAV006	13.80	0.29	0.10	160.00	65.50	1.42	1,435.00	0.23	6.57	32.20	0.90	660.00	75.90	7.40	0.00	0.00		
Arvidsjaur	RAV007	15.10	0.14	0.11	26.10	42.20	1.15	1,565.00	0.35	7.15	29.60	1.10	610.00	55.40	4.40	0.00	0.00		
Arvidsjaur	RAV008	6.60	0.03	4.26	46.00	19.90	0.14	292.00	3.90	2.35	12.00	1.20	150.00	31.80	162.00	0.00	0.01		

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Arvidsjaur	RAV009	8.10	0.07	2.97	29.20	27.00	0.35	553.00	4.98	3.54	16.60	2.00	620.00	15.70	97.70	0.00	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV010	7.00	0.06	3.06	34.40	25.20	0.33	517.00	2.98	3.44	15.20	2.20	620.00	13.90	100.50	0.00	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV011	1.30	0.03	0.30	4.60	11.00	2.86	782.00	0.47	2.74	2.00	56.60	470.00	3.40	2.20	0.00	0.02
	Arvidsjaur	RAV012	6.80	0.17	0.07	130.00	10.60	0.26	315.00	0.62	7.66	21.60	2.60	60.00	66.90	4.40	0.00	0.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV013	9.20	0.29	0.47	95.90	37.50	0.41	489.00	0.33	6.74	35.20	1.10	160.00	234.00	29.10	0.00	0.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV014	36.10	0.24	0.53	129.50	62.80	1.60	1,760.00	0.17	6.22	53.00	2.00	190.00	297.00	68.90	0.00	0.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV015	6.90	0.06	3.10	48.30	24.40	0.40	495.00	4.08	3.53	15.50	2.00	650.00	13.20	95.30	0.00	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV016	3.80	0.03	4.06	20.90	45.20	0.05	275.00	0.92	2.61	15.00	0.90	160.00	23.00	222.00	0.00	0.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV017	13.30	0.51	0.43	66.10	258.00	4.13	4,500.00	0.39	4.57	40.70	0.70	300.00	767.00	5.40	0.00	0.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV018	10.90	0.23	0.34	46.00	42.70	0.58	834.00	0.39	6.57	25.30	0.60	120.00	198.50	33.60	0.00	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV019	10.20	0.28	0.35	74.20	44.20	0.76	816.00	0.49	6.58	27.60	1.00	130.00	181.50	30.90	<0.002	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV020	12.00	0.24	0.15	82.50	24.40	0.98	1,240.00	0.45	6.34	15.40	1.20	150.00	126.50	13.40	<0.002	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV021	10.50	0.24	0.55	119.00	31.60	0.39	524.00	0.50	6.13	29.20	0.70	180.00	173.50	46.00	<0.002	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV022	180.50	2.12	0.27	198.50	76.30	1.81	2,290.00	0.21	5.96	61.90	2.10	290.00	165.00	45.00	0.01	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV023	11.00	0.07	0.26	44.90	24.00	0.31	454.00	1.04	5.36	35.50	0.30	340.00	179.50	16.30	0.00	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV024	10.10	0.22	0.20	187.50	22.50	0.24	649.00	0.58	6.77	24.20	0.30	250.00	321.00	19.50	<0.002	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV025	10.40	0.21	1.03	138.50	24.40	0.22	504.00	0.54	6.00	35.10	<0.2	330.00	474.00	71.90	<0.002	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV026	12.40	0.18	0.15	140.50	31.80	1.66	2,170.00	0.27	6.00	47.50	3.70	110.00	421.00	13.80	0.00	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV027	18.00	0.15	0.13	83.40	25.70	0.68	1,070.00	0.56	6.46	17.00	1.00	200.00	203.00	13.20	<0.002	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV028	11.40	0.22	0.52	45.70	12.60	0.35	225.00	1.08	6.56	27.10	1.40	180.00	259.00	25.30	<0.002	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV030	12.80	0.12	0.68	70.10	22.40	0.34	675.00	1.16	2.41	25.70	0.40	170.00	126.00	52.90	0.00	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV032	8.40	0.10	0.31	63.60	10.70	0.27	846.00	214.00	5.01	24.20	1.00	220.00	2,240.00	16.20	0.28	0.03
	Arvidsjaur	RAV033	9.70	0.51	0.21	35.80	1.60	0.14	869.00	98.20	5.20	21.10	1.00	230.00	209.00	5.90	0.39	0.25
	Arvidsjaur	RAV034	16.90	0.45	0.17	95.70	11.60	0.21	474.00	0.95	7.43	37.70	0.40	260.00	1,415.00	6.60	0.00	0.08
	Arvidsjaur	RAV035	4.70	0.35	0.34	28.20	0.90	0.04	250.00	22.80	4.89	10.80	1.40	50.00	77.40	16.40	<0.002	0.58
	Arvidsjaur	RAV036	6.60	0.09	1.97	28.60	12.90	0.20	194.00	7.97	2.95	15.50	1.00	70.00	8.90	109.00	<0.002	0.29
	Arvidsjaur	RAV037	6.50	0.09	3.65	24.90	1.10	0.02	191.00	3.91	2.83	21.00	1.30	10.00	55.50	126.00	<0.002	0.02
	Arvidsjaur	RAV038	6.50	0.06	3.70	31.80	17.40	0.12	64.00	2.08	2.43	14.80	2.70	60.00	53.30	113.00	<0.002	0.02
	Arvidsjaur	RAV039	3.50	1.04	0.65	23.60	28.30	1.48	917.00	2.35	1.54	7.90	16.60	1,240.00	6,480.00	24.30	<0.002	1.42
	Arvidsjaur	RAV040	13.00	0.08	0.21	81.50	2.80	0.05	120.00	33.70	5.48	16.30	4.00	120.00	1,190.00	8.20	0.06	0.01

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Arvidsjaur	RAV041	10.90	0.06	0.26	42.00	8.60	0.98	793.00	135.00	6.04	17.00	10.70	870.00	812.00	12.70	0.96	0.04
	Arvidsjaur	RAV042	3.30	0.01	4.35	10.20	9.10	0.09	342.00	1.29	2.20	54.00	0.50	40.00	32.60	307.00	0.00	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV043	7.60	0.13	1.16	141.50	8.20	0.17	921.00	5.52	5.23	42.40	8.20	310.00	1,085.00	35.50	0.01	2.44
	Arvidsjaur	RAV044	16.90	0.05	0.86	43.90	18.30	0.08	1,140.00	0.90	2.90	45.50	1.00	110.00	99.30	52.90	0.00	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV045	4.70	0.08	0.92	21.90	22.10	0.47	743.00	1.12	5.21	38.90	1.90	370.00	279.00	22.60	<0.002	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV046	4.00	0.03	3.56	17.50	39.60	0.91	357.00	2.26	1.55	10.00	36.90	430.00	960.00	156.00	<0.002	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV106	6.10	0.11	0.07	50.90	4.20	0.02	297.00	1.64	5.47	15.50	0.20	40.00	414.00	1.60	<0.002	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV108	19.70	0.13	0.38	136.50	10.70	0.09	341.00	1.48	7.02	76.10	0.80	50.00	>10000	17.60	0.01	0.02
	Arvidsjaur	RAV109	21.20	0.03	0.23	47.10	1.70	0.01	260.00	0.67	7.44	69.40	0.50	60.00	2,960.00	8.00	0.00	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV110	4.00	0.10	0.07	59.70	3.40	0.03	149.00	2.17	4.89	16.20	0.30	40.00	263.00	5.10	<0.002	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV111	7.10	0.11	0.18	50.40	19.50	0.39	3,040.00	2.01	5.76	19.40	3.60	110.00	695.00	5.40	0.00	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV112	2.80	0.06	0.48	20.00	23.60	4.93	1,180.00	0.77	2.40	14.50	331.00	1,100.00	3,710.00	25.30	<0.002	0.13
	Arvidsjaur	RAV113	9.30	0.04	0.52	48.20	4.80	0.58	639.00	24.50	5.57	14.30	2.80	800.00	460.00	17.40	0.21	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV114	6.90	0.05	0.27	26.80	21.40	1.60	786.00	1.63	5.89	9.60	29.40	590.00	401.00	9.00	<0.002	0.02
	Arvidsjaur	RAV115	103.00	0.04	5.36	195.00	3.50	0.06	143.00	1.29	1.98	5.40	0.90	40.00	30.40	335.00	<0.002	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV116	0.80	0.07	2.66	67.80	21.70	0.31	245.00	0.70	5.46	34.80	1.60	510.00	966.00	88.40	0.00	0.02
	Arvidsjaur	RAV117	8.20	0.08	5.45	58.20	14.10	0.18	730.00	67.70	2.46	26.50	6.60	180.00	154.00	235.00	0.02	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV118	5.40	0.08	2.62	45.60	16.70	0.23	437.00	1.80	3.54	12.10	2.30	160.00	1,550.00	112.50	0.00	0.05
	Arvidsjaur	RAV119	6.50	0.05	5.22	58.90	2.40	0.03	236.00	2.38	2.16	17.00	1.30	230.00	220.00	221.00	<0.002	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV120	8.50	0.12	2.64	46.00	16.10	0.35	1,355.00	1.03	4.38	19.90	4.60	170.00	3,340.00	105.00	0.00	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV121	6.30	0.04	4.15	50.70	3.40	0.05	321.00	1.45	2.41	12.10	1.00	70.00	69.60	150.00	0.00	<0.01
	Arvidsjaur	RAV122	4.80	0.07	0.08	37.80	12.30	0.02	933.00	1.15	5.67	14.40	0.40	50.00	34.30	4.20	<0.002	0.03
	Arvidsjaur	RAV123	8.50	0.11	0.16	38.10	17.00	0.13	1,955.00	0.79	7.15	27.10	0.50	150.00	503.00	6.20	<0.002	0.02
	Arvidsjaur	R0019	8.00	0.12	0.74	15.00	79.40	0.93	1,260.00	0.61	5.38	18.70	1.60	910.00	78.90	23.90	0.00	0.01
	Arvidsjaur	R0118	0.60	0.02	0.18	4.70	6.80	0.21	519.00	4.72	0.06	2.10	3.60	130.00	5.20	11.70	<0.002	<0.01
	Berg	GIL001	5.50	0.01	4.13	93.00	15.00	0.09	175.00	1.42	2.08	6.50	2.00	60.00	34.60	257.00	0.00	0.00
	Berg	GIL002	4.40	0.02	4.07	81.20	13.40	0.08	164.00	1.70	1.90	7.90	1.60	80.00	45.70	269.00	0.00	0.01
	Berg	GIL003	5.80	0.10	4.29	36.30	23.70	0.19	406.00	1.10	2.10	4.30	2.60	370.00	6.40	147.50	0.00	0.01
	Berg	GIL004	1.20	0.14	3.16	23.20	55.00	1.79	617.00	1.49	3.50	76.10	24.90	540.00	112.00	296.00	0.00	0.01
	Berg	GIL005	7.20	0.06	1.15	3.30	32.00	0.54	619.00	1.51	2.86	26.60	1.40	70.00	31.20	137.00	0.00	0.01

Criteria		JORC Code explanation							Commentary									
	Berg	GIL006	11.20	0.02	0.82	9.90	17.20	0.22	250.00	2.54	3.94	12.50	1.30	40.00	38.60	94.90	0.00	0.00
	Berg	GIL007	1.00	0.07	3.97	112.00	31.30	0.85	431.00	5.92	1.08	17.80	23.10	580.00	308.00	169.50	0.00	0.02
	Berg	GIL008	8.30	0.06	1.36	20.40	61.90	1.29	632.00	59.50	1.49	13.30	3.10	70.00	84.70	92.90	0.01	0.01
	Berg	GIL009	8.00	0.05	1.26	22.50	49.60	1.24	651.00	70.70	1.50	12.40	3.10	50.00	111.50	79.80	0.01	0.01
	Berg	GIL010	8.40	0.04	4.48	107.00	12.00	0.13	265.00	1.38	2.17	15.20	1.40	30.00	36.40	335.00	0.00	0.00
	Berg	GIL011	5.70	0.03	3.83	47.00	29.00	0.08	261.00	2.00	2.36	13.50	1.00	170.00	36.70	199.50	0.00	0.00
	Berg	GIL101	24.00	0.04	1.41	3.10	35.30	0.75	314.00	4.08	0.34	25.80	4.30	40.00	57.30	186.50	<0.002	0.01
	Berg	GIL102	19.60	0.04	0.94	3.40	23.70	0.30	474.00	3.71	2.27	14.80	2.60	30.00	45.90	111.50	<0.002	0.01
	Berg	GIL103	19.50	0.15	4.12	3.40	364.00	1.94	1,235.00	1.55	0.51	120.00	1.70	80.00	23.30	889.00	<0.002	0.01
	Berg	GIL104	6.70	0.01	4.96	15.40	<0.2	0.01	152.00	1.20	0.71	0.70	2.80	80.00	27.40	250.00	0.00	0.01
	Berg	GIL105	2.00	0.02	1.53	14.50	2.20	0.08	119.00	6.32	1.33	0.30	2.50	70.00	28.40	34.00	<0.002	0.01
	Berg	GIL106	10.60	0.08	1.17	37.40	63.90	1.49	754.00	188.50	1.94	16.20	4.10	90.00	158.50	84.20	0.01	0.03
	Berg	GIL107	4.90	0.06	1.61	43.00	51.80	1.17	614.00	32.30	1.98	10.70	2.60	320.00	51.20	91.30	0.01	0.01
	Hotagen	HOT005	5.10	0.09	5.06	39.70	12.40	0.13	722.00	1.28	2.12	31.60	1.70	70.00	23.70	231.00	<0.002	0.01
	Hotagen	HOT006	5.20	0.03	4.20	40.20	7.70	0.08	342.00	2.41	2.67	27.10	4.00	50.00	10.30	259.00	<0.002	0.01
	Hotagen	HOT007	6.10	0.03	3.83	14.20	11.60	0.19	655.00	2.93	2.53	29.60	5.70	80.00	10.50	257.00	<0.002	0.01
	Hotagen	HOT009	6.80	0.04	5.20	56.00	12.90	0.16	422.00	1.38	2.83	17.30	2.20	240.00	27.90	221.00	<0.002	1.33
	Hotagen	HOT010	5.90	0.04	4.45	50.00	15.50	0.18	424.00	4.93	2.61	16.90	3.10	200.00	58.10	195.00	<0.002	5.71
	Hotagen	HOT011	2.10	0.01	1.94	4.40	1.80	0.05	251.00	219.00	0.03	14.00	4.00	90.00	592.00	125.50	0.03	0.02
	Hotagen	HOT013	3.50	0.04	3.36	25.90	<0.2	0.01	127.00	5.39	3.60	21.70	3.10	40.00	19.90	130.50	0.01	0.09
	Hotagen	HOT014	5.10	0.03	6.19	43.80	17.20	0.41	366.00	2.40	1.66	18.60	4.00	360.00	53.40	260.00	<0.002	0.02
	Hotagen	HOT015	7.50	0.11	7.10	86.00	59.90	1.51	794.00	0.39	0.24	27.50	2.10	360.00	425.00	223.00	0.00	<0.01
	Hotagen	HOT016	8.00	0.05	5.43	31.00	77.40	1.72	896.00	0.31	0.19	27.50	3.60	400.00	799.00	286.00	0.01	0.01
	Hotagen	HOT018	9.30	0.06	6.11	6.00	140.50	1.29	343.00	0.69	2.15	29.60	0.80	30.00	96.60	994.00	<0.002	0.01
	Hotagen	HOT019	7.80	0.04	5.07	98.60	64.30	0.63	250.00	1.52	1.88	57.30	0.70	70.00	503.00	763.00	0.00	0.01
	Vilhelmina	GEN001	4.00	0.03	0.48	15.60	3.50	0.03	91.00	3.35	0.02	3.50	14.80	150.00	294.00	25.10	0.00	2.61
	Vilhelmina	GEN002	1.70	0.05	0.13	11.10	1.80	0.01	139.00	1.94	0.02	1.40	5.50	50.00	10,000.00	7.40	0.00	3.29
	Vilhelmina	VIL001	4.10	0.04	4.03	47.90	39.90	0.65	102.00	101.50	0.60	20.00	39.30	80.00	24.40	155.00	0.07	0.43
	Vilhelmina	VIL002	2.80	0.03	2.28	33.10	17.70	0.24	23.00	77.60	0.47	10.90	28.80	440.00	24.00	78.70	0.07	0.32
	Vilhelmina	VIL003	2.90	0.02	2.55	37.10	20.00	0.31	29.00	82.80	0.55	11.90	26.50	100.00	29.40	89.20	0.11	0.27

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Vilhelmina	VIL004	3.90	0.03	1.97	28.80	18.20	1.13	471.00	1.83	0.86	10.00	16.60	520.00	17.20	94.60	0.00	0.03
	Vilhelmina	VIL005	4.50	0.05	3.65	52.20	28.40	0.39	44.00	101.00	0.48	15.50	31.10	1,790.00	37.00	119.50	0.07	0.63
	Vilhelmina	VIL006	4.80	0.04	3.49	52.80	25.00	0.36	45.00	61.50	0.48	15.30	27.30	1,220.00	29.40	110.50	0.07	0.32
	Vilhelmina	VIL007	5.70	0.08	3.63	49.70	42.30	0.57	81.00	27.10	0.10	16.70	13.80	670.00	20.40	167.50	0.01	0.20
	Vilhelmina	VIL008	5.00	0.07	3.35	40.40	36.70	0.51	65.00	21.40	0.16	14.80	15.20	620.00	22.70	144.50	0.01	0.24
	Vilhelmina	VIL009	6.60	0.03	2.27	31.60	25.10	0.29	53.00	8.76	0.04	12.70	10.10	740.00	10.80	97.60	0.00	0.08
	Vilhelmina	VIL010	3.90	0.06	3.86	45.40	64.90	0.70	59.00	140.50	0.08	18.20	34.20	150.00	34.50	188.00	0.19	0.79
	Vilhelmina	VIL011	4.70	0.07	4.43	47.50	34.60	0.77	55.00	34.50	0.17	18.50	6.00	100.00	39.60	206.00	0.01	1.09
	Vilhelmina	VIL012	5.60	0.06	3.30	44.50	29.80	0.49	39.00	19.90	0.12	16.20	13.40	310.00	13.80	153.00	0.01	0.09
	Vilhelmina	VIL013	3.50	0.04	1.94	24.20	19.00	0.68	490.00	2.94	0.61	8.10	21.50	440.00	6.70	83.90	0.00	0.03
	Vilhelmina	VIL014	4.60	0.05	4.23	48.00	79.90	0.75	56.00	33.10	0.12	18.40	11.90	90.00	131.00	224.00	0.06	0.06
	Vilhelmina	VIL015	4.40	0.03	2.28	42.20	44.40	0.36	602.00	13.15	0.05	11.60	39.80	890.00	21.80	101.50	0.01	0.08
	Vilhelmina	VIL016	5.20	0.04	2.58	35.40	41.10	0.51	74.00	10.90	0.05	14.10	14.80	390.00	19.10	126.50	0.00	0.07
	Vilhelmina	VIL017	4.40	0.09	4.44	49.30	46.90	0.81	71.00	57.30	0.09	17.90	10.10	240.00	100.50	238.00	0.01	0.16
	Vilhelmina	VIL018	5.20	0.04	2.47	36.60	35.50	0.78	962.00	0.67	0.39	12.00	21.30	440.00	11.60	124.00	0.00	0.15
	Vilhelmina	VIL101	4.30	0.06	3.56	47.20	34.20	0.62	56.00	110.50	0.65	18.70	42.40	190.00	31.90	160.50	0.09	0.48
	Vilhelmina	VIL102	3.80	0.06	3.22	51.90	44.60	0.67	57.00	121.50	0.05	19.00	37.50	110.00	19.20	171.50	0.08	0.57
	Vilhelmina	VIL103	3.90	0.06	3.68	58.20	37.40	0.73	58.00	48.70	0.56	17.50	16.30	280.00	25.20	165.50	0.03	0.39
	Vilhelmina	VIL104	1.70	0.02	2.87	44.30	21.80	0.40	53.00	29.60	0.44	13.80	23.80	200.00	31.00	103.00	0.09	0.16
	Vilhelmina	VIL105	4.90	0.06	3.75	41.30	47.30	0.80	702.00	0.42	1.17	17.60	23.10	2,380.00	12.00	188.00	<0.002	0.03
	Krokom	HOT001	4.30	0.07	4.11	59.60	66.60	1.01	122.00	7.15	0.05	14.20	13.10	3,540.00	3.50	201.00	0.01	0.17
	Krokom	HOT002	5.00	0.08	4.95	42.30	65.70	1.09	121.00	4.21	0.23	16.20	18.10	2,420.00	14.50	223.00	0.00	0.12
	Krokom	HOT003	3.60	0.07	3.98	21.10	45.40	0.84	88.00	51.70	0.22	15.00	8.30	210.00	31.10	194.00	<0.002	0.03
	Krokom	HOT004	3.10	0.09	3.13	81.00	22.00	0.51	310.00	160.00	0.08	14.50	203.00	1,050.00	49.50	132.50	0.14	0.12
	Krokom	HOT008	2.10	0.07	2.58	12.60	25.30	0.50	166.00	148.50	0.07	5.90	52.40	1,140.00	142.00	112.50	0.08	2.52
Krokom	HOT012	2.90	0.02	3.44	10.40	26.40	0.58	159.00	166.00	0.04	14.00	62.10	270.00	30.90	128.50	0.15	2.69	
Krokom	HOT017	3.60	0.02	4.04	3.60	29.10	0.59	126.00	231.00	0.09	17.00	15.90	250.00	31.90	148.50	0.14	0.68	
Project	Sample ID	Sb_ppm	Sc_ppm	Se_ppm	Sn_ppm	Sr_ppm	Ta_ppm	Te_ppm	Th_ppm	Ti_%	Tl_ppm	U_ppm	V_ppm	W_ppm	Y_ppm	Zn_ppm	Zr_ppm	
Arvidsjaur	RAV001	0.88	4.00	1.00	4.10	21.90	1.19	0.00	13.85	0.15	0.80	10.40	2.00	0.40	62.80	39.00	500.00	

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Arvidsjaur	RAV002	0.81	0.50	1.00	2.80	11.80	1.34	0.00	14.20	0.10	0.53	6.20	1.00	0.40	40.50	12.00	383.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV003	0.67	6.90	1.00	2.30	174.00	0.94	0.00	9.25	0.23	0.56	3.20	4.00	0.30	39.00	153.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV004	0.54	10.40	1.00	2.60	200.00	1.12	0.00	11.05	0.28	0.46	5.00	4.00	0.30	74.40	230.00	461.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV005	1.98	11.00	2.00	2.60	59.20	1.43	0.00	16.95	0.41	0.04	168.50	57.00	0.30	108.00	346.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV006	2.06	11.30	1.00	3.50	209.00	1.38	0.00	14.75	0.32	0.05	354.00	49.00	0.50	171.50	134.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV007	1.21	7.80	1.00	3.00	73.30	1.38	0.00	9.47	0.31	0.02	532.00	30.00	0.20	112.50	247.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV008	0.06	3.00	1.00	1.10	108.00	0.37	0.00	74.50	0.13	1.05	16.40	9.00	0.30	21.00	40.00	214.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV009	0.85	7.00	1.00	2.40	217.00	0.96	0.00	8.03	0.25	0.72	5.20	20.00	0.40	36.10	86.00	280.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV010	0.64	6.50	1.00	2.10	205.00	0.79	0.00	7.34	0.24	0.71	3.70	17.00	0.30	39.30	75.00	254.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV011	0.48	14.40	1.00	0.50	746.00	0.14	0.00	0.54	0.42	0.08	0.40	100.00	0.30	10.70	65.00	45.90
	Arvidsjaur	RAV012	2.70	1.50	2.00	2.50	31.90	1.09	0.08	14.10	0.16	0.03	1,185.00	83.00	0.60	195.00	60.00	232.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV013	2.88	4.40	1.00	1.80	108.00	0.78	0.00	8.75	0.26	0.14	3,350.00	78.00	0.20	62.80	39.00	476.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV014	3.18	9.40	1.00	7.00	274.00	2.44	0.10	26.70	0.33	0.27	1,595.00	55.00	0.20	185.50	256.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV015	0.91	6.50	2.00	2.10	206.00	0.79	0.00	7.91	0.25	0.76	12.20	19.00	0.40	38.50	76.00	251.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV016	0.68	2.70	1.00	5.30	57.30	2.82	0.00	16.75	0.05	1.93	10.20	1.00	0.40	18.80	26.00	97.30
	Arvidsjaur	RAV017	17.90	14.80	5.00	4.20	77.50	1.08	1.19	8.39	0.31	0.20	12,150.00	79.00	1.80	334.00	468.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV018	0.09	5.70	1.00	2.50	137.50	0.68	<0.05	8.93	0.18	0.12	2,230.00	46.00	0.10	70.50	63.00	470.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV019	0.25	6.30	1.00	2.60	143.00	0.70	0.08	10.10	0.17	0.09	2,510.00	48.00	0.10	70.40	69.00	443.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV020	0.10	7.20	1.00	2.00	118.50	0.58	<0.05	10.50	0.11	0.12	1,005.00	47.00	0.10	59.70	129.00	494.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV021	1.60	4.10	2.00	2.20	102.50	1.06	<0.05	9.64	0.21	0.18	2,710.00	70.00	0.20	74.30	36.00	473.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV022	16.25	17.00	4.00	10.80	58.00	1.48	0.15	32.10	0.15	0.15	2,720.00	33.00	2.00	500.00	379.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV023	3.34	2.90	2.00	6.00	30.60	1.40	<0.05	8.75	0.20	0.08	1,685.00	19.00	0.20	84.80	38.00	471.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV024	2.67	3.40	2.00	2.70	419.00	1.00	<0.05	14.90	0.17	0.13	1,070.00	66.00	0.10	60.80	38.00	432.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV025	0.58	5.40	1.00	3.00	399.00	1.14	<0.05	13.30	0.24	0.44	2,080.00	73.00	0.10	83.40	31.00	436.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV026	1.26	5.80	1.00	2.50	47.70	1.91	0.05	15.90	0.25	0.09	1,995.00	32.00	0.60	72.40	479.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV027	2.71	3.70	1.00	2.70	174.50	0.68	<0.05	10.60	0.10	0.08	459.00	35.00	0.10	81.20	124.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV028	0.88	6.70	2.00	2.40	63.50	1.01	<0.05	10.25	0.20	0.19	2,240.00	57.00	0.20	107.00	44.00	482.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV030	1.68	5.40	2.00	2.00	512.00	0.68	0.05	13.35	0.23	0.15	901.00	83.00	0.50	99.60	86.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV032	6.66	4.80	1.00	5.70	76.30	1.39	0.08	14.35	0.13	0.23	3,980.00	89.00	1.70	75.90	332.00	256.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV033	6.65	3.10	2.00	4.90	81.80	1.32	0.11	12.45	0.14	0.09	387.00	37.00	0.70	48.40	164.00	297.00

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Arvidsjaur	RAV034	2.53	4.40	28.00	3.80	71.20	2.27	0.07	21.70	0.22	0.10	1,330.00	29.00	1.00	98.80	1,125.00	493.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV035	0.96	2.60	16.00	1.90	58.60	0.79	0.14	15.10	0.06	0.12	6.10	2.00	0.70	26.10	50.00	163.50
	Arvidsjaur	RAV036	1.03	4.20	1.00	4.30	58.50	1.25	0.10	21.30	0.08	0.53	8.00	10.00	1.10	29.90	27.00	222.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV037	2.27	1.50	<1	4.40	58.70	1.42	<0.05	16.05	0.04	0.97	53.40	2.00	1.60	46.30	76.00	180.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV038	2.76	4.00	<1	6.80	44.70	0.92	<0.05	8.19	0.09	0.64	97.50	7.00	3.40	18.00	53.00	244.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV039	1.29	33.40	200.00	1.50	108.00	0.43	3.42	2.41	0.79	0.20	1.40	219.00	1.20	47.60	330.00	148.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV040	3.01	2.50	1.00	2.60	44.00	1.09	0.13	12.60	0.10	0.06	3,890.00	121.00	1.00	64.30	37.00	494.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV041	8.55	10.50	<1	2.80	191.50	0.96	0.06	14.05	0.46	0.09	2,140.00	453.00	1.40	38.40	269.00	453.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV042	1.27	0.60	<1	1.90	63.80	4.59	<0.05	44.50	0.04	1.55	36.90	7.00	1.50	38.00	32.00	81.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV043	0.86	1.50	7.00	6.60	211.00	2.72	0.26	16.15	0.30	0.48	11.80	72.00	0.80	70.30	2,020.00	315.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV044	1.07	2.70	1.00	12.80	52.30	4.69	<0.05	306.00	0.25	0.27	121.50	52.00	0.90	137.00	148.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV045	0.08	4.60	<1	7.30	153.00	2.97	<0.05	49.10	0.20	0.15	1,285.00	16.00	0.50	73.60	85.00	165.50
	Arvidsjaur	RAV046	4.16	7.70	<1	3.90	395.00	1.09	<0.05	29.10	0.21	1.06	2,090.00	66.00	7.00	29.10	72.00	155.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV106	2.09	1.20	2.00	2.00	26.40	0.74	0.08	7.19	0.11	0.02	513.00	61.00	0.50	20.50	130.00	220.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV108	8.84	3.30	5.00	6.90	59.80	2.08	0.98	20.70	0.20	0.08	34,000.00	221.00	1.00	213.00	201.00	>500
	Arvidsjaur	RAV109	10.40	1.60	30.00	9.20	53.70	2.80	2.76	15.10	0.23	0.06	6,590.00	133.00	1.00	195.00	46.00	>500
	Arvidsjaur	RAV110	1.57	2.10	2.00	2.00	41.90	0.48	0.06	6.19	0.14	0.04	1,865.00	17.00	0.50	28.40	12.00	167.50
	Arvidsjaur	RAV111	3.31	5.90	1.00	5.00	186.00	1.10	0.11	18.30	0.09	0.25	3,510.00	160.00	3.80	46.00	60.00	217.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV112	3.68	33.20	1.00	2.00	465.00	0.27	0.15	3.26	0.55	0.25	9,800.00	1,655.00	1.10	35.40	177.00	118.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV113	10.55	8.90	2.00	2.60	147.00	0.79	<0.05	13.45	0.38	0.10	1,560.00	383.00	1.20	35.30	44.00	382.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV114	3.54	10.90	2.00	1.80	339.00	0.57	0.11	8.41	0.35	0.08	928.00	514.00	0.70	22.10	91.00	274.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV115	0.77	0.40	2.00	1.60	57.40	0.64	<0.05	510.00	0.06	1.82	112.50	7.00	0.30	45.20	10.00	500.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV116	2.64	6.40	1.00	8.30	73.30	3.51	0.09	210.00	0.27	0.57	4,050.00	23.00	0.80	134.50	22.00	17.60
	Arvidsjaur	RAV117	3.06	5.80	2.00	5.60	77.70	2.72	<0.05	22.30	0.23	1.33	211.00	63.00	1.60	15.70	78.00	264.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV118	1.75	1.70	1.00	3.10	80.00	1.28	0.18	104.50	0.12	0.70	5,370.00	10.00	1.70	167.00	55.00	181.50
	Arvidsjaur	RAV119	0.20	2.50	2.00	2.50	60.00	0.79	<0.05	25.00	0.11	1.13	623.00	32.00	0.30	9.60	9.00	200.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV120	1.25	6.10	1.00	4.70	89.50	1.25	0.17	35.50	0.18	0.54	10,400.00	18.00	0.70	150.00	214.00	270.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV121	0.20	2.90	2.00	2.50	55.80	0.55	<0.05	12.05	0.12	0.81	77.10	44.00	0.40	9.40	16.00	202.00
	Arvidsjaur	RAV122	1.05	0.80	3.00	2.20	9.70	0.80	<0.05	7.28	0.13	0.02	21.10	83.00	0.20	11.40	293.00	183.50
	Arvidsjaur	RAV123	1.06	3.00	2.00	4.70	168.50	1.04	<0.05	9.24	0.17	0.18	857.00	2.00	0.40	57.70	97.00	500.00

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Arvidsjaur	R0019	5.83	7.10	2.00	2.10	169.50	1.08	<0.05	6.15	0.36	0.28	850.00	82.00	0.60	105.00	188.00	314.00
	Arvidsjaur	R0118	3.20	2.80	2.00	0.40	52.50	0.18	<0.05	1.39	0.08	0.12	25.20	24.00	0.30	9.50	23.00	21.90
	Berg	GIL001	0.07	1.90	1.00	2.00	45.60	0.85	0.00	121.50	0.10	1.78	11.70	4.00	1.30	63.20	17.00	139.50
	Berg	GIL002	0.09	2.30	1.00	5.50	38.80	0.94	0.00	125.00	0.11	1.98	24.80	3.00	1.80	40.60	14.00	101.50
	Berg	GIL003	0.15	8.50	1.00	1.00	80.90	0.59	0.00	7.44	0.18	0.87	2.30	16.00	0.30	5.00	57.00	205.00
	Berg	GIL004	0.09	34.70	1.00	2.90	123.50	4.72	0.00	17.25	0.59	2.32	126.00	84.00	2.70	11.40	167.00	34.50
	Berg	GIL005	0.12	8.00	1.00	14.40	19.20	1.69	0.00	27.50	0.14	0.84	13.40	3.00	2.00	22.70	87.00	156.00
	Berg	GIL006	0.29	3.40	1.00	6.20	36.10	1.27	0.00	18.40	0.07	0.60	28.00	2.00	10.20	41.00	23.00	258.00
	Berg	GIL007	0.18	14.00	1.00	1.60	106.50	1.25	0.23	145.50	0.25	1.71	609.00	59.00	1.70	57.00	57.00	31.00
	Berg	GIL008	0.08	15.90	1.00	3.50	135.00	1.69	0.05	27.10	0.23	0.62	139.00	57.00	0.80	11.40	128.00	256.00
	Berg	GIL009	0.10	14.80	1.00	3.30	130.00	1.66	0.05	34.30	0.21	0.53	118.50	53.00	0.80	14.10	110.00	248.00
	Berg	GIL010	0.10	2.00	1.00	11.10	54.10	1.16	0.00	72.60	0.08	2.24	25.10	8.00	1.40	91.20	36.00	214.00
	Berg	GIL011	0.14	2.00	0.05	4.40	47.40	1.12	0.00	82.10	0.08	1.38	21.80	4.00	0.40	23.50	42.00	162.50
	Berg	GIL101	0.11	7.20	2.00	4.00	6.90	2.42	<0.05	184.50	0.20	1.13	54.40	16.00	1.30	55.70	68.00	500.00
	Berg	GIL102	0.09	4.00	3.00	6.70	34.40	1.03	<0.05	48.60	0.25	0.70	47.10	18.00	0.60	11.00	63.00	500.00
	Berg	GIL103	0.23	18.40	2.00	38.40	4.10	6.89	<0.05	110.00	0.44	5.27	24.90	8.00	4.60	58.20	179.00	432.00
	Berg	GIL104	0.20	0.30	2.00	1.30	30.00	0.13	<0.05	25.80	0.01	1.41	22.40	3.00	0.30	30.50	4.00	216.00
	Berg	GIL105	0.17	1.60	2.00	0.80	94.00	0.09	0.40	12.10	0.01	0.17	3.50	3.00	0.20	4.70	12.00	64.20
	Berg	GIL106	0.18	18.40	2.00	6.10	114.00	1.96	0.13	59.60	0.27	0.57	365.00	68.00	1.00	14.70	122.00	338.00
	Berg	GIL107	0.13	13.20	2.00	3.20	175.50	1.22	0.06	33.00	0.22	0.57	61.70	52.00	0.70	14.10	103.00	162.50
	Hotagen	HOT005	0.24	3.20	2.00	7.30	65.50	1.78	<0.05	22.10	0.14	1.80	7.10	14.00	0.30	44.80	37.00	134.00
	Hotagen	HOT006	0.13	2.70	2.00	3.30	47.80	1.78	<0.05	25.90	0.11	1.07	6.80	3.00	0.30	44.20	27.00	140.00
	Hotagen	HOT007	0.18	2.20	2.00	2.00	61.70	1.99	<0.05	28.60	0.12	0.98	16.80	4.00	0.40	77.20	33.00	168.50
	Hotagen	HOT009	0.38	4.80	2.00	2.00	116.00	0.99	<0.05	14.75	0.19	1.41	4.20	14.00	0.80	35.10	51.00	273.00
	Hotagen	HOT010	0.42	5.10	<1	1.90	103.00	0.95	<0.05	12.80	0.17	1.56	3.40	12.00	1.30	31.30	52.00	242.00
	Hotagen	HOT011	6.22	1.00	1.00	0.90	12.70	0.32	<0.05	33.60	0.11	2.12	174.00	17.00	10.90	4.90	6.00	82.50
	Hotagen	HOT013	0.36	2.50	<1	1.90	141.00	1.37	<0.05	11.65	0.10	0.82	3.60	25.00	0.40	26.20	3.00	100.50
	Hotagen	HOT014	0.24	5.20	1.00	3.10	48.00	1.16	<0.05	24.00	0.18	1.43	463.00	9.00	0.80	38.30	51.00	167.50
	Hotagen	HOT015	0.74	6.60	1.00	3.80	52.20	1.69	0.12	35.20	0.22	2.69	4,410.00	20.00	3.80	174.00	89.00	212.00
	Hotagen	HOT016	2.00	7.10	3.00	4.60	50.60	1.48	0.28	35.40	0.23	3.62	10,100.00	20.00	5.50	263.00	105.00	239.00

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Hotagen	HOT018	0.41	2.10	2.00	12.50	14.40	4.17	<0.05	27.80	0.03	5.86	343.00	6.00	3.40	22.80	59.00	144.50
	Hotagen	HOT019	1.12	2.80	3.00	12.80	24.80	4.24	<0.05	53.30	0.06	3.78	6,240.00	4.00	5.70	403.00	30.00	178.00
	Vilhelmina	GEN001	1.85	1.30	1.00	1.20	9.60	0.36	0.01	5.54	0.09	4.92	1.40	7.00	0.50	10.10	2.00	135.50
	Vilhelmina	GEN002	11.35	0.50	0.05	0.50	5.70	0.18	0.01	3.33	0.04	10.90	1.10	3.00	0.20	3.40	206.00	56.10
	Vilhelmina	VIL001	5.92	14.20	5.00	3.90	34.20	1.33	0.23	9.98	0.56	1.62	18.40	469.00	3.70	13.70	13.00	130.50
	Vilhelmina	VIL002	4.03	7.20	3.00	2.00	34.30	0.73	0.20	7.21	0.30	2.35	26.70	258.00	1.80	12.20	12.00	105.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL003	4.01	8.00	2.00	2.10	31.10	0.80	0.16	5.27	0.33	2.68	19.00	308.00	2.50	7.80	24.00	97.70
	Vilhelmina	VIL004	0.27	6.20	0.05	1.80	109.50	0.65	0.01	8.96	0.24	0.62	2.50	43.00	0.80	18.20	108.00	141.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL005	5.49	12.90	5.00	2.80	38.20	1.08	0.38	11.75	0.46	3.45	44.40	298.00	2.70	15.30	11.00	162.50
	Vilhelmina	VIL006	6.24	10.90	5.00	2.80	35.30	1.08	0.25	10.45	0.45	2.49	42.40	333.00	2.70	16.20	8.00	166.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL007	2.93	15.20	1.00	3.30	80.10	1.14	0.07	15.55	0.51	2.29	10.40	359.00	2.20	20.70	25.00	204.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL008	3.31	12.80	1.00	2.90	90.00	1.05	0.10	13.55	0.47	2.60	8.70	562.00	2.10	18.00	31.00	172.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL009	1.49	8.50	2.00	1.70	19.80	0.91	0.05	10.50	0.42	1.38	7.20	164.00	1.60	22.10	7.00	216.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL010	5.42	15.20	6.00	3.40	21.70	1.29	0.25	5.73	0.54	7.42	27.70	614.00	4.10	10.20	16.00	124.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL011	2.66	18.20	2.00	3.70	21.60	1.24	0.20	12.55	0.56	6.59	9.00	258.00	2.20	21.10	16.00	163.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL012	2.04	13.00	1.00	2.90	27.50	1.10	0.09	13.90	0.53	2.20	7.00	545.00	2.10	20.30	21.00	199.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL013	0.33	5.60	0.05	1.70	48.60	0.57	0.01	7.96	0.21	0.74	2.10	42.00	0.60	17.50	74.00	123.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL014	2.73	16.50	1.00	3.90	28.70	1.32	0.28	8.83	0.59	5.80	19.80	465.00	3.00	17.20	15.00	161.50
	Vilhelmina	VIL015	1.49	9.40	0.05	2.00	26.40	0.76	0.01	10.65	0.37	1.42	19.70	139.00	1.50	31.60	243.00	162.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL016	1.32	11.80	2.00	2.30	28.10	0.99	0.01	12.00	0.44	1.69	5.80	160.00	1.80	20.90	15.00	172.50
	Vilhelmina	VIL017	3.54	21.00	3.00	3.60	28.10	1.26	0.09	14.05	0.56	4.32	14.50	265.00	2.40	23.00	16.00	150.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL018	0.42	11.00	0.05	2.20	25.10	0.84	0.01	11.10	0.39	0.58	2.50	65.00	1.20	20.80	29.00	175.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL101	6.97	13.00	6.00	3.50	25.30	1.36	0.38	13.30	0.58	1.54	27.20	875.00	2.90	14.00	14.00	152.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL102	4.78	14.70	6.00	3.60	13.40	1.22	0.31	8.14	0.56	4.11	21.20	385.00	2.90	10.80	18.00	139.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL103	3.81	13.60	3.00	3.30	47.50	1.22	0.28	13.65	0.53	1.32	19.00	254.00	3.60	18.40	25.00	142.00
	Vilhelmina	VIL104	4.59	8.30	3.00	2.20	95.40	0.92	0.17	6.64	0.41	2.13	16.80	251.00	1.90	10.10	6.00	64.10
	Vilhelmina	VIL105	0.30	14.20	2.00	2.40	89.50	1.16	<0.05	18.20	0.39	0.99	4.60	75.00	1.40	49.10	61.00	180.00
	Krokom	HOT001	0.35	18.00	3.00	3.20	19.30	0.88	<0.05	19.75	0.49	3.72	25.40	227.00	1.10	61.60	29.00	163.50
	Krokom	HOT002	0.91	23.60	3.00	3.80	29.70	1.07	<0.05	18.25	0.61	2.92	16.00	210.00	1.30	29.20	49.00	185.00
	Krokom	HOT003	2.27	14.60	2.00	3.30	7.70	0.99	0.34	14.30	0.44	2.93	34.60	538.00	2.10	12.70	25.00	132.00

Criteria		JORC Code explanation										Commentary						
	Krokom	HOT004	8.66	11.80	6.00	2.70	13.70	0.98	0.33	18.55	0.43	3.58	179.00	1,265.00	2.20	70.90	272.00	112.50
	Krokom	HOT008	2.51	9.20	6.00	2.00	28.70	0.34	0.31	9.77	0.20	3.18	50.70	577.00	1.60	13.60	260.00	77.20
	Krokom	HOT012	8.99	8.30	5.00	2.50	47.30	0.98	0.32	6.87	0.43	5.42	23.40	846.00	2.90	14.40	35.00	116.00
	Krokom	HOT017	10.05	12.60	5.00	2.90	18.60	1.14	0.48	9.27	0.47	5.19	32.10	897.00	4.30	3.60	9.00	140.50

Project	Sample ID	Dy_ppm	Er_ppm	Eu_ppm	Gd_ppm	Ho_ppm	Lu_ppm	Nd_ppm	Pr_ppm	Sm_ppm	Tb_ppm	Tm_ppm	Yb_ppm	U3O8_ppm	TREO_ppm	C Graphitic_%	C Organic_%
Arvidsjaur	RAV001	11.05	7.18	0.46	9.39	2.24	1.04	49.20	12.70	9.74	1.53	1.00	7.26	12.26	416.98		
Arvidsjaur	RAV002	7.38	4.81	0.62	6.71	1.52	0.75	40.90	10.85	7.45	1.06	0.74	5.41	7.31	337.27		
Arvidsjaur	RAV003	8.22	4.48	2.43	10.10	1.50	0.62	59.10	14.05	11.55	1.34	0.59	4.03	3.77	391.38		
Arvidsjaur	RAV004	14.30	8.28	2.81	15.75	2.82	1.14	71.70	15.80	16.35	2.30	1.14	7.72	5.90	483.33		
Arvidsjaur	RAV005	18.65	12.10	2.71	16.40	3.88	1.58	83.80	21.10	18.10	2.53	1.70	11.35	198.70	675.22		
Arvidsjaur	RAV006	32.00	17.35	6.46	32.30	6.01	2.07	170.50	41.20	34.10	4.91	2.31	15.50	417.44	1,252.57		
Arvidsjaur	RAV007	18.10	10.65	2.68	16.30	3.64	1.38	58.50	12.80	15.10	2.59	1.48	9.81	627.33	466.50		
Arvidsjaur	RAV008	4.09	2.22	0.74	4.78	0.79	0.32	33.00	9.61	5.98	0.69	0.30	2.09	19.34	272.68		
Arvidsjaur	RAV009	6.76	4.06	1.31	6.89	1.33	0.57	36.60	8.76	7.56	1.00	0.59	4.01	6.13	279.71		
Arvidsjaur	RAV010	6.67	4.19	1.30	7.08	1.34	0.55	36.10	9.09	7.27	1.02	0.58	3.89	4.36	283.35		
Arvidsjaur	RAV011	2.11	1.20	0.80	2.16	0.40	0.16	8.00	1.73	1.88	0.31	0.16	1.09	0.47	78.63		
Arvidsjaur	RAV012	38.20	20.90	4.29	30.00	7.43	1.99	156.00	37.70	29.40	5.38	2.63	15.45	1,397.35	1,172.62		
Arvidsjaur	RAV013	13.70	7.18	3.15	15.75	2.48	1.04	86.10	21.60	17.40	2.31	1.01	7.13	3,950.32	620.22		
Arvidsjaur	RAV014	34.70	20.00	3.98	31.90	6.64	2.75	167.50	40.10	34.20	4.98	2.85	19.55	1,880.82	1,198.71		
Arvidsjaur	RAV015	6.95	4.07	1.40	7.31	1.34	0.55	45.80	11.70	8.37	1.04	0.57	3.87	14.39	348.43		
Arvidsjaur	RAV016	2.86	1.93	0.29	2.82	0.58	0.38	18.10	4.98	3.68	0.42	0.32	2.50	12.03	151.78		
Arvidsjaur	RAV017	37.70	21.00	10.65	57.90	7.46	2.81	186.00	33.70	47.90	6.77	2.73	19.10	14,327.28	1,258.76		
Arvidsjaur	RAV018	16.60	9.42	2.92	14.30	2.93	1.43	55.00	12.85	14.05	2.49	1.34	9.51	2,629.62	435.16		
Arvidsjaur	RAV019	16.95	9.65	2.77	14.15	2.99	1.38	56.20	13.85	14.65	2.51	1.33	10.35	2,959.79	512.16		
Arvidsjaur	RAV020	14.00	7.97	2.84	13.95	2.47	1.21	89.20	22.40	16.45	2.23	1.08	7.65	1,185.10	611.73		
Arvidsjaur	RAV021	18.65	9.62	4.28	20.40	3.06	1.34	155.00	36.50	27.20	3.05	1.34	9.16	3,195.63	913.62		
Arvidsjaur	RAV022	233.00	160.00	13.20	165.00	48.10	13.75	246.00	53.90	95.40	29.80	18.50	102.00	3,207.42	2,840.42		
Arvidsjaur	RAV023	18.90	11.05	2.98	16.35	3.38	1.48	59.30	13.65	15.40	2.85	1.50	10.30	1,986.95	474.09		
Arvidsjaur	RAV024	15.60	7.87	3.92	19.05	2.53	1.18	186.50	49.60	26.30	2.66	1.12	7.74	1,261.74	1,192.91		

Criteria		JORC Code explanation										Commentary							
	Arvidsjaur	RAV025	18.80	10.45	4.27	20.80	3.28	1.52	155.00	38.30	25.50	3.00	1.46	10.05	2,452.74	1,006.11			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV026	16.30	9.63	2.58	16.85	2.96	1.50	142.00	36.40	21.90	2.55	1.38	9.47	2,352.50	955.47			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV027	16.00	8.69	2.21	16.40	2.79	1.11	98.10	23.60	17.20	2.51	1.13	7.49	541.25	646.20			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV028	18.50	9.90	2.96	20.50	3.20	1.28	59.70	12.25	17.10	3.01	1.23	8.07	2,641.41	493.91			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV030	21.80	12.70	4.76	18.70	3.83	1.74	89.10	21.50	19.20	3.23	1.78	12.20	1,062.46	660.07			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV032	13.50	8.43	1.80	13.00	2.53	1.06	58.00	14.30	12.90	1.98	1.09	7.94	4,693.22	499.37			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV033	9.42	5.84	1.16	8.68	1.70	0.81	42.70	10.95	9.62	1.42	0.85	5.83	456.35	357.30			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV034	20.10	11.00	2.90	21.00	3.43	1.39	115.50	28.80	23.30	3.22	1.46	9.55	1,568.34	801.87			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV035	4.05	3.06	0.28	3.81	0.88	0.48	22.90	6.38	4.68	0.63	0.44	3.39	7.19	199.42			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV036	4.96	3.64	0.32	4.58	1.01	0.58	23.60	6.43	5.33	0.79	0.52	3.86	9.43	213.00			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV037	7.74	5.56	0.08	7.10	1.60	0.77	28.90	7.20	7.38	1.19	0.75	5.65	62.97	246.71			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV038	2.84	2.15	0.32	3.01	0.61	0.38	24.00	6.70	4.15	0.46	0.32	2.44	114.97	198.27			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV039	8.46	4.83	1.99	8.05	1.58	0.51	26.90	6.26	7.46	1.32	0.59	3.88	1.65	285.97			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV040	14.80	8.02	1.45	14.65	2.58	0.86	80.50	21.70	16.25	2.31	0.98	6.89	4,587.09	605.35			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV041	6.57	4.11	1.34	7.01	1.29	0.54	37.20	10.15	8.19	1.10	0.53	3.93	2,523.49	312.87			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV042	6.20	5.18	0.17	3.98	1.42	0.75	9.90	2.46	3.14	0.82	0.76	5.71	43.51	137.49			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV043	13.50	8.56	1.71	14.80	2.65	1.14	125.00	33.20	20.10	2.26	1.16	8.39	13.91	885.53			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV044	25.90	18.35	0.49	18.15	5.34	1.92	46.60	12.45	15.15	3.69	2.42	16.15	143.27	550.70			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV045	11.45	8.89	0.80	9.95	2.40	1.46	54.80	13.00	11.40	1.76	1.32	10.10	1,515.27	393.50			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV046	4.98	3.45	0.96	4.49	0.99	0.49	19.40	4.88	4.79	0.77	0.48	3.57	2,464.53	179.16			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV106	4.62	2.62	0.90	4.74	0.80	0.44	40.50	11.95	6.51	0.74	0.39	2.72	604.93	296.08			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV108	41.00	20.40	3.54	43.50	6.65	1.90	163.50	38.60	38.90	6.52	2.36	15.45	40,092.80	1,282.07			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV109	46.20	21.60	3.88	45.40	7.24	2.10	113.00	23.30	40.00	7.58	2.66	18.35	7,770.93	925.88			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV110	4.76	2.80	2.09	7.92	0.84	0.50	64.30	16.85	10.95	0.91	0.39	2.85	2,199.21	405.61			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV111	8.58	4.96	1.02	9.36	1.53	0.62	46.40	11.40	10.15	1.36	0.60	4.62	4,138.99	383.91			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV112	5.22	2.73	0.84	5.49	1.02	0.33	17.00	3.93	4.73	0.85	0.36	2.23	11,556.16	219.15			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV113	6.38	3.56	1.40	7.11	1.26	0.50	43.40	11.15	8.97	1.07	0.50	3.55	1,839.55	338.85			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV114	3.74	2.33	0.91	4.00	0.75	0.35	25.80	6.56	5.23	0.60	0.33	2.41	1,094.30	208.21			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV115	5.90	4.73	0.72	9.64	1.32	1.75	138.50	45.30	18.80	1.14	0.92	8.59	132.66	1,098.96			
	Arvidsjaur	RAV116	23.60	16.65	1.26	19.95	4.67	2.05	124.50	34.10	21.60	3.34	2.28	16.65	4,775.76	951.48			

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Arvidsjaur	RAV117	2.93	1.56	0.50	3.75	0.55	0.29	37.90	11.60	5.43	0.51	0.24	1.85	248.81	313.68		
	Arvidsjaur	RAV118	27.90	19.90	1.80	21.20	5.53	2.48	93.80	21.20	21.20	3.76	2.69	19.90	6,332.30	749.24		
	Arvidsjaur	RAV119	1.91	1.12	0.30	2.24	0.37	0.18	31.20	10.45	3.72	0.32	0.17	1.21	734.64	274.49		
	Arvidsjaur	RAV120	25.70	16.60	1.67	22.50	4.97	2.03	107.00	24.30	22.70	3.67	2.09	15.30	12,263.68	778.34		
	Arvidsjaur	RAV121	1.72	1.04	0.30	2.50	0.35	0.25	36.40	10.90	4.89	0.32	0.18	1.51	90.92	269.93		
	Arvidsjaur	RAV122	2.29	1.50	0.41	2.82	0.49	0.37	33.70	9.18	4.85	0.37	0.25	2.05	24.88	226.99		
	Arvidsjaur	RAV123	10.40	6.36	1.94	10.30	2.18	0.93	39.90	9.45	10.40	1.60	0.90	6.56	1,010.57	338.79		
	Arvidsjaur	R0019	14.20	10.15	1.60	9.87	3.18	1.29	26.00	5.59	7.45	1.88	1.57	10.65	1,002.32	312.87		
	Arvidsjaur	R0118	1.48	1.12	0.25	1.16	0.34	0.20	4.80	1.18	1.08	0.20	0.18	1.49	29.72	50.14		
	Berg	GIL001	10.65	6.97	0.37	9.68	2.22	0.97	60.90	18.45	10.05	1.50	1.02	6.86	13.80	571.31		
	Berg	GIL002	6.42	4.12	0.22	5.90	1.34	0.65	49.40	15.70	7.37	0.91	0.62	4.56	29.24	457.55		
	Berg	GIL003	1.44	0.48	1.25	2.91	0.21	0.07	28.50	7.99	4.19	0.31	0.06	0.36	2.71	206.81		
	Berg	GIL004	3.01	1.06	0.62	4.15	0.43	0.11	21.50	5.80	4.47	0.57	0.13	0.74	148.58	204.07		
	Berg	GIL005	2.72	2.42	0.18	1.62	0.66	0.51	2.80	0.75	0.91	0.33	0.41	3.25	15.80	72.26		
	Berg	GIL006	5.29	4.88	0.35	3.46	1.29	0.95	8.30	2.20	2.35	0.64	0.78	5.72	33.02	134.20		
	Berg	GIL007	18.50	4.25	1.38	29.00	2.33	0.21	134.00	33.30	32.20	3.80	0.40	1.92	718.13	852.37		
	Berg	GIL008	2.73	1.18	0.53	3.71	0.46	0.17	19.80	4.94	4.09	0.51	0.15	1.06	163.91	159.43		
	Berg	GIL009	3.60	1.58	0.54	4.31	0.59	0.19	23.20	5.66	5.04	0.59	0.18	1.24	139.74	180.30		
	Berg	GIL010	15.75	10.20	0.46	14.90	3.21	1.73	79.30	22.90	15.20	2.33	1.58	12.00	29.60	703.77		
	Berg	GIL011	4.16	2.39	0.39	4.78	0.82	0.41	38.80	11.65	7.58	0.73	0.36	2.60	25.71	299.87		
	Berg	GIL101	7.11	7.89	0.15	3.31	2.00	1.62	2.40	0.55	1.20	0.75	1.27	10.25	64.15	135.21		
	Berg	GIL102	1.74	1.62	0.35	1.05	0.44	0.47	2.40	0.61	0.79	0.22	0.30	2.55	55.54	45.63		
	Berg	GIL103	6.74	7.94	0.06	3.57	2.11	2.09	2.80	0.69	1.28	0.73	1.48	10.05	29.36	159.07		
	Berg	GIL104	4.53	3.86	0.37	3.80	1.18	0.80	16.40	4.21	3.66	0.61	0.66	5.91	26.41	152.34		
	Berg	GIL105	1.24	0.47	0.46	2.64	0.17	0.09	16.70	4.29	4.48	0.30	0.07	0.50	4.13	102.02		
	Berg	GIL106	4.01	1.51	0.57	5.83	0.64	0.20	35.20	9.25	7.51	0.78	0.20	1.37	430.41	269.33		
	Berg	GIL107	3.32	1.32	0.65	5.69	0.54	0.15	40.60	10.45	7.89	0.69	0.16	1.02	72.76	284.52		
	Hotagen	HOT005	6.90	4.72	0.27	6.35	1.48	0.74	37.40	10.95	7.68	1.02	0.72	5.33	8.37	325.59		
	Hotagen	HOT006	6.96	4.39	0.26	6.91	1.49	0.70	36.90	10.20	7.95	1.08	0.67	5.00	8.02	317.87		
	Hotagen	HOT007	10.45	7.60	0.24	7.72	2.34	1.13	15.10	3.84	5.40	1.42	1.18	8.38	19.81	230.51		

Criteria		JORC Code explanation										Commentary							
	Hotagen	HOT009	5.05	2.97	1.25	5.80	1.02	0.45	46.10	12.60	8.09	0.84	0.45	3.08	4.95	362.93			
	Hotagen	HOT010	4.60	2.81	1.04	5.09	0.91	0.42	40.20	12.10	7.15	0.81	0.41	2.80	4.01	325.35			
	Hotagen	HOT011	1.12	0.63	0.16	0.88	0.20	0.10	4.10	1.09	0.93	0.18	0.10	0.71	205.18	42.53			
	Hotagen	HOT013	4.15	2.85	0.17	3.40	0.88	0.49	21.40	6.50	4.19	0.65	0.44	3.25	4.25	194.57			
	Hotagen	HOT014	6.40	3.71	0.49	5.81	1.26	0.49	42.40	13.20	8.05	1.06	0.50	3.20	545.97	263.15			
	Hotagen	HOT015	33.60	23.00	1.56	26.20	6.45	3.10	97.10	23.90	26.70	4.70	3.15	23.70	5,200.27	1,899.22			
	Hotagen	HOT016	66.20	46.30	2.35	36.80	13.00	5.67	49.00	9.98	26.60	8.04	6.47	47.90	11,909.92	1,384.90			
	Hotagen	HOT018	2.79	2.32	0.06	1.90	0.60	0.66	5.00	1.38	1.35	0.34	0.39	3.47	404.47	306.57			
	Hotagen	HOT019	59.00	45.50	1.12	37.70	12.55	7.06	100.00	26.40	32.70	7.57	6.88	51.90	7,358.21	1,262.60			
	Vilhelmina	GEN001	2.13	0.99	0.39	2.94	0.35	0.14	13.90	3.82	3.76	0.43	0.12	0.81	1.65	119.83			
	Vilhelmina	GEN002	0.78	0.35	0.15	1.24	0.14	0.06	8.80	2.54	1.70	0.17	0.05	0.45	1.30	73.53			
	Vilhelmina	VIL001	2.49	1.48	0.81	3.28	0.45	0.26	35.50	10.45	5.55	0.44	0.22	1.54	21.70	279.67	0.14	8.28	
	Vilhelmina	VIL002	1.98	1.24	0.58	2.39	0.40	0.19	22.60	7.01	3.70	0.34	0.17	1.24	31.48	193.19	0.20	6.49	
	Vilhelmina	VIL003	1.29	0.74	0.50	1.70	0.24	0.14	21.00	6.69	3.20	0.23	0.11	0.89	22.40	188.70	0.07	5.22	
	Vilhelmina	VIL004	3.50	2.01	0.86	3.66	0.66	0.30	25.60	7.03	4.96	0.59	0.30	2.01	2.95	201.32	0.03	0.18	
	Vilhelmina	VIL005	2.50	1.53	0.71	3.05	0.50	0.28	31.80	9.95	4.95	0.43	0.25	1.76	52.36	285.83	0.17	8.10	
	Vilhelmina	VIL006	2.67	1.70	0.79	3.24	0.55	0.28	33.70	10.25	5.24	0.47	0.28	1.72	50.00	291.98	0.14	7.08	
	Vilhelmina	VIL007	3.72	2.39	0.88	3.46	0.74	0.46	39.70	11.55	6.23	0.56	0.43	2.82	12.26	313.40	0.06	0.97	
	Vilhelmina	VIL008	3.33	2.23	0.66	2.83	0.70	0.41	30.00	9.22	4.18	0.49	0.37	2.54	10.26	254.13	0.05	1.07	
	Vilhelmina	VIL009	4.01	2.78	0.87	3.88	0.84	0.47	28.00	7.51	4.84	0.58	0.40	2.92	8.49	224.96	0.04	0.58	
	Vilhelmina	VIL010	1.73	1.10	0.59	2.22	0.36	0.21	30.20	8.88	3.95	0.27	0.19	1.28	32.66	250.80	0.12	10.60	
	Vilhelmina	VIL011	4.03	2.55	0.97	4.23	0.80	0.45	37.00	10.75	6.50	0.64	0.41	2.75	10.61	309.45	0.07	3.30	
	Vilhelmina	VIL012	3.60	2.37	0.74	3.15	0.73	0.44	35.20	10.25	5.35	0.56	0.38	2.67	8.25	283.05	0.05	1.17	
	Vilhelmina	VIL013	3.48	1.88	0.94	3.80	0.65	0.30	21.60	6.00	4.52	0.58	0.31	1.96	2.48	174.50	0.03	0.25	
	Vilhelmina	VIL014	3.47	1.96	1.28	4.84	0.68	0.32	42.70	11.85	7.68	0.65	0.33	2.05	23.35	310.57	0.10	6.61	
	Vilhelmina	VIL015	5.42	2.98	1.04	5.11	1.04	0.43	29.30	8.90	5.75	0.82	0.43	2.94	23.23	267.04	0.03	0.50	
	Vilhelmina	VIL016	3.76	2.49	0.85	3.69	0.77	0.41	28.50	7.86	4.66	0.53	0.38	2.74	6.84	233.27	0.05	0.89	
	Vilhelmina	VIL017	4.54	2.76	1.22	5.08	0.90	0.43	43.90	11.75	7.66	0.72	0.40	2.86	17.10	337.20	0.09	2.75	
	Vilhelmina	VIL018	4.31	2.33	1.24	5.19	0.79	0.40	33.80	9.51	7.00	0.76	0.37	2.46	2.95	260.12	0.03	0.11	
	Vilhelmina	VIL101	2.58	1.70	0.80	3.47	0.54	0.30	35.40	10.05	5.46	0.46	0.25	1.93	32.07	275.45	0.97	10.05	

Criteria		JORC Code explanation								Commentary								
	Vilhelmina	VIL102	1.98	1.16	0.75	2.97	0.39	0.21	36.90	10.65	5.20	0.39	0.19	1.43	25.00	293.96	1.04	12.90
	Vilhelmina	VIL103	3.48	2.05	1.20	4.97	0.71	0.33	47.20	12.55	8.03	0.63	0.32	2.25	22.40	348.92	0.07	7.02
	Vilhelmina	VIL104	1.47	0.93	0.54	2.22	0.30	0.14	29.80	8.65	4.10	0.26	0.14	0.98	19.81	240.58	0.29	4.42
	Vilhelmina	VIL105	9.94	5.21	1.94	12.50	1.86	0.69	54.70	12.35	14.15	1.77	0.71	4.96	5.42	392.47	0.02	0.20
	Krokom	HOT001	11.35	5.57	2.55	13.90	2.14	0.64	69.70	16.50	15.90	1.95	0.73	4.63	29.95	512.55	0.42	0.58
	Krokom	HOT002	6.33	3.45	1.62	8.49	1.16	0.53	48.50	12.15	10.90	1.13	0.48	3.62	18.87	356.21	0.43	0.71
	Krokom	HOT003	2.19	1.33	0.44	2.18	0.47	0.19	16.50	4.68	2.80	0.34	0.20	1.38	40.80	157.28	0.83	1.15
	Krokom	HOT004	10.80	6.31	2.62	11.95	2.26	0.74	69.90	18.75	13.70	1.76	0.86	5.67	211.08	556.77	4.67	7.66
	Krokom	HOT008	2.34	1.42	0.57	2.81	0.48	0.22	12.80	3.05	3.34	0.40	0.20	1.43	59.79	108.38	6.00	6.56
	Krokom	HOT012	2.25	1.38	0.49	2.24	0.47	0.20	11.00	2.74	2.48	0.37	0.19	1.32	27.59	100.41	9.87	10.10
	Krokom	HOT017	0.53	0.37	0.11	0.63	0.11	0.12	3.50	0.86	0.82	0.10	0.06	0.55	37.85	43.44	11.70	13.25
Further work		• <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> • <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> • Although some of the historic exploration drill holes reported high-interest or potentially economic intersections of uranium mineralisation, further systematic exploration, including high-resolution geophysical surveying and geochemical sampling, are recommended to define additional targets and/or extensions to the mineralised intersections prior to drilling. • Given the greenfields stage of the projects, the Exploration Strategy will initially be driven by modern, high-resolution airborne geophysical techniques, including Electro-Magnetics ('EM'), magnetics and radiometrics. • The geophysical data will be processed and interpreted before being integrated with both the historical and Neu Horizon exploration datasets to define high-priority targets for geochemical sampling, which will include till sampling and/or radon gas sampling. Both techniques have proven highly effective at defining geochemical anomalies within these terrains, which are often covered by glacial tills. • The combination of geophysical and geochemical targets would be used to define drill-targets for testing.																

- Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	- Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. - Data validation procedures used.	- No historical drill information is currently being presented for the purpose of Mineral Resource estimation. - The Competent Person assessed and interrogated the quality of the geological mapping, spectrometer survey and rock-chip sampling data collected by Neu Horizon and considers the methodologies used sufficient for the current stage of exploration.
Site visits	- Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. - If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	- The Competent Person, Dr. Peter Siegfried, carried out a site visit to the Arvidsjaur, Hotagen and Vilhelmina Projects between the 14th and 18th of October 2025. - The site visit was facilitated by Mr. Conrad Ocker, the Exploration Manager for Neu Horizon. - The Berg and Krokomb Projects were not visited during the site visit. The Berg Project was not visited due to time limitations and the Krokomb Project was not visited as the Exploration Permits were only applied for after the site visit and based on recommendations from the Competent Person. - During the site visit, the Competent Person: - Validated the location of a selection of drill collars from historical drilling carried out over the Arvidsjaur and Hotagen Projects; - Validated the presence of in-situ uranium mineralisation over the Arvidsjaur, Hotagen and Vilhelmina Projects; - Collected representative rock-chip samples over the Hotagen Project; - Confirmed the effectiveness of both ground and airborne radiometric surveying for validating and testing exploration targets; and - Reviewed the work completed by Neu Horizon exploration team.
Geological interpretation	- Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. - Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. - The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. - The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation. - The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	- No Mineral Resource estimation has been carried out by Neu Horizon using either historical or company data. - To date, Neu Horizon has not conducted any drilling over any of their Swedish Exploration Permits. - In addition, historical drilling, predominantly by the SGU and, to a lesser extent, junior exploration companies were exploratory in nature and no Mineral Resource estimates have been calculated.
Dimensions	The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the	No Mineral Resource estimate has been estimated by Neu Horizon.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.</i>	
Estimation and modelling techniques	• The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. • The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. • The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. • Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (e.g. sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). • In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. • Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. • Any assumptions about correlation between variables. • Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates. • Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. • The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	• No Mineral Resource estimate has been estimated by Neu Horizon.
Moisture	• Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	• No Mineral Resource estimate has been estimated by Neu Horizon, and therefore no work has been done too date to determine the moisture content of the rocks.
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• No Mineral Resource estimate has been estimated by Neu Horizon, and therefore cut-off grades have not been estimated.
Mining factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	• No Mineral Resource estimate or possible mining methods have been estimated or considered at this stage.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	<i>The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.</i>	No Mineral Resource estimate has been estimated by Neu Horizon, and therefore no metallurgical test work or assumptions have been carried out to date.
Environmental factors or assumptions	<i>Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.</i>	- All of the projects are at the greenfields stage and the exploration methods used to date by Neu Horizon are considered non-invasive and therefore have had little to no impact on the environment. - Going forward, as per the Swedish Minerals Act, there are clear guidelines in place for carrying out exploration activities over Exploration Permits, in particular invasive exploration activities such as trenching or drilling. Neu Horizon are required to prepare and submit a formal Work Plan to the Mines Inspectorate outlining their proposed exploration plans. As part of this process, Neu Horizon are required to pay a 'Security Bond' to the Mines Inspectorate to cover any potential encroachments and environmental damages over the Land Owner's property. The amount of the 'Security Bond' will be determined by the Mines Inspectorate based on the proposed Work Program. - This formal process ensures that mitigation measures are considered and, if necessary, put in place to reduce the social and environmental impacts.
Bulk density	<i>Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.</i> <i>The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.</i> <i>Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.</i>	No Mineral Resource estimate has been estimated by Neu Horizon, and therefore no bulk density calculations have been carried out.
Classification	<i>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</i> <i>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).</i>	No Mineral Resource estimate has been estimated by Neu Horizon, and therefore no resources have been classified.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	No Mineral Resource estimate has been estimated by Neu Horizon, and therefore no audits or reviews have been possible or necessary.
Discussion of relative accuracy/confidence	- Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate. - The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used. - These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.	No Mineral Resource estimate has been estimated by Neu Horizon, and therefore no statistical measurements have been possible or necessary.

• [Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves](#)

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	- Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve. - Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.	No Mineral Resource estimate has been estimated by Neu Horizon, and therefore no Ore Reserves have been estimated.
Site visits	- Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. - If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	Projects are greenfields without any Mineral Resource or Ore Reserve Estimates.
Study status	- The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves. - The Code requires that a study to at least Pre-Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered.</i>	
Cut-off parameters	<i>The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.</i>	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.
Mining factors or assumptions	<i>The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design).</i> <i>The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc.</i> <i>The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (eg pit slopes, stope sizes, etc), grade control and pre-production drilling.</i> <i>The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate).</i> <i>The mining dilution factors used.</i> <i>The mining recovery factors used.</i> <i>Any minimum mining widths used.</i> <i>The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion.</i> <i>The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.</i>	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	<i>The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation.</i> <i>Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature.</i> <i>The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied.</i> <i>Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements.</i> <i>The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole.</i> <i>For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications?</i>	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Environmental	The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.
Infrastructure	The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.
Costs	- The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study. - The methodology used to estimate operating costs. - Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements. - The source of exchange rates used in the study. - Derivation of transportation charges. - The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc. - The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.
Revenue factors	- The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc. - The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.
Market assessment	- The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future. - A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product. - Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts. - For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.
Economic	- The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc. - NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs.	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Social	<i>The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.</i>	Informal social engagement with all stakeholders is ongoing, with no formal agreements required at this stage of exploration.
Other	<i>To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves:</i> <i>Any identified material naturally occurring risks.</i> <i>The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements.</i> <i>The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent.</i>	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.
Classification	<i>The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories.</i> <i>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</i> <i>The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any).</i>	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.
Audits or reviews	<i>The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates.</i>	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.
Discussion of relative accuracy/confidence	<i>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Ore Reserve estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the reserve within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors which could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</i> <i>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</i> <i>Accuracy and confidence discussions should extend to specific discussions of any applied Modifying Factors that may have a material impact on Ore Reserve viability, or for which there are remaining areas of uncertainty at the current study stage.</i>	No Ore Reserves have been calculated by Neu Horizon.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>It is recognised that this may not be possible or appropriate in all circumstances. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i>	

Annexure B – Replacement JORC Table 1 for Canadian Projects

JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report template

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i> <i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i>	- Documented mineral occurrences on the Woods properties are reported by the SMDI (https://mineraldeposits.saskatchewan.ca/). Uranium mineralization occurs on all six mineral claim blocks in the form of abundant pegmatites. These pegmatites are the product of anatectic melts of metapelites as well as in granite and pegmatite that intrude those pelites (Jefferson and Delaney, 2007). Twenty-five (25) documented uraniferous pegmatites are present on the Properties. There are nine (9) documented REE occurrences, with seven (7) occurrences located on the Fir and Spruce mineral dispositions in the Beaverlodge Domain, while the remaining two occurrences are documented within the Train Domain on the Pine mineral claims. REE mineralization occurs in sheared basement orthogneiss, arkosic to pelitic paragneiss and sheared contacts with intrusive granitoids. REE mineralized occurrences occur along generally north trending, medium-grained granite and leucopegmatitic dykes. There has been insufficient work regarding the classification of these REE pegmatites. Known gold occurrences are documented on the Spruce and Pine mineral dispositions along the GRSZ. Gold mineralization is associated with a garnet-rich metapelite within an interpreted silicate-facies banded iron formation (“BIF”). Pyrite bearing quartz veins with gold mineralization has also been identified in sheared mafic volcanics within the GRSZ near the southern limit of the Spruce property. The Saskatchewan Mineral Assessment Database (SMAD) Saskatchewan Mineral Assessment Database (SMAD) Saskatchewan Geological Survey Government of Saskatchewan provides historical reports and maps for the known SMDIs where historical exploration programs were conducted. Historical results provided from the SMDI and SMAD have not been verified and there is a risk that any future confirmation work and exploration may produce results that substantially differ from the documented historical results. - Sampling techniques primarily involved collecting grab samples targeting garnet-pegmatite, leuco-pegmatite, biotite-schist, garnet-rich banded gneiss,

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		hematized uranium bearing granite, and hydrothermal breccia on the Woods Properties. For the fieldwork, a Radiacode (RC110) handheld spectrometer with Bluetooth capability recorded Total CPS radiation along with continuous waypoint data during the site visits to the field. An RS-225 and RS-125 spectrometer were also used for the prospecting surveys. The two spectrometers provided assay mode readouts in %K, U (ppm) & Th (ppm). When significant radioactive readings were identified during the prospecting traverses, a bedrock grab sample was collected and placed in an 8.5" x 11" 6 mil polybag with a sample tag inserted and sealed securely with a zip tag. The rock type was described in field notes along with a GPS control point using a Garmin 64sx reading for location. • Radiation Solutions Inc. calibrated the handheld RS-225 and RS-125 spectrometers on August 20, 2024, while the RC110 unit was factory calibrated by the Radiacode manufacturer in November 2024. • Spectrometer readings represent surface outcrop point data only, and are entirely dependent on the position of the spectrometer over secondary surficial uranium mineralization observed in outcrop. Spectrometer readings provide a guide as to where to take a grab sample and cannot be relied upon as being representative since a reading is only representative of surficial point data. The analytical results for a grab sample generated by 3-Acid Total Digestion for Uranium by SRC Geoanalytical Labs are considered to be more representative and reliable for the purpose of this Technical Report. However, grab sample analytical results are not representative of overall grade and thickness of a given outcrop and are meant to only demonstrate that radioactivity is present or absent at any one of the 29 sampled sites.
Drilling techniques	• <i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i>	• No drilling was conducted during the preliminary four-day site visit.
Drill sample recovery	• <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i> • <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i> • <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i>	• This section is not applicable to the preliminary reconnaissance work conducted for this Technical Report.
Logging	• <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or</i>	• This section is not applicable to this Technical Report.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	costean, channel, etc) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.	
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	- If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. - If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry. - For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique. - Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples. - Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling. - Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.	- Rock hammers and chisels were used to collect twenty-nine (29) dry grab samples during the preliminary four-day site visit from dry hard-rock garnet-pegmatite, leuco-pegmatite, biotite-schist, garnet-rich banded gneiss, hematized uranium bearing granite, and hydrothermal breccia outcrops at sites detected with the handheld spectrometers as being radioactive or simply as rocks of interest. These rock-types are collectively known as "basement-type" rock samples that occur beneath the unconformity of the Athabasca basin sedimentary rocks, exposed on the Woods Properties. In many cases yellow secondary uranium mineralization was observed and documented where high radioactivity was detected on some of the white leuco-pegmatites. - The appropriate analytical technique chosen for the samples at SRC Geoanalytical Labs was ICP MS-32 (basement exploration package) with additional anapysis for Boron. Any samples >1000 ppm U on the partial digest ICP-OES, please add % U3O8 assay.
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	- The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total. - For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc. - Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.	- At the lab samples were dried at 105°C, crushed to 85% passing 2 mm, riffle splitting a 250 g sub-sample and pulverized to 85% passing 75 microns, followed by analyzed using analytical package code ICP-MS2. - Total digestion for Uranium is achieved with 3-Acid Total Digestion, whereby a 125 mg pulp is heated with 16.75 mL of ultra- pure HF/ HNO3/HClO4 until dry and the residue is dissolved in dilute ultra-pure HNO3. The standard used is DCB01 - ICP partial digestion and Boron by fusion is achieved whereby a 0.5 g pulp is digested with ultra-pure 2.25 mL of 8:1 HNO3:HCl for 1 hour at 95 °C. The standard used is DCB01. - Peroxide Fusion: A 100 mg pulp is fused at 650 °C in a mixture of Na2O2/Na2CO3. The standard used is BSL18. - For ICP MS Partial Digestion and Boron by fusion, a 0.5 g pulp is digested with ultra-pure 2.25 mL of 8:1 HNO3:HCl for 1 hour at 95 °C. The standard used is DCB01. - Peroxide Fusion: A 100 mg pulp is fused at 650 °C in a mixture of Na2O2/Na2CO3. The standards used are BSL18 and BSM. - For ICP1 Total Digestion for REEs, a 3-Acid Total Digestion is required with a 125 mg pulp heated with 16.75 mL of ultra- pure HF/ HNO3/HClO4 until dry and the residue is dissolved in dilute ultra-pure HNO3. The standard used is CAR218. Three samples were analyzed by this method for rare earth elements (REEs). - Two samples were subjected to fire assay by gravimetric finish,

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		whereby a 30 g pulp is subjected to standard fire assay procedures. - The internal SRC control standards used for the analysis of the samples all passed well within +/- 2 standard deviations and the author is satisfied that the analytical results reported by SRC for the twenty-nine samples collected during the site visit are representative and useful for the purpose of the preliminary survey and this report. The maximum uranium (ppm) values obtained in the field ranged as high as 5750 ppm U in white leuco-pegmatite dikes. These values generally correlated with the anomalous values identified with the RS-125 and RS-225 spectrometer anomalies. However, Total Extraction SRC analytical results are considered to be far more representative for each "grab sample" than spectrometer results measured in outcrop, since any given spectrometer readings only represents surface outcrop point data entirely dependent on the position of the spectrometer positioned over secondary surficial uranium mineralization. Grab sample Total Extraction analytical results are merely point data and do not provide representation of average grade across the entire true width a pegmatite outcrop where the grab sample was collected. - For the fieldwork, a Radiacode (RC110) handheld spectrometer with Bluetooth capability recorded Total CPS radiation along with continuous waypoint data during the site visits to the field. An RS-225 and RS-125 spectrometer were also used for the prospecting surveys. The two spectrometers provided assay mode readouts in %K, U (ppm) & Th (ppm). When significant radioactive readings were identified during the prospecting traverses, a bedrock grab sample was collected and placed in an 8.5" x 11" 6 mil polybag with a sample tag inserted and sealed securely with a zip tag. The rock type was described in field notes along with a GPS control point using a Garmin 64sx reading for location. - Radiation Solutions Inc. calibrated the handheld RS-225 and RS-125 spectrometers on August 20, 2024, while the RC110 unit was factory calibrated by the Radiacode manufacturer in November 2024. - No blanks or standards were inserted with the preliminary twenty-seven grab samples taken during the four-day site visit to the Woods Properties. The purpose of this early stage prospecting survey was to determine whether there was radioactivity on the property and whether or not the project was a property of merit. Internal samples inserted by SRC sufficed for the sampling program and all internal controls passed within +/- 2 standard deviations.
Verification of sampling	<i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> <i>The use of twinned holes.</i>	No verification sampling of historical samples was conducted for this early-stage project during the initial 4-day site visit. Primary data was received in excel format directly from the SRC Geoanalytical Labs along with

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
and assaying	- Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols. - Discuss any adjustment to assay data.	tamper-proof pdf documents. There were no adjustments to assay data.
Location of data points	- Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation. - Specification of the grid system used. - Quality and adequacy of topographic control.	A handheld Garmin 64sx GPS was used to document grab samples collected for the twenty-nine samples collected on the preliminary four-day site visit. All readings were recorded utilizing UTM NAD83 – Zone13N and all Woods Properties maps generated for the Technical Report utilized map grids UTM NAD83 – Zone 13N.
Data spacing and distribution	- Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results. - Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied. - Whether sample compositing has been applied.	Data spacing for twenty-nine (29) randomly selected preliminary grab samples collected across the Woods Properties cannot be used to establish geological and grade continuity at the preliminary stage of this project. Sample compositing was not utilized or applied to grab samples
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	- Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type. - If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.	The grab samples represented unbiased sampling and was generally based on anomalous spectrometer readings identified in the field. In this regard, no sampling bias was introduced in the field since the purpose of the site visit was to determine whether radioactivity was present in the bedrock and whether or not the Woods Property was a property of merit.
Sample security	The measures taken to ensure sample security.	Bedrock grab samples were collected and individually placed into an 8.5" x 11" 6 mil polybag with a sample tag inserted and sealed securely with a zip tag. Samples were shipped from the project to SRC Geoanalytical Labs located in Saskatoon, Saskatchewan using authorized carrier Manitoulin Transport from Fort McMurray, Alberta to ensure sample shipment security.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.	No audits or reviews were required due to the early-stage nature of the site visit to the Woods Properties.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary																																																																																																																																																																																																								
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	- Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. - The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.	<table><tr><th>Project</th><th>Disposition</th><th>Effective Date</th><th>Good Standing Date</th><th>Status</th><th>Area (Ha)</th><th>Encumbrances*</th><th>Annual Assessment (C\$)</th></tr><tr><td rowspan="6">Aspen</td><td>MC00017173</td><td>2023-05-03</td><td>2025-08-01</td><td>Active</td><td>595</td><td>2% NSR</td><td>8,925</td></tr><tr><td>MC00018360</td><td>2024-01-16</td><td>2026-04-16</td><td>Active</td><td>3,143</td><td>None</td><td>47,147</td></tr><tr><td>MC00018367</td><td>2024-01-16</td><td>2026-04-16</td><td>Active</td><td>3,408</td><td>None</td><td>51,121</td></tr><tr><td>MC00018368</td><td>2024-01-16</td><td>2026-04-16</td><td>Active</td><td>1,062</td><td>None</td><td>15,924</td></tr><tr><td>MC00018497</td><td>2024-01-23</td><td>2026-04-23</td><td>Active</td><td>3</td><td>None</td><td>40</td></tr><tr><td>MC00018498</td><td>2024-01-23</td><td>2026-04-23</td><td>Active</td><td>573</td><td>None</td><td>8,599</td></tr><tr><td></td><td>MC00018522</td><td>2024-01-29</td><td>2026-04-29</td><td>Active</td><td>1,086</td><td>None</td><td>16,285</td></tr><tr><td colspan="5">Total</td><td>9,870</td><td></td><td>148,042</td></tr><tr><td>Fir</td><td>MC00017664</td><td>2023-09-20</td><td>2025-12-19</td><td>Active</td><td>794</td><td>None</td><td>11,912</td></tr><tr><td colspan="5">Total</td><td>794</td><td></td><td>11,912</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="9">Pine</td><td>MC00017511</td><td>2023-08-22</td><td>2025-11-20</td><td>Active</td><td>1,740</td><td>None</td><td>26,094</td></tr><tr><td>MC00017975</td><td>2023-11-22</td><td>2026-02-20</td><td>Active</td><td>2,523</td><td>None</td><td>37,847</td></tr><tr><td>MC00017976</td><td>2023-11-23</td><td>2026-02-21</td><td>Active</td><td>725</td><td>None</td><td>10,869</td></tr><tr><td>MC00017979</td><td>2023-11-27</td><td>2026-02-25</td><td>Active</td><td>4,681</td><td>None</td><td>70,212</td></tr><tr><td>MC00017980</td><td>2023-11-27</td><td>2026-02-25</td><td>Active</td><td>2,523</td><td>None</td><td>37,842</td></tr><tr><td>MC00017981</td><td>2023-11-27</td><td>2026-02-25</td><td>Active</td><td>1,465</td><td>None</td><td>21,972</td></tr><tr><td>MC00017982</td><td>2023-11-27</td><td>2026-02-25</td><td>Active</td><td>1,099</td><td>None</td><td>16,491</td></tr><tr><td>MC00018370</td><td>2024-01-16</td><td>2026-04-16</td><td>Active</td><td>2,343</td><td>None</td><td>35,146</td></tr><tr><td>MC00018386</td><td>2024-01-16</td><td>2026-04-16</td><td>Active</td><td>590</td><td>None</td><td>8,845</td></tr><tr><td colspan="5">Total</td><td>17,688</td><td></td><td>265,319</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="4">Spruce</td><td>MC00017512</td><td>2023-08-22</td><td>2025-11-20</td><td>Active</td><td>1,232</td><td>None</td><td>18,483</td></tr><tr><td>MC00017806</td><td>2023-10-26</td><td>2026-01-24</td><td>Active</td><td>1,969</td><td>None</td><td>23,533</td></tr><tr><td>MC00017837</td><td>2023-11-03</td><td>2026-02-01</td><td>Active</td><td>1,126</td><td>None</td><td>16,885</td></tr><tr><td>MC00017860</td><td>2023-11-09</td><td>2026-02-07</td><td>Active</td><td>2,929</td><td>None</td><td>43,928</td></tr><tr><td colspan="5">Total</td><td>6,855</td><td></td><td>102,830</td></tr><tr><td colspan="5">Grand Total</td><td>35,207</td><td></td><td>528,103</td></tr></table> Fortune Bay Corp.(the "Company") has 100% ownership of the Woods Uranium Projects ("the "Woods Property" or "Woods Properties") located in northern Saskatchewan, Canada. The Woods Properties consist of four (4) groups of mineral claims covering a total area of 35,207 hectares straddling the east to northeast trending Grease River Shear Zone (GRSZ). Fortune Bay Corp. (the "Company") entered into a definitive option agreement (the "Agreement"), with Neu Horizon Uranium Limited ACN 653 749 145 ("Neu Horizon," or the "Optionee" on July 25, 2025. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Optionee will be granted the option (the "Option") to acquire an eighty percent (80%) interest in the Properties located 30 km northeast of the Athabasca Basin, Saskatchewan. Under the terms of the Option, Neu Horizon can earn an 80% interest in the Property by spending Australian ("A") \$3,000,000 dollars on various exploration activities by 31 December 2026, including A\$700,000 by 31 December 2025, issuing a total of A\$750,000 worth of Neu Horizon shares as well as making a once off cash payment of A\$50,000 to the Company. During the Option earn-in period, the Company will act as Project Operator in return for a 10% management fee on exploration expenditure. Upon fulfilling the obligations of the Option, a joint venture ("JV") will be established between Neu Horizon and the Company. There are no other third parties involved including joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national parks and environmental settings.	Project	Disposition	Effective Date	Good Standing Date	Status	Area (Ha)	Encumbrances*	Annual Assessment (C\$)	Aspen	MC00017173	2023-05-03	2025-08-01	Active	595	2% NSR	8,925	MC00018360	2024-01-16	2026-04-16	Active	3,143	None	47,147	MC00018367	2024-01-16	2026-04-16	Active	3,408	None	51,121	MC00018368	2024-01-16	2026-04-16	Active	1,062	None	15,924	MC00018497	2024-01-23	2026-04-23	Active	3	None	40	MC00018498	2024-01-23	2026-04-23	Active	573	None	8,599		MC00018522	2024-01-29	2026-04-29	Active	1,086	None	16,285	Total					9,870		148,042	Fir	MC00017664	2023-09-20	2025-12-19	Active	794	None	11,912	Total					794		11,912	Pine	MC00017511	2023-08-22	2025-11-20	Active	1,740	None	26,094	MC00017975	2023-11-22	2026-02-20	Active	2,523	None	37,847	MC00017976	2023-11-23	2026-02-21	Active	725	None	10,869	MC00017979	2023-11-27	2026-02-25	Active	4,681	None	70,212	MC00017980	2023-11-27	2026-02-25	Active	2,523	None	37,842	MC00017981	2023-11-27	2026-02-25	Active	1,465	None	21,972	MC00017982	2023-11-27	2026-02-25	Active	1,099	None	16,491	MC00018370	2024-01-16	2026-04-16	Active	2,343	None	35,146	MC00018386	2024-01-16	2026-04-16	Active	590	None	8,845	Total					17,688		265,319	Spruce	MC00017512	2023-08-22	2025-11-20	Active	1,232	None	18,483	MC00017806	2023-10-26	2026-01-24	Active	1,969	None	23,533	MC00017837	2023-11-03	2026-02-01	Active	1,126	None	16,885	MC00017860	2023-11-09	2026-02-07	Active	2,929	None	43,928	Total					6,855		102,830	Grand Total					35,207		528,103
Project	Disposition	Effective Date	Good Standing Date	Status	Area (Ha)	Encumbrances*	Annual Assessment (C\$)																																																																																																																																																																																																			
Aspen	MC00017173	2023-05-03	2025-08-01	Active	595	2% NSR	8,925																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00018360	2024-01-16	2026-04-16	Active	3,143	None	47,147																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00018367	2024-01-16	2026-04-16	Active	3,408	None	51,121																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00018368	2024-01-16	2026-04-16	Active	1,062	None	15,924																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00018497	2024-01-23	2026-04-23	Active	3	None	40																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00018498	2024-01-23	2026-04-23	Active	573	None	8,599																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00018522	2024-01-29	2026-04-29	Active	1,086	None	16,285																																																																																																																																																																																																			
Total					9,870		148,042																																																																																																																																																																																																			
Fir	MC00017664	2023-09-20	2025-12-19	Active	794	None	11,912																																																																																																																																																																																																			
Total					794		11,912																																																																																																																																																																																																			
Pine	MC00017511	2023-08-22	2025-11-20	Active	1,740	None	26,094																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00017975	2023-11-22	2026-02-20	Active	2,523	None	37,847																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00017976	2023-11-23	2026-02-21	Active	725	None	10,869																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00017979	2023-11-27	2026-02-25	Active	4,681	None	70,212																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00017980	2023-11-27	2026-02-25	Active	2,523	None	37,842																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00017981	2023-11-27	2026-02-25	Active	1,465	None	21,972																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00017982	2023-11-27	2026-02-25	Active	1,099	None	16,491																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00018370	2024-01-16	2026-04-16	Active	2,343	None	35,146																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00018386	2024-01-16	2026-04-16	Active	590	None	8,845																																																																																																																																																																																																			
Total					17,688		265,319																																																																																																																																																																																																			
Spruce	MC00017512	2023-08-22	2025-11-20	Active	1,232	None	18,483																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00017806	2023-10-26	2026-01-24	Active	1,969	None	23,533																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00017837	2023-11-03	2026-02-01	Active	1,126	None	16,885																																																																																																																																																																																																			
	MC00017860	2023-11-09	2026-02-07	Active	2,929	None	43,928																																																																																																																																																																																																			
Total					6,855		102,830																																																																																																																																																																																																			
Grand Total					35,207		528,103																																																																																																																																																																																																			

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		The Competent Person (the author - William C. Yeomans, P.Geol) is unaware of any known impediments for the Company or the Optionee to obtain a license to operate in the area encompassing the Woods Properties.
Exploration done by other parties	<i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i>	- Exploration on the Woods Properties for Beaverlodge-style mineralization led to the discovery of numerous uraninite bearing pegmatites and veins in the Beaverlodge, Train, Dodge and Tantato Domains, as well as several occurrences of REE bearing pegmatites. Low-grade uranium bearing pegmatites and leucogranites of significant size were identified in the field. Initial prospecting surveys using hand-held Geiger counters and scintillometers. were completed on the Properties by Magma Mines Ltd., Reward Uranium Ltd., Fosago Explorations Ltd. and individual prospectors during the period from 1955 to 1975. - In 1974, a provincial crown corporation named The Saskatchewan Mining Development Corporation ("SMDC") was established. Initially, in 1976, SMDC contracted Questor Surveys Ltd. to conduct airborne EM, magnetics and radiometric surveys on the Properties (SMAD O07-0032). This was followed by SMDC field programs between 1976 – 1980, when geological mapping and prospecting, soil, lake sediment, water and Track Etch geochemistry surveys, rock sampling, trenching, boulder mapping, till and esker sampling programs were completed (SMAD O07-0031). SMDC identified highly anomalous U in lake sediments surrounding Tait Lake, which is located between the Pine and Aspen mineral claim blocks. - Between 1975 and 1979, Uranerz Exploration and Mining Ltd. ("Uranerz") completed airborne radiometric and magnetic surveys, water, biogeochemical, muskeg, rock, and lake sediment geochemistry surveys, geological mapping and prospecting (SMAD 74O09-0003). Barringer Magenta Ltd. (1979) was contracted to collect overburden core samples from the interface between clay and the overlying organic gyttja layer on Perron Lake (SMAD 74O09-0020). The lake sediment database was reevaluated to determine the causative nature of highly anomalous uranium values in Perron and surrounding lakes. No drilling was completed by Uranerz. - From 1988 to 1989, Cominco Ltd. conducted helicopter-borne VLF, EM and magnetic surveys (SMAD 74O09-0073). This was followed by ground VLF-EM and magnetic surveys over five (5) grids (SMAD 74O09-0078). Detailed mapping and prospecting resulted in the


Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		discovery of glacially transported boulder dispersion trains containing significant gold mineralization. These boulder dispersion trains were mapped and followed up-ice to the northeast where the bedrock source was located. Nine (9) diamond drill holes for a total cumulative meterage of 642.55 meters were completed using BQ diameter drill core. Two of the drill holes were completed on the current Spruce Property (SMAD 74O09-0081). Many of the holes were abandoned prior to reaching target depth, and Cominco concluded that the drill rig used for the program was too small to effectively drill through deep overburden and major shear zones. • The Geological Survey of Canada carried out regional airborne magnetic and radiometric, ground gravity, and lake sediment sampling surveys over area of the Bulyea claim blocks in 1994. • In 2007, Terraquest Ltd. was contracted by CanAlaska Uranium Ltd. ("CanAlaska") to complete fixed-wing airborne radiometric, magnetic and XDS-VLF-EM surveys over their Grease River Project (SMAD 74009-0023). Follow-up field programs included ground radiometric prospecting with 228 rock samples submitted for analysis, lake sediment and muskeg sampling and mapping of glacially transported radioactive boulder trains at Tait Lake and Perron Lake (GRR2008-02). The CanAlaska surveys covered all or part of the Woods Properties except for the Birch Property that was not covered by any of the CanAlaska surveys. In 2015 Fission 3.0 contracted Special Projects Inc ("SPI") to complete a low-level tight-drape airborne survey which was flown over 80% of the Aspen and 30% of the Pine mineral claims. Radioactive prospecting was conducted using RS-125 and RS-230 spectrometers. GPS-controlled field stations recorded K%, U ppm and Th ppm. Ten rocks were collected for whole rock analysis. • Rio Tinto Exploration Canada Inc. ("Tinto") conducted uranium exploration activities in 2016 in an effort to identify low-grade bulk tonnage uranium deposits similar to Rössing -Type mineralization. Two claim blocks named Bulyea North and Bulyea South were staked. The Bulyea North mineral claims were staked along the northernmost limit of the Train Domain, while Bulyea South was staked along the northern edge of the Beaverlodge Domain with Train Domain north of the GRSZ. The only Woods Property covered by the Rio Tinto exploration program was the Fir Property on the Bulyea South claim block. A total of 262 soil samples, 381 rock samples, 306 radon samples, and 10,318-line

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		kilometers of airborne radiometrics were collected over the Bulyea North and South properties. • Forum Energy Metals Corp. optioned their East block on the GRSZ to Traction Uranium Corp. in early 2023. Axiom Exploration Group Ltd. was contracted to complete a helicopter borne 30Hz Xcite™ TDEM survey which collected time domain EM, magnetic and radiometric data over the southern half of the currently owned Woods Spruce Property. The survey was flown on NW-SE oriented flight lines with a 100 m line spacing and a 1,000 m tie-line spacing. Several conductive trends were identified. • All of the above-described historical results have not been verified by the author and there is a risk that any future confirmation work and exploration may produce results that substantially differ from the historical results. The author considers these historical results and associated assessment reports relevant to assessing the mineralization and economic potential of the Woods Properties. The author also cautions that historical results on adjacent properties have not been reviewed or verified and are not necessarily indicative of future results that may be achieved on the Woods Properties. • The Competent Person's (the author's) appraisal of the previous work is such that many of the historical programs were completed by reputable exploration companies. The historical results reported by these companies reports resulted in all of these companies allowing their mineral title claims to lapse on ground now currently underlain by the current Woods Properties. This was largely due to inconclusive results, due to severe limitations of bedrock exposure along major structures.
Geology	• <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i>	• All the Woods Properties occur in the Rae Province, which comprises the western part of the Churchill craton, one of the largest exposures of Archean crust in the world. Aphebian to Archean aged metamorphic and intrusive basement rocks underlying the Athabasca basin are exposed to the northeast of the Athabasca basin unconformity along the GRSZ on all the Woods Properties. The Rae Province is subdivided into four distinct lithostructural Domains including the Dodge, Train Lake, Beaverlodge and Tantato Domains on the Properties, each with their own age ranges of basement bedrock formation and deformation history. These individual Domains are bounded along the GRSZ as structural discontinuities, resulting from significant and prolonged episodes of dextral movement along the GRSZ. The Fir Property occurs entirely within the Beaverlodge Domain. The Spruce, Pine and Aspen Properties are transected by the GRSZ. However, each of these Properties have unique regional Domain boundaries along the GRSZ. The Spruce Property is partially underlain by the Beaverlodge, Tantato and Train Domains, while the Pine Property is predominantly underlain by the Train Domain with Tantato Domain exposure south of the GRSZ. The Aspen Property contains a

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		triple point junction of the Train, Dodge, and Tantato Domains near its southwestern boundary within the GRSZ (Ogilvie, Lafrance and Normand, 2017). Uranium mineralization occurs on all four claim blocks in the form of abundant pegmatites. These pegmatites are the product of anatectic melts of metapelites as well as in granite and pegmatite that intrude those pelites (Jefferson and Delaney, 2007). Twenty-five (25) documented uraniferous pegmatites are present on the Properties. There are nine (9) documented REE occurrences, with seven (7) occurrences located on the Fir and Spruce mineral dispositions in the Beaverlodge Domain, while the remaining two occurrences are documented within the Train Domain on the Pine mineral claims. REE mineralization occurs in sheared basement orthogneiss, arkosic to pelitic paragneiss and sheared contacts with intrusive granitoids. REE mineralized occurrences occur along generally north trending, medium-grained granite and leucopegmatitic dykes. There has been insufficient work regarding the classification of these REE pegmatites. Known minor gold occurrences are documented on the Spruce and Pine mineral dispositions along the GRSZ. Gold mineralization is associated with a garnet-rich metapelite within an interpreted silicate-facies banded iron formation ("BIF"). The Woods Properties have potential for Beaverlodge-style mineralization associated with uraninite bearing shear zone veins in the Beaverlodge, Train, Dodge and Tantato Domains. There is also potential for Athabasca basin unconformity basement-type mineralization hosted in major structures such as the Grease River Shear Zone where graphite has remobilized into structures favorably located along Domain Boundaries.
Drill hole Information	• A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: ○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar ○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar ○ dip and azimuth of the hole ○ down hole length and interception depth ○ hole length. • If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.	• No drilling carried out by Neu Horizon or their partner Fortune Bay over the Woods Project.
Data aggregation methods	• In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated. • Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used	• No drilling carried out by Neu Horizon or their partner Fortune Bay over the Woods Project.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i>	
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i>	No drilling carried out by Neu Horizon or their partner Fortune Bay over the Woods Project.
Diagrams	<i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i>	No drilling carried out by Neu Horizon or their partner Fortune Bay over the Woods Project.
Balanced reporting	<i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i>	No drilling carried out by Neu Horizon or their partner Fortune Bay over the Woods Project.
Other substantive exploration data	<i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i>	During the fall of 2025, 2,247 kilometers of AEM were flown by Geotech using the VTEM Plus system. The survey was flown with 200 m line spacing oriented NW-SE, with 2000 m NE-SW tie lines. Horizontal gradient magnetic data was collected simultaneously. A gamma ray spectrometer survey was flown separately along the same lines as the VTEM survey. Map products extracted from the survey included the location of bedrock conductors identified in proximity to domain boundaries in proximity to the GRSZ. No previous exploration companies flew VTEM over the Woods Properties to systematically explore for structurally controlled graphitic bedrock conductors. Graphitic conductors located along or near domain boundaries within the GRSZ represent favourable exploration targets for Athabasca basin style basement hosted mineralization. A map is attached indicating flight-line survey coverage as well as the locations and classification of bedrock conductors identified on the Woods Spruce, Pine and Aspen claim blocks. The Fir claim block was

not flown due to its very small size. A Phase 1 exploration program will be designed to test the conductors for Athabasca basement type mineralization.



Further work

- The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).
 - Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.
- The Woods Properties is a project of merit, based upon the review of historical results and preliminary four day site visit completed during the period from September 11 to September 15, 2025. Neu Horizon and Fortune Bay will need to plan a Phase 1 exploration program to be completed during the 1st quarter winter months (March) of 2026 and during the summer field season to fulfill expenditure requirements as per the definitive option agreement signed on July 25, 2025 Terrain analysis needs to be completed over each future selected target area to determine whether airborne or ground gravity surveys are feasible. For example, an attractive EM target located beneath a large relatively shallow lake situated along a domain boundary hosted by a major fault within the Grease River Shear Zone (GRSZ) would be an ideal location for a gravity survey, while targets located in rugged topographic terrain located along margins of the GRSZ would not be ideal.
 - A brief two-to-four-week geological mapping and sampling program is recommended during the summer months over specific targets. However,

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		it is suspected that 80%-95% of the targets will not be exposed, as demonstrated time and again by the history of exploration along the GRSZ over the past 60 years. Radon and lake sediment geochemistry surveys have proven to be effective at Perron Lake. These types of surveys can be considered for filtering EM conductors underlying frozen lakes, with detailed lake sediment survey grids targeting the conductors, particularly in the vicinity of gravity lows. Lake sediment surveys could coincide with ground gravity surveys during the mild winter months in March, when access can be achieved with snowmobiles.

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Database integrity	- Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. - Data validation procedures used.	- No drilling carried out by Neu Horizon or their partner Fortune Bay over the Woods Project. - Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no resource estimation possible.
Site visits	- Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits. - If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.	A preliminary four-day site visit was completed by the Competent Person (the author) during the period from September 11 to September 15, 2025. The conclusion of the site visit was that the Woods Property is a property of merit worthy of a staged exploration program.
Geological interpretation	- Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit. - Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made. - The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation. - The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation. - The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.	- No drilling carried out by Neu Horizon or their partner Fortune Bay over the Woods Project. - Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no resource estimation possible.
Dimensions	The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.	- No drilling carried out by Neu Horizon or their partner Fortune Bay over the Woods Project. - Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no resource estimation possible.
Estimation and	The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation	- No drilling carried out by Neu Horizon or their partner Fortune Bay over the Woods Project. - Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no resource estimation possible.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
modelling techniques	method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used. • The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes appropriate account of such data. • The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products. • Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation). • In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed. • Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units. • Any assumptions about correlation between variables. • Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates. • Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping. • The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.	
Moisture	• Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.	• Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no moisture estimates carried out to date.
Cut-off parameters	• The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.	• Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no cut-off grades estimated.
Mining factors or assumptions	• Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made.	• Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no resource estimation possible, therefore no mining assumptions made at this time.
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous.	• Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no metallurgical studies carried out to date.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made.</i>	
Environmental factors or assumptions	Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.	- Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, with no significant discoveries made to date, therefore planning for environmental impacts from detailed drilling and development is not considered relevant at this stage. - However, best environmental practices with regards to carrying out early stage exploration have been implemented.
Bulk density	- Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples. - The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit. - Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.	Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no bulk density studies have been carried out at this stage.
Classification	- The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories. - Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data). - Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.	Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no resource classification applicable.
Audits or reviews	The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.	Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no Mineral Resource estimate established.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative	Historic drilling is limited to 3 exploration drill holes across the entire property, therefore no Mineral Resource estimate established.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</i> <i>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</i> <i>These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i>	

Section 4 Estimation and Reporting of Ore Reserves

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in sections 2 and 3, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral Resource estimate for conversion to Ore Reserves	<i>Description of the Mineral Resource estimate used as a basis for the conversion to an Ore Reserve.</i> <i>Clear statement as to whether the Mineral Resources are reported additional to, or inclusive of, the Ore Reserves.</i>	No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation possible.
Site visits	<i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i> <i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i>	A preliminary four-day site visit was completed by the Competent Person (the author) during the period from September 11 to September 15, 2025. The conclusion of the site visit was that the Woods Property is a property of merit worthy of a staged exploration program.
Study status	<i>The type and level of study undertaken to enable Mineral Resources to be converted to Ore Reserves.</i> <i>The Code requires that a study to at least Pre-Feasibility Study level has been undertaken to convert Mineral Resources to Ore Reserves. Such studies will have been carried out and will have determined a mine plan that is technically achievable and economically viable, and that material Modifying Factors have been considered.</i>	No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.
Cut-off parameters	<i>The basis of the cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied.</i>	No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.
Mining factors or assumptions	<i>The method and assumptions used as reported in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility Study to convert the Mineral Resource to an Ore Reserve (i.e. either by application of appropriate factors by optimisation or by preliminary or detailed design).</i> <i>The choice, nature and appropriateness of the selected mining</i>	No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	method(s) and other mining parameters including associated design issues such as pre-strip, access, etc. • The assumptions made regarding geotechnical parameters (eg pit slopes, stope sizes, etc), grade control and pre-production drilling. • The major assumptions made and Mineral Resource model used for pit and stope optimisation (if appropriate). • The mining dilution factors used. • The mining recovery factors used. • Any minimum mining widths used. • The manner in which Inferred Mineral Resources are utilised in mining studies and the sensitivity of the outcome to their inclusion. • The infrastructure requirements of the selected mining methods.	
Metallurgical factors or assumptions	• The metallurgical process proposed and the appropriateness of that process to the style of mineralisation. • Whether the metallurgical process is well-tested technology or novel in nature. • The nature, amount and representativeness of metallurgical test work undertaken, the nature of the metallurgical domaining applied and the corresponding metallurgical recovery factors applied. • Any assumptions or allowances made for deleterious elements. • The existence of any bulk sample or pilot scale test work and the degree to which such samples are considered representative of the orebody as a whole. • For minerals that are defined by a specification, has the ore reserve estimation been based on the appropriate mineralogy to meet the specifications?	• No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.
Environmental	• The status of studies of potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. Details of waste rock characterisation and the consideration of potential sites, status of design options considered and, where applicable, the status of approvals for process residue storage and waste dumps should be reported.	• No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.
Infrastructure	• The existence of appropriate infrastructure: availability of land for plant development, power, water, transportation (particularly for bulk commodities), labour, accommodation; or the ease with which the infrastructure can be provided, or accessed.	• No current infrastructure in place.
Costs	• The derivation of, or assumptions made, regarding projected capital costs in the study. • The methodology used to estimate operating costs.	• No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	• Allowances made for the content of deleterious elements. • The source of exchange rates used in the study. • Derivation of transportation charges. • The basis for forecasting or source of treatment and refining charges, penalties for failure to meet specification, etc. • The allowances made for royalties payable, both Government and private.	
Revenue factors	• The derivation of, or assumptions made regarding revenue factors including head grade, metal or commodity price(s) exchange rates, transportation and treatment charges, penalties, net smelter returns, etc. • The derivation of assumptions made of metal or commodity price(s), for the principal metals, minerals and co-products.	• No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.
Market assessment	• The demand, supply and stock situation for the particular commodity, consumption trends and factors likely to affect supply and demand into the future. • A customer and competitor analysis along with the identification of likely market windows for the product. • Price and volume forecasts and the basis for these forecasts. • For industrial minerals the customer specification, testing and acceptance requirements prior to a supply contract.	• No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.
Economic	• The inputs to the economic analysis to produce the net present value (NPV) in the study, the source and confidence of these economic inputs including estimated inflation, discount rate, etc. • NPV ranges and sensitivity to variations in the significant assumptions and inputs.	• No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.
Social	• The status of agreements with key stakeholders and matters leading to social licence to operate.	• Informal written exploration agreements in place with local first nation communities to operate in the area.
Other	• To the extent relevant, the impact of the following on the project and/or on the estimation and classification of the Ore Reserves: • Any identified material naturally occurring risks. • The status of material legal agreements and marketing arrangements. • The status of governmental agreements and approvals critical to the viability of the project, such as mineral tenement status, and government and statutory approvals. There must be reasonable grounds to expect that all necessary Government approvals will be received within the timeframes anticipated in the Pre-Feasibility or Feasibility study. Highlight and discuss the materiality of any	• No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<i>unresolved matter that is dependent on a third party on which extraction of the reserve is contingent.</i>	
Classification	• <i>The basis for the classification of the Ore Reserves into varying confidence categories.</i> • <i>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</i> • <i>The proportion of Probable Ore Reserves that have been derived from Measured Mineral Resources (if any).</i>	• No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.
Audits or reviews	• <i>The results of any audits or reviews of Ore Reserve estimates.</i>	• No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related audits feasible or necessary.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	• <i>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Ore Reserve estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the reserve within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors which could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</i> • <i>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</i> • <i>Accuracy and confidence discussions should extend to specific discussions of any applied Modifying Factors that may have a material impact on Ore Reserve viability, or for which there are remaining areas of uncertainty at the current study stage.</i> • <i>It is recognised that this may not be possible or appropriate in all circumstances. These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i>	• No Mineral Resource estimated, therefore no Ore Reserve estimation and/or related studies feasible or necessary.